

A COMMENTARY ON THE EPISTLE TO THE EPHESIANS

by Charles Hodge

Charles Hodge's scholarly commentary on Ephesians, offering scriptural insight and interpretation.

7 Chapters

Table of Contents

0. A Commentary on the Epistle to the Ephesians
1. CHAPTER I: THE SALUTATION, VS. 1. 2.--THANKSGIVING FOR THE BLESSINGS OF
2. CHAPTER II: THE APOSTLE CONTRASTS THE SPIRITUAL STATE OF THE EPHESIANS BEFORE TH...
3. CHAPTER III: THE NATURE AND DESIGN OF PAUL'S COMMISSION, VS. 1-13 --HIS PRAYER F...
4. CHAPTER IV: AN EXHORTATION TO UNITY, VS. 1-16.--AN EXHORTATION TO HOLINESS AND T...
5. CHAPTER V: SPECIFIC EXHORTATIONS, VS. 3-20.--RELATIVE DUTIES OF HUSBANDS AND WIV...
6. CHAPTER VI: RELATIVE DUTIES OF PARENTS AND CHILDREN AND OF MASTERS AND SERVANTS,

A Commentary on the Epistle to the Ephesians

CHAPTER I: THE SALUTATION, VS. 1. 2.--THANKSGIVING FOR THE BLESSINGS OF

THE SALUTATION, VS. 1. 2.--THANKSGIVING FOR THE BLESSINGS OF REDEMPTION, VS. 3-14.--PRAYER THAT THE EPHESIANS MIGHT INCREASE IN THE KNOWLEDGE AND EXPERIENCE OF THOSE BLESSINGS, VS. 15-21.

THE SALUTATION.

1. Paul, an apostle of Jesus Christ by the will of God, to the saints

which are at Ephesus, and to the faithful in Christ Jesus:

2. grace be to you, and peace from God our Father, and from the Lord Jesus Christ.

COMMENTARY.

V. 1. An apostle of Jesus Christ.--The word apostle is used in three senses in the New Testament. 1. In its primary sense of messenger, John 13, 16 (the messenger), he that is sent is not greater than he that sent him. Phil. 2, 25, your messenger. 2 Cor. 8, 23, messengers of the churches. Apostolos ekklesion; toutestin, says Chrysostom, hupo ekklesion pemphthentes. Theophylact adds kai cheirotoneuthentes. 2. In the sense of missionaries, men sent by the church to preach the Gospel.--In this sense Paul and Barnabas are called apostles, Acts 14, 4, 14; and probably Andronicus and Junias, Rom. 16, 7. 3. In the sense of plenipotentiaries of Christ; men whom he personally selected and sent forth invested with full authority to teach and rule in his name. In this sense it is always used when "the apostles," "the twelve," or "the apostles of the Lord," are spoken of as a well-known, definite class. They were appointed as witnesses of Christ's miracles, doctrines, resurrection; and therefore it was necessary that they should not only have seen him after his resurrection, but that their

knowledge of the Gospel should be immediately from Christ, John 15, 26. Acts 1, 22. 2, 32. 3, 15. 13, 31. 26, 16. 1 Cor. 9, 1. Gal. 1, 12. They were not confined to any one field but had a general jurisdiction over the churches, as is manifest from their epistles.--To qualify them for this office of authoritatively teaching, organizing, and governing the church, they were rendered infallible by the inspiration of the Holy Ghost, and their divine mission was confirmed by miraculous powers.--Their authority therefore rested first on their commission, and secondly on their inspiration. Hence it is evident that none can have the authority of an apostle who has not apostolic gifts. In this respect Romanists are consistent, for they claim infallibility for those whom they regard as the official successors of the apostles. They are, however, inconsistent with their own theory, and at variance with the Scripture, in making this infallibility the prerogative of the prelates in their collective capacity, instead of claiming it for each individual bishop.

Dia thelematos Theou, by the will of God. There are two ideas included in this phrase. 1. That the apostleship was a gift, or grace from God, Rom. 1, 5. Eph. 3, 7. 8. 2. That the commission or authority of the apostles was immediately from God. Paul in Gal. 1, 1, as well as in other passages, asserts that apostleship was neither derived from men nor conveyed through the instrumentality of men, but conferred directly by God through Christ.

To the saints which are at Ephesus. The Israelites, under the old dispensation, were called saints, because separated from other nations and consecrated to God. In the New Testament the word is applied to believers, not merely as externally consecrated, but as reconciled to God and inwardly purified. The word *hagiazein* signifies to cleanse, either from guilt by a propitiatory sacrifice, as in Heb. 2, 11. 10,

10. 14, or from inward pollution, and also to consecrate. Hence the hagioi, saints, are those who are cleansed by the blood of Christ, and by the renewing of the Holy Ghost, and thus separated from the world and consecrated to God. On the words, which are at Ephesus, see the Introduction.

And to the faithful in Christ Jesus. The word pistos, faithful, may mean preserving faith, worthy of faith, or exercising faith. In the last sense, which is its meaning here, it is equivalent to believing. The faithful, therefore, are believers. In Christ, belongs equally to the two preceding clauses: *tois hagiois--kaii pistois en Christo*, To the saints and faithful who are in Christ Jesus.' Those whom he calls saints he also calls faithful; Ergo, says Calvin, *nemo fidelis, nisi qui etiam sanctus: et nemo rursum sanctus, nisi qui fidelis*. No one is a believer who is not holy; and no one is holy who is not a believer.

V. 2. Contains the usual apostolic benediction. Paul prays that grace and peace may be granted to his readers. Grace is unmerited favour; and the grace or favour of God is the source of all good. Peace, according to the usage of the corresponding Hebrew word, means well-being in general. It comprehends all blessings flowing from the goodness of God. The apostle prays to Christ, and seeks from him blessings which God only can bestow. Christ therefore was to him the object of habitual worship. He lived in communion with Christ as a divine person, the ground of his confidence and the source of all good.

God is our Father: 1. As He is the author of our being; 2. As we were formed in his likeness. He as a spirit is the Father of spirits. 3. As we are born again by his Spirit and adopted into his family. It is in reference to the last-mentioned relationship that the expression is almost always used in the New Testament. Those who are the children of God are such by regeneration and adoption.

Jesus Christ is our supreme and absolute Lord and proprietor. The word kurios is indeed used in Scripture in the sense of master, and as a mere honorary title as in English Master or Sir. But, on the other hand, it is the translation of Adonai, supreme Lord, an incommunicable name of God, and the substitute for Jehovah, a name the Jews would not pronounce. It is in this sense that Christ is, The Lord, The Lord of Lords, The Lord God; Lord in that sense in which God alone can be Lord--having a dominion of which divine perfection is the only adequate or possible foundation. This is the reason why no one can call him Lord, but by the Holy Ghost, 1 Cor. 12, 3. It is a confession which implies the apprehension of the glory of God as it shines in Him. It is an acknowledgment that he is God manifested in the flesh. Blessed are all they who make this acknowledgment with sincerity; for flesh and blood cannot reveal the truth therein confessed, but the Father who is in heaven.

SECTION II.--Vs. 3-14.

3. Blessed be the God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, who hath blessed us with all spiritual blessings in heavenly places in Christ:

4. according as he hath chosen us in him before the foundation of the world, that we should be holy and without blame before him in love:

5. having predestinated us; unto the adoption of children by Jesus Christ to himself, according to the good pleasure of his will,

6. to the praise of the glory of his grace, wherein he hath made us accepted in the, beloved.

7. In whom we have redemption through his blood, the forgiveness of sins, according to the riches of his grace;

8. wherein he hath abounded towards us in all wisdom and prudence;

9. having made known unto us the mystery of his will, according to his good pleasure which he hath purposed in himself;

10. that in the dispensation of the fulness of times he might gather together in one all things in Christ, both which are in heaven, and which are on earth;

11. even in him: in whom also we have obtained an inheritance, being predestinated according to the purpose of him who worketh all things after the counsel of his own will;

12. that we should be to the praise of his glory, who first trusted in Christ.

13. In whom ye also trusted after that ye heard the word of truth, the gospel of your salvation: in whom also after that ye believed ye were sealed with that holy Spirit of promise,

14. which is the earnest of our inheritance until the redemption of the purchased possession, unto the praise of his glory.

ANALYSIS.

The apostle blesses God for the spiritual gifts bestowed upon his people, v. 3. Of these the first in order and the source of all the others, is election, v. 4. This election is, 1st. Of individuals. 2d. In Christ; 3d. It is from eternity. 4th. It is to holiness, and to the dignity of sons of God. 5th. It is founded on the sovereign pleasure of God, vs. 4. 5. 6th. Its final object is the glory of God, or the manifestation of his grace, v. 6.

The second blessing here mentioned is actual redemption through the blood of Christ; the free remission of sins according to the riches of his grace, vs. 7. 8.

The third blessing is the revelation of the divine purpose in relation to the economy of redemption; which has for its object the reduction of all things to a harmonious whole under Jesus Christ, vs. 9. 10.

Through this Redeemer, the Jewish Christians who had long looked for the Messiah are, agreeably to the divine purpose, made the heirs of

God, vs. 11. 12. The Gentile converts are partakers of the same inheritance; because, having believed in Christ, they are assured of their redemption by the possession of the Holy Spirit, the pledge of the inheritance until its actual and complete enjoyment, vs. 13. 14.

COMMENTARY.

V. 3. Eulogetos ho Theos;, Blessed be God. The word eulogein, like its English equivalent, to bless, signifies to praise, as when we bless God; to pray for blessings, as when we bless others; and to bestow blessings, as when God blesses us. Blessed be God who hath blessed us, is then the expression of thanksgiving and praise to God on account of those peculiar benefits which we receive from him through Christ. God is here designated as the God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ. That is, he is at once God and Father, sustaining both these relations to Christ. Our Saviour used a similar form of expression, when he said, I ascend unto my Father and your Father; and to my God and your God.' John 20, 17. The God in whom the Israelites trusted was the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob; their covenant God. This designation served to remind the ancient people of God of his promise to their fathers, and of their peculiar consequent relationship to him. The God in whom we are called upon to trust, and to whom we are to look as the source of all good, is not the absolute Jehovah, nor the God who stood in a special relation to the Israelites; but the God of redemption; the God whom the Lord Jesus revealed, whose will he came to accomplish, and who was his Father. It is this relationship which is the ground of our confidence. It is because God has sent the Lord Jesus into the world, because He spared not his own Son, that he is our God and Father, or that we have access to him as such.

It is this reconciled God, the God of the covenant of grace, ho euloge'sas hemas en pa'se eulogi'a pneumatike, who hath blessed us with

all spiritual blessings. The past tense, hath blessed, is used because the apostle contemplates his readers as actually redeemed, and in present possession of the unspeakable blessings which Christ has procured. These blessings are spiritual not merely because they pertain to the soul, but because derived from the Holy Spirit, whose presence and influence are the great blessing purchased by Christ.

"In heavenly places." The words *en tois epourani'ois* may be rendered either in or with heavenly things, or in heavenly places, i. e. in heaven. If the former method be adopted the sense is, Hath blessed us with all spiritual blessings, i. e. with heavenly things.' The words however occur five times in this epistle and always elsewhere in a local sense. See v. 20. 2, 6. 3, 10. 6, 12, which therefore should be preferred here. They are to be connected with the immediately preceding word, Blessings in heaven.' The meaning is that these blessings pertain to that heavenly state into which the believer is introduced. Here on earth he is, as the apostle says, in ch. 2, 6, in heavenly places.' He is a citizen of heaven, Phil. 3, 10. The word heaven, in Scripture, is not confined in its application to the place or state of future blessedness, but sometimes is nearly equivalent to kingdom of heaven.' The old writers, therefore, were accustomed to distinguish between the *coelum gloriae*, the heaven of glory; *coelum naturae*, the visible heavens, and *coelum gratiae*, the heaven of grace here on earth. These blessings connected with this heavenly state, are conferred upon believers in Christ. It is as they are in him, and in virtue of that union that they are partakers of these benefits.

V. 4. All these blessings have their source in the electing love of God. *pulegasas--kathos` s exele'xato emas*, he blessed us--because he chose us. *Kathos*, according as, or, inasmuch as, because, see John 17, 2. Rom. 1, 28. 1 Cor. 1, 6. Election is the cause or source of all

subsequent benefits.

He hath chosen us. By us is not meant the apostle alone, because there is nothing in the context to indicate or justify this restriction. The blessings consequent on the election here spoken of, are in no sense peculiar to the apostle. Neither does the word refer to any external community or society as such. It is not us Ephesians, as Ephesians, nor us Corinthians, nor us Romans, as formerly the Jews were chosen by a national election. But it is us believers, scattered here and there. It is those who are the actual recipients of the blessings spoken of, viz. holiness, sonship, remission of sins, and eternal life.

We are said to be chosen in Him; an expression which is variously explained. Some refer the pronoun to God, chosen us in himself; which is contrary not only to the context but to the signification of the words *en auto*, which is the received text. Others say the meaning is, He hath chosen us because we are in him.' The foresight of our faith or union with Christ, being the ground of this election. This however cannot be admitted. 1. Because faith, or a living union with Christ, is the very blessing to which we are chosen. 2. Because it introduces into the passage more than the words express. 3. Because in this immediate connection, as well as elsewhere, the ground of this election is declared to be the good pleasure of God.--A third interpretation also supposes an ellipsis. The full expression would be: *eis to einai hemas en auto*, Chosen us to be in Him; in *ipso*, videlicet *adoptandos*, as Beza explains it. The objection to this is that it introduces more than the words contain, and that the end to which we are chosen is expressed in the following clause, *einai emas agi'ous*. It is best therefore to take the words as they stand, and to inquire in what sense our election is in Christ. The purpose of election is very comprehensive. It is the purpose of God to bring his people to holiness, sonship, and eternal

glory. He never intended to do this irrespective of Christ. On the contrary it was his purpose, as revealed in Scripture, to bring his people to these exalted privileges through a Redeemer. It was in Christ as their head and representative they were chosen to holiness and eternal life, and therefore in virtue of what he was to do in their behalf. There is a federal union with Christ which is antecedent to all actual union, and is the source of it. God gave a people to his Son in the covenant of redemption. Those included in that covenant, and because they are included in it--in other words, because they are in Christ as their head and representative--receive in time the gift of the Holy Spirit and all other benefits of redemption. Their voluntary union with Christ by faith, is not the ground of their federal union, but, on the contrary, their federal union is the ground of their voluntary union. It is, therefore, in Christ, i. e. as united to him in the covenant of redemption, that the people of God are elected to eternal life and to all the blessings therewith connected. Much in the same sense the Israelites are said to have been chosen in Abraham. Their relation to Abraham and God's covenant with him, were the ground and reason of all the peculiar blessings they enjoyed. So our covenant union with Christ is the ground of all the benefits which we as the people of God possess or hope for. We were chosen in Christ, as the Jews were chosen in Abraham. The same truth is expressed in 3, 11, where it is said that the carrying out or application of the plan of redemption is "according to the eternal purpose which He purposed in Christ Jesus our Lord." God purposed to save men in Christ, He elected them in him to salvation.

Again, this election is from eternity. He chose us *pro` kataboles ko'smou*, before the foundation of the world. Comp. 2 Thess. 2, 13. Matt. 25, 34. As our idea of time arises from the perception of motion

or consciousness of succession, the natural expression for eternity is 'before time,' before the existence of creatures who exist in time.

Hence what has been from eternity is said in Scriptures to have been before the world was, John 17, 24. 1 Pet. 1, 20; or before the ages, 1 Cor. 2, 7. 2 Tim. 1, 9. "The grace given us in Christ Jesus pro chronon aionion, before the world began."--There seem to be two things intended by this reference to the eternity of the divine purpose. The one is, to represent God as doing every thing in time according to a preconceived plan; or as working all things after the counsel of his own will. From eternity the whole scheme of redemption with all its details and in all its results lay matured in the divine mind. Hence every thing is certain. There is no possibility either of failure or of any change of purpose. The eternity of God's purpose is, therefore, a strong ground of confidence and comfort. The other is, to express the sovereignty of the divine purpose. The grace was given to us before we existed, before the world began, and of course before we had done any good or evil. It was, therefore, not for works of righteousness which we have done, but according to his mercy he saved us. If the one aspect of the truth that God chose us before the foundation of the world, is adapted to produce confidence; the other aspect is no less adapted to produce humility.

This election is to holiness. We are chosen *einai agi'ous kai` amo'mous kateno'pion autou*, to be holy and without blame before him. These words admit of two interpretations. They may be understood to refer to our justification, or to our sanctification. They express either that freedom from guilt and blame in the sight of God, which is the proximate effect of the death of Christ; or that subjective purification of the soul which is its indirect, but certain effect produced by the Holy Spirit which his death secures for his people. The words admit of either interpretation; because *hagiazein*, as remarked

above on v. 1, often means to cleanse from guilt, to atone for; and hagios means clean from guilt, atoned for; and amomos may mean free from any ground of blame; unsträflich (not deserving of punishment), as Luther renders it. In favour of this interpretation it is urged, first, that it is unscriptural as well as contrary to experience, to make perfect purity and freedom from all blemish, the end of election. There is little force in this argument, because the end of election is not fully attained in this life. It might as well be said that the huiothesia (the adoption of sons), to which in v. 5 we are said to be predestinated, includes nothing more than what is experienced in this world. Besides, in 5, 27, it is said, Christ gave himself for the church, 'That he might present it to himself a glorious church, not having spot or wrinkle, or any such thing, but (hina e agi'a kai` amomos) that it should be holy and without blemish." This certainly is descriptive of a degree of inward purity not attained by the church militant. Comp. Col. 1, 22. Secondly, it is urged that the whole context treats of the effect of the hilasterion or propitiatory sacrifice of Christ, and therefore these words must be understood of justification, because sanctification is not the effect of a sacrifice. But the Scriptures often speak of the remote, as well as of the immediate end of Christ's death. We are reconciled to God by the death of his Son in order that we should be holy. Propitiation is in order to holiness. Therefore, it is said, "He gave himself for us that he might redeem us from all iniquity, and purify us unto himself a people zealous of good works." Titus 2, 14. In many other passages sanctification is said to be the end for which Christ died. There is nothing in the context, therefore, which requires us to depart from the ordinary interpretation of this passage. If the words en aga'pe (in love) are to be connected with the preceding clause, it is decisive as

to its meaning 'We are chosen to be holy and without blame in love.' It is a state of moral excellence which consists in love. That is, it is no mere external consecration to God, as was the case with the Jews, nor any mere ceremonial freedom from blemish, to which we are elected. This is altogether the most natural connection of the words, from which no one would have thought of departing, had it not been assumed that the words "holy and without blame" refer to sacrificial purification. To connect *en agape*, with *exele'xato*, would give the sense, 'Hath chosen us in love;' but this the position of the words forbids. To connect them with *proori'sas*, which follows, would give the sense, 'In love having predestinated us.' But this also is unnatural; and besides, the word predestinated has its limitation or explanation in the following clause, "according to the good pleasure of his will." It would be tautological to say: 'He hath predestinated us in love according to the good pleasure of his will.' The majority of commentators, therefore, adopt the construction followed by our translators.

If election is to holiness as the apostle here teaches, it follows, first, that individuals, and not communities or nations, are the objects of election; secondly, that holiness in no form can be the ground of election. If men are chosen to be holy, they cannot be chosen because they are holy. And, thirdly, it follows that holiness is the only evidence of election. For one who lives in sin to claim to be elected unto holiness, is a contradiction.

V. 5. The apostle says, 'God hath chosen us to holiness, having predestinated us to sonship; that is, because he has thus predestinated us. Holiness, therefore, must be a necessary condition or prerequisite for the sonship here spoken of. Sonship in reference to God includes--1. Participation of his nature, or conformity to his image.

2. The enjoyment of his favour, or being the special objects of his love. 3. Heirship, or a participation of the glory and blessedness of God. Sometimes one and sometimes another of these ideas is the most prominent. In the present case it is the second and third. God having predestinated his people to the high dignity and glory of sons of God, elected them to holiness, without which that dignity could neither be possessed nor enjoyed. It is through Jesus Christ, that we are made the sons of God. As many as received him, to them gave he the power to become the sons of God. John 1, 12. For we are all the children of God by faith of Jesus Christ. Gal. 3, 26. Christ has purchased this dignity for his people. He died for them on condition that they should be the sons of God, restored to their Father's family and reinstated in all the privileges of this divine relationship.

The words *eis hauton*, to himself, in the clause, Predestinated us to sonship by Jesus Christ to himself,' are somewhat difficult. The text, in the first place, is uncertain. Some editors read *eis hauton*, unto himself, and others *eis auton*, unto him. In either case, however, the reference is to God. They admit of three explanations. 1. They may limit or explain the word sonship. Sonship unto himself,' i. e. sons in relation to God. 2. They may express the design of this adoption. Sonship for himself,' i. e. for his benefit or glory. This assumes that *eis* is here equivalent to the dative. 3. They may be connected immediately with the words Jesus Christ. Through Jesus Christ to himself,' i. e. to be brought to him by Jesus Christ. The first is generally preferred, because it gives a good sense, and is consistent with the force of the preposition.

The ground of this predestination and of the election founded upon it, is expressed by the clause, *kata` te`n eudoki'an tou thele'matos autou*, according to the good pleasure of his will. The word *eudoki'a* means

either benevolence, favour, as in Luke 2, 14; or good pleasure, free or sovereign purpose, as in Matt. 11, 26; and Luke 10, 21. Phil. 2, 13.

The meaning therefore may be either: according to his benevolent will,' or according to his sovereign will,' i. e. his good pleasure. The latter is to be preferred. 1. Because it agrees better with the usage of the word in the N. T. In Matt. 11, 26, o'ti ou'tos ege'neto eudoki'a e'mprosthe'n sou means, Because thus it seemed good in thy sight.' In Luke 10, 21, the same words occur in the same sense. In Phil. 2, 13, upe`r tes eudoki'as means, Of good pleasure.' 2. The words eudoki'a tou thelematos naturally mean voluntas liberrima, beneplacitum, sovereign purpose; to make them mean benevolent will, is contrary to scriptural usage. 3. In this connection it is not the predestinated that are the objects of eudoki'a, but the act of predestination itself. God chose to have that purpose. It seemed good to him. 4. The expressions, "purpose of his will," " counsel of his will," v. 11, are used interchangeably with that in the text, and determine its meaning. 5. The analogy of Scripture is in favour of this interpretation, because the ground of election is always said to be the good pleasure of God.

V. 6. The final end of election is the glory of God. He has predestinated us to sonship, ?eis epainon do'xes tes cha'ritos autou, to the praise of the glory of his grace. That is, in order that in the exaltation and blessedness of his people, matter for celebrating his grace might be abundantly afforded. It is worthy of remark that here, as in 2, 7. 1 Cor. 1, 27-29, and elsewhere, the specific design of redemption and of the mode in which its blessings are dispensed, is declared to be the manifestation of the grace or unmerited favour of God. Nothing therefore can be more foreign to the nature of the Gospel than the doctrine of merit in any form. It is uncongenial with that great scheme of mercy whose principal design is to exhibit the grace of

God.

It is to weaken the language of the apostle to make do'xes a mere qualification either of epainon (praise), or of cha'ritos (grace). It is neither glorious praise, nor glorious grace, but to the praise of the glory of his grace. The glory of grace, is the divine excellence of that attribute manifested as an object of admiration. The glory of God is the manifested excellence of God, and the glory of any one of his attributes, is the manifestation of that attribute as an object of praise. The design of redemption, therefore, is to exhibit the grace of God in such a conspicuous manner as to fill all hearts with wonder and all lips with praise.

Wherein he hath made us accepted. The Text in this clause is uncertain. Some MSS. have en hes which is the common text; and others hes. Mill, Griesbach, Lachmann, Rückert adopt the latter; Knapp, Scholz, Harless, De Wette the former. If the genitive be preferred, hes is for hen, and the phrase charin charitoun would be analogous to others of frequent occurrence, as klesin kalein, agapen agapan. This clause admits of two interpretations. The word charitoo, agreeably to the analogy of words of the same formation, signifies to impart charis grace. The literal rendering therefore of the words en he (chariti) echari'tosen emas would be, with which grace he has graced us, or conferred grace upon us. But as grace sometimes means a disposition and sometimes a gift, the sense may be either, 'Wherein (i. e. in the exercise of which) he has been gracious towards us;' or, 'With which he has made us gracious or well pleasing.' In the former case, grace refers to the goodness or unmerited favour of God exercised towards us; in the latter, to the sanctifying effect produced on us. It is the grace by which he has sanctified or rendered us gracious (in the subjective sense of that word) in his sight. The Greek and Romish interpreters prefer the latter

interpretation; the great body of Protestant commentators the former.

The reasons in favour of the former are, 1. The word grace in the context is used in the sense of kind disposition on the part of God, and not in the sense of a gift. 2. The verb in the only other case where it occurs in the New Testament, is used in the sense of showing favour. Luke 1, 28: "Hail, thou favoured one!" 3. The parallel passage and analogous expression 2, 4 is in favour of this interpretation.

There it is said, "His great love wherewith he hath loved us," and here the same idea is expressed by saying, His grace wherein he favoured us, or which he has exercised towards us.' 4. The whole context demands this interpretation. The apostle is speaking of the love or grace of God as manifested in our redemption. He has predestinated us to the adoption of sons to the praise of the glory of his grace; which grace he has exercised towards us, in the remission of sins. The same idea is expressed 2, 7, where it is said, God hath quickened us, that in the ages to come he might show the exceeding riches of his grace in his kindness towards us, through Jesus Christ. "To make accepted," therefore, here means, to accept, to treat with favour; or rather, such is the meaning of the apostle's language; *gratia amplexus est*, as the word is rendered by Bengel. To which agrees the explanation of Beza: *gratis nos sibi acceptos effecit*.

This grace is exercised towards us in the Beloved. In ourselves we are unworthy. All kindness towards us is of the nature of grace. Christ is the beloved for his own sake; and it is to us only as in him and for his sake that the grace of God is manifested. This is a truth which the apostle keeps constantly in view, 2, 5. 6. 7.

V. 7. In whom we have redemption. In whom, i. e. not in ourselves. We are not self-redeemed. Christ is our Redeemer. The word redemption, *apolutrosis*, sometimes means deliverance in the general, without

reference to the mode in which it is accomplished. When used of the work of Christ it is always to be understood in its strict sense, viz. deliverance by ransom; because this particular mode of redemption is always either expressed or implied. We are redeemed neither by power, nor truth, but by blood; that is, by the sacrificial death of the Lord Jesus. A sacrifice is a ransom, as to its effect. It delivers those for whom it is offered and accepted. The words *dia` tou haimatos autou*, by his blood, are explanatory of the words in whom. In whom, i. e. by means of his blood. They serve to explain the method in which Christ redeems.

The redemption of which the apostle here speaks is not the inward deliverance from sin, but it is an outward work, viz. the forgiveness of sins, as the words *te`n aphesin ton paraptoma'ton* necessarily mean. It is true this is not the whole of redemption, but it is all the sacred writer here brings into view, because forgiveness is the immediate end of expiation. Though this clause is in apposition with the preceding, it is by no means coextensive with it. So in Rom. 8, 23, where believers are said to be waiting for the adoption, to wit, the redemption of the body, the two clauses are not coextensive in meaning. The redemption of the body does not exhaust the idea of adoption. Neither in this passage does the forgiveness of sin exhaust the idea of redemption. This passage is often quoted in controversy to prove that justification is merely pardon.

This redemption is not only gratuitous, but it is, in all its circumstances, an exhibition and therefore a proof of the riches of his grace. The word *ploutos* riches in such connections is a favorite one with the apostle, who speaks of the riches of glory, the riches of wisdom, and the exceeding riches of grace. It is the overflowing abundance of unmerited love. inexhaustible in God and freely accessible

through Christ. There is, therefore, nothing incompatible between redemption, i. e. deliverance on the ground of a ransom (or a complete satisfaction to justice), and grace. The grace consists --1. In

providing this satisfaction and in accepting it in behalf of sinners.

2. In accepting those who are entirely destitute of merit. 3. In

bestowing this redemption and all its benefits without regard to the

comparative goodness of men. It is not because one is wiser, better, or

more noble than others, that he is made a partaker of this grace; but

God chooses the foolish, the ignorant, and those who are of no account,

that they who glory may glory only in the Lord.

V. 8. Wherein he hath abounded towards us, *hes eperi'sseusen eis emas*.

As the word *perisseuo* is both transitive and intransitive, the clause

may be rendered as above, *hes being for he*; or, *which he has caused to*

abound towards us, hes being for hen. The sense is the same; but as the

attraction of the dative is very rare, the latter explanation is to be

preferred. We are redeemed according to the riches of that grace, which

God has so freely exercised towards us.

In all wisdom and prudence, *en pa'se sophi'a kai` phrone'sei*. These

words admit of a threefold connection and explanation. 1. They may be

connected with the preceding verb and qualify the action of God therein

expressed. God, in the exercise of wisdom and prudence, has abounded in

grace towards us. 2. They may be connected with the following clause:

In all wisdom and prudence making known, &c.'

3. They may be connected with the preceding relative pronoun. Which (grace) in connection with,

or together with, all wisdom and prudence he has caused to abound.'

That is, the grace manifested by God and received by us, is received in

connection with the divine wisdom or knowledge of which the subsequent

clause goes on to speak. This last explanation seems decidedly

preferable because the terms here used, particularly the word *phronesis*

prudence, is not in its ordinary sense properly referable to God.

Cicero de Off. 1. 43. Prudentia enim, quam Graeci phronesin dicunt, est rerum expetendarum fugiendarumque scientia. And because the sense afforded by the third mentioned interpretation is so appropriate to the context and so agreeable to other passages of Scripture. The apostle often celebrates the goodness of God in communicating to men the true wisdom; not the wisdom of this world, nor of the princes of this world, but the wisdom of God in a mystery, even the hidden wisdom, which God ordained before the world to our glory. See 1 Cor. 1, 17 to the end, and the whole second chapter of that epistle.--Similar modes of expression are common with the apostle. As here he speaks of grace being given (en) in connection with wisdom, so in v. 17 he prays that the Ephesians may receive wisdom (en) in connection with the knowledge of himself.

The wisdom then which the apostle says God has communicated to us, is the divine wisdom in the Gospel, the mystery of redemption, which had been hid for ages in God, but which he has now revealed to his holy apostles and prophets by the Spirit. See the glorious doxology for this revelation contained in Rom. 16, 25-27. Indeed this whole Epistle to the Ephesians is a thanksgiving to God for the communication of this mysterious wisdom. Mysterious, not so much in the sense of incomprehensible, as in that of undiscoverable by human reason, and a matter of divine revelation. With wisdom the apostle connects phronesis, which is here used much in the same sense as sunesis in Col. 1, 9, That ye may be filled with the knowledge of his will in all wisdom and spiritual understanding.' The verb phroneo is used for any mental exercise or state whether of the understanding or of the feelings. In the New Testament it is commonly employed to express a state of the affections, or rather, of the whole soul, as in Mark 8,

33, "Thou savourest not the things which be of God." Rom. 8, 5, "To mind the things of the flesh." Col. 3, 2, "Set your affections on things above," &c. &c. Hence its derivative phronema is used not only for thought, but more generally for a state of mind, what is in the mind or soul, including the affections as well as the understanding. Hence we have such expressions as phronema tes sarkos a carnal state of mind; and phronema tou pneumatou a state of mind produced by the Spirit. The word phronesis is equally comprehensive. It is not confined to strictly intellectual exercises, but expresses also those of the affections. In other words, when used in reference to spiritual things, it includes all that is meant by spiritual discernment. It is the apprehension of the spiritual excellence of the things of God, and the answering affection towards them. It is not therefore a mere outward revelation of which the apostle here speaks. The wisdom and understanding which God has so abundantly communicated, includes both the objective revelation and the subjective apprehension of it. This is the third great blessing of which the context treats. The first is election; the second redemption; the third is this revelation both outward and inward. The first is the work of God, the everlasting Father; the second the work of the Son; and the third the work of the Holy Spirit, who thus applies to believers the redemption purchased by Christ.

V. 9. God has caused this wisdom to abound, or has communicated it, having made known unto us the mystery of his will, ?gnori'sas emin to` muste'riou tou thele'matos autou. In other words, by the revelation of the Gospel. The word muste'riou, mystery, means a secret, something into which we must be initiated; something, which being undiscoverable by us, can be known only as it is revealed. In this sense the Gospel is a mystery; and any fact or truth, however simple in itself, in the New

Testament sense of the word, is a mystery, if it lies beyond the reach of our powers. Comp. Rom. 16, 25. 1 Cor. 2, 7-10. Eph. 3, 9. Col. 1, 26. For the same reason any doctrine imperfectly revealed is a mystery. It remains in a measure secret. Thus in the fifth chapter of this epistle Paul calls the union of Christ and believers a great mystery; and in 1 Tim. 3, 16 he calls the manifestation of God in the flesh, the great mystery of godliness.

In the present case the mystery of his will means his secret purpose; that purpose of redemption, which having been hid for ages, he has now graciously revealed.

According to his good pleasure, kata` te`n eudoki'an autou, e`n proe'theto en auto. There are three interpretations of this clause. The first is to make it qualify the word will. His will which was according to his good pleasure; i. e. his kind and sovereign will. But this is forbidden by the absence of the connecting article in the Greek, and also by the following clause. The second interpretation connects this clause with the beginning of the verse, Having, according to his good pleasure, made known the mystery of his will.' The sense in this case is good, but this interpretation supposes the relative which, in the following clause, to refer to the mystery of his will, which its grammatical form in the Greek forbids. Which (e`n) must refer to good pleasure (eudoki'a). The third explanation, which alone seems consistent with the context, supposes eudoki'a to mean here not benevolence, but kind intention, or, sovereign purpose. The sense then is: Having made known the mystery of his will, according to his kind intention or purpose (viz. of redemption) which he had purposed in himself.' Instead of in himself, many commentators read in him, referring to Christ. But this would introduce tautology into the passage. The apostle would then say: Which he purposed in Christ, to

bring together in Christ.'

V. 10. This verse is beset with difficulties. The general sense seems to be this: The purpose spoken of in the preceding verse had reference to the scheme of redemption; the design of which is to unite all the subjects of redemption, as one harmonious body, under Jesus Christ. Eis oikonomi'an tou plero'matos ton kairon, anakephalaio'sasthai, ktl. The first question relates to the connection with what precedes. This is indicated by the preposition eis, which does not here mean in, as though the sense were, He purposed in, or during, the dispensation, &c.; much less until; but as to, in reference to. The purpose which God has revealed relates to the economy here spoken of. The second question is, what is here the meaning of the word oikonomi'a? The word has two general senses in the New Testament. When used in reference to one in authority, it means plan, scheme, or economy. When spoken of one under authority, it means an office, stewardship, or administration of such office. In this latter sense Paul speaks of an oikonomi'a as having been committed unto him. As the business of a steward is to administer, or dispense, so the apostle was a steward of the mysteries of God. It was his office to dispense to others the truths which God had revealed to him. Many take the word in the latter sense here. The meaning would then be: In reference to the administration of the fulness of times, i. e. the last times, or Messianic period; the times which yet remain.'

The former sense of the word however is much better suited to the context. The apostle is speaking of God's purpose, of what He intended to do. It was a purpose having reference to a plan or economy of his own; an economy here designated as that of the fulness of times. This phrase does not indicate a protracted period--the times which remain--but the termination of the times; the end of the preceding and commencement of the new dispensation. The prophets being ignorant of

the time of the Messiah's advent, predicted his coming when the time determined by God should be accomplished. Hence the expressions, "end of the ages," 1 Cor. 10, 11; "end of days," Heb. 1, 1; "fulness of the time," Gal. 4, 4; and here, "the fulness of times," are all used to designate the time of Christ's advent. By the economy of the fulness of times is therefore to be understood, that economy which was to be clearly revealed and carried out when the fulness of time had come. The infinitive *anakephalaio'sasthai*, to bring together in one, may be referred either to the immediately preceding clause: The plan of the fulness of times to bring together in one;' or to the preceding verse: The purpose which he purposed (in reference to the economy of the fulness of times), to gather together in one.' The sense is substantially the same. The verb *kephalaioo* means *summatim colligere*, *anakephalaioo*, *summatim recolligere*. In the New Testament it means either: 1. To reduce to one sum, i. e. to sum up, to recapitulate. Rom. 13, 9: All the commands are summed up in, or under, one precept.' 2. To unite under one head; or, 3. To renew. Many of the Fathers adopt the last signification in this place, and consider this passage as parallel with Rom. 8, 19-22. Through Christ God purposes to restore or renovate all things; to effect a *palingenesia* or regeneration of the universe, i. e. of the whole creation which now groans under the burden of corruption. This sense of the word however is remote. The first and second meanings just mentioned differ but little. They both include the idea expressed in our version, that of regathering together in one, the force of *ana*, *iterum*, being retained. Beza explains the word: *partes disjectas et divulsas in unum, corpus conjungere*.--The purpose of God, which he has been pleased to reveal, and which was hidden for ages, is his intention to reunite all things as one harmonious whole under Jesus Christ.

The words ta` pa'nta, all things, are explained by the following clause: ta` epi` tois ouranois kai` ta epi tes ges, both which are in heaven and which are on earth. The totality here referred to includes every thing in heaven and on earth, which the nature of the subject spoken of admits of being comprehended. There is nothing to limit these comprehensive terms, but the nature of the union to which the apostle refers. As, therefore, the Scriptures speak of the whole universe, material and rational, as being placed under Jesus Christ; as they speak especially of all orders of intelligent creatures being subject to him; as they teach the union of the long disjected members of the human family, the Jews and Gentiles, in one body in Christ, of which union this epistle says so much and in such exalted strains; and as finally they speak of the union of the saints of all ages and nations, of those now in heaven and of those now on earth, in one great family above; the words, ALL THINGS, are very variously explained. 1. Some understand them to include the whole creation, material and spiritual, and apply the passage to the final restoration of all things; or to that redemption of the creature from the bondage of corruption of which the apostle speaks in Rom. 8, 19-22. 2. Others restrict the "all things" to all intelligent creatures--good and bad, angels and men--fallen spirits and the finally impenitent. In this view the reduction to unity, here spoken of, is understood by the advocates of the restoration of all things to the favour of God, to refer to the destruction of all sin and the banishment of all misery from the universe. But those who believe that the Scriptures teach that the fallen angels and the finally impenitent among men, are not to be restored to holiness and happiness, and who give the phrase "all things" the wide sense just mentioned, understand the apostle to refer to the final triumph of Christ over all his enemies, of which he speaks in 1

Cor. 15, 23-28. All things in heaven above, in the earth beneath, and in the waters under the earth, are to be made subject to Christ; but this subjection will be either voluntary or coerced. The good will joyfully acknowledge his supremacy; the evil he will restrain and confine, that they no longer trouble or pervert his people. 3. Others again understand the words under consideration, of all good angels and men. The inhabitants of heaven, or the angels, and the inhabitants of the earth, or the saints, are to be united as a harmonious whole under Jesus Christ. 4. The words are restricted to the members of the human family; and the distinction between those in heaven and those on earth, is supposed to refer to the Jews and Gentiles, who, having been so long separated, are under the Gospel and by the redemption of Christ, united in one body in him. The Jews are said to be in heaven because in the kingdom of heaven, or the theocracy; and the Gentiles are said to be on earth, or in the world as distinguished from the church. 5. The words may be confined to the people of God, the redeemed from among men, some of whom are now in heaven and others are still on earth. The whole body of the redeemed are to be gathered together in one, so that there shall be one fold and one shepherd. The form of expression is analogous to Eph. 3, 15, where the apostle speaks of the whole family in heaven and earth.

The decision which of these several interpretations is to be adopted, depends mainly on the nature of the union here spoken of, and on the means by which it is accomplished. If the union is merely a union under a triumphant king, effected by his power converting some and coercing others, then of course we must understand the passage as referring to all intelligent creatures. But if the union spoken of be a union with God, involving conformity to his image and the enjoyment of his favour, and effected by the redemption of Christ, then the terms here employed

must be restricted to the subjects of redemption. And then if the Scriptures teach that all men and even fallen angels are redeemed by Christ, and restored to the favour of God, they must be included in the all things in heaven and earth here spoken of. If the Scriptures teach that good angels are the subjects of redemption, then they must be comprehended in the scope of this passage. [1] But if the doctrine of the Bible be, that only a certain portion of the human family are redeemed and saved by the blood of Christ, then to them alone can the passage be understood to refer. In order therefore to establish the correctness of the fifth interpretation mentioned above, all that is necessary is to prove, first, that the passage speaks of that union which is effected by the redemption of Christ; and secondly, that the church alone is the subject of redemption.

That the passage does speak of that union which is effected by redemption, may be argued --1. From the context. Paul, as we have seen, gives thanks first for the election of God's people; secondly, for their actual redemption; thirdly, for the revelation of the gracious purpose of God relative to their redemption. It is of the redemption of the elect, therefore, that the whole context treats. 2. Secondly, the union here spoken of is an union in Christ. God has purposed "to gather together all things in Christ." The things in heaven and the things on earth are to be united in Him. But believers alone, the members of his body, are ever said to be in Christ. It is not true that angels good or bad, or the whole mass of mankind are in Him in any scriptural sense of that expression. 3. The word here used expresses directly or indirectly the idea of the union of all things under Christ as their head. Christ is not the head of angels, nor of the material universe in the sense in which the context here demands. He is the head of his body, i. e. his church. It is therefore only of the redemption of the church of which

this passage can be understood. 4. The obviously parallel passage in Colossians 1, 20 seems decisive on this point. It is there said: "It pleased the Father . . . having made peace through the blood of his cross, by him to reconcile all things unto himself; by him, I say, whether they be things in earth, or things in heaven." From this passage it is plain that the union to be effected is a reconciliation, which implies previous alienation, and a reconciliation effected by the blood of the cross. It is, therefore, not a union of subjection merely to the same Lord, but it is one effected by the blood of Christ, and consequently the passage can be understood only of the subjects of redemption.

That the church or people of God, excluding angels good or bad, and the finally impenitent among men, are alone the subjects of redemption, is proved, as to evil angels and impenitent men, by the numerous passages of Scripture which speak of their final destruction; and as to good angels, by the entire silence of Scripture as to their being redeemed by Christ, and by the nature of the work itself. Redemption, in the scriptural sense, is deliverance from sin and misery, and therefore cannot be predicated of those angels who kept their first estate.

These considerations exclude all the interpretations above enumerated except the fourth and fifth. The fourth, which supposes the passage to refer to the union of the Jews and Gentiles, is excluded by its opposition to the uniform language of Scripture. The Jews are never designated as inhabitants of heaven.' It is in violation of all usage, therefore, to suppose they are here indicated by that phrase. Nothing therefore remains but the assumption that the apostle refers to the union of all the people of God, i. e. of all the redeemed, in one body under Jesus Christ their head. They are to be constituted an everlasting kingdom; or, according to another symbol--a living temple,

of which Jesus Christ is the chief corner stone.

V. 11. God having formed and revealed the purpose of gathering the redeemed as one body in Christ, it is in the execution of this purpose, the apostle says: *?en ho kai` eklero'themen*, in whom we also have obtained an inheritance. By we, in this clause, is to be understood neither the apostle individually, nor believers indiscriminately, but we, who first hoped in Christ; we as contrasted with you also in v. 13; you who were formerly Gentiles in the flesh, 2, 11. It is, therefore, the Jewish Christians to whom this clause refers.

Have obtained an inheritance. The word *kleroo*, means to cast lots, to distribute by lot, to choose by lot, and in the middle voice, to obtain by lot or inheritance, or simply, to obtain. There are three interpretations of the word *eklero'themen* in this passage, all consistent with its signification and usage. 1. Some prefer the sense to choose: In whom we also were chosen, as it were, by lot, i. e. freely.' The Vulgate translates the passage: *Sorte vocati sumus*; and Erasmus: *Sorte electi sumus*. 2. As in the Old Testament the people of God are called his inheritance, many suppose the apostle has reference to that usage and meant to say: In whom we have become the inheritance of God.' 3. The majority of commentators prefer the interpretation adopted in our version: In whom we have obtained an inheritance.' This view is sustained by the following considerations. 1. Though the verb is in the passive, the above rendering may be justified either by the remark of Grotius: as the active form signifies to give a possession, the passive may signify to accept it; [2] or by a reference to that usage of the passive voice illustrated in such passages as Rom. 3, 2. Gal. 2, 7. With verbs, which in the active have the accusative and dative, in the passive construction what was in the dative, becomes the nominative. Hence *eklero'themen* is the same as *eklerose hemin*

kleronomian; just as pepisteumai to euangelion is equivalent to episteuse moi to euangelion. 2. The inheritance of which the apostle speaks in the context, as in vs. 14 and 18, is that which believers enjoy. They are not themselves the inheritance, they are the heirs. Therefore in this place it is more natural to understand him as referring to what believers attain in Christ, than to their becoming the inheritance of God. As the Israelites of old obtained an inheritance in the promised land, so those in Christ become partakers of that heavenly inheritance which he has secured for them. To this analogy such frequent reference is made in Scripture as to leave little doubt as to the meaning of this passage. 3. The parallel passage in Col. 1, 12, also serves to determine the sense of the clause under consideration. What is there expressed by saying: 'Hath made us partakers of the inheritance of the saints in light;' is here expressed by saying: 'We have obtained an inheritance.' Kai, also, belongs to the verb and not to the pronoun implied in the form of the verb. The sense is not we also, i. e. we as well as other; but, we have also obtained an inheritance.' We have not only been made partakers of the knowledge of redemption, but are actually heirs of its blessings.

There are two sentiments with which the mind of the apostle was thoroughly imbued. The one is, a sense of the absolute supremacy of God, and the other a corresponding sense of the dependence of man and the consequent conviction of the entirely gratuitous nature of all the benefits of redemption. To these sentiments he seldom fails to give expression on any fit occasion. In the present instance having said we have in Christ obtained a glorious inheritance, the question suggests itself, Why? His answer is: Having been predestinated according to the purpose of Him who worketh all things after the counsel of his own will. It is neither by chance nor by our own desert or efforts, that

we, and not others, have been thus highly favoured. It has been brought about according to the purpose and by the efficiency of God. What has happened He predetermined should occur; and to his "working" the event is to be exclusively referred. We are said to be predestinated, kata` pro'thesin, according to the purpose of God. In v. 5 the same thing is expressed by saying: We were predestinated according to the good pleasure of his will;' and in Rom. 8, 28, by saying: We are called according to his purpose.' Two things are included in these forms of expression. 1st. That what occurs was foreseen and foreordained. The plan of God embraced and ordered the events here referred to. 2d. That the ground or reason of these occurrences is to be sought in God, in the determination of his will. This however is not a singular case. The bringing certain persons to the enjoyment of the inheritance purchased by Christ, is not the only thing foreordained by God and brought about by his efficiency, and, therefore, the apostle generalizes the truth here expressed, by saying: We are predestinated according to the purpose of Him who worketh all things after the counsel of his own will.' Every thing is comprehended in his purpose, and every thing is ordered by his efficient control. That control, however, is exercised in accordance with the nature of his creatures, so that no violence is done to the constitution which he has given them. He is glorified, and his purposes are accomplished without any injustice or violence. The counsel of his will, kata` te`n boule`n tou thele'matos autou, means the counsel which has its origin in his will; neither suggested by others, nor determined by any thing out of himself. It is therefore equivalent to his sovereign will.

V. 12. That we should be to the praise of his glory, eis to` einai emas, eis e'painon tes do'xes autou, that is, that we should be the means of causing his divine majesty or excellence to be praised. Here,

as in v. 6, the glory of God is declared to be the design of the plan of redemption and of every thing connected with its administration. The persons here spoken of are described as *toû's proelpiko'tas en to Christo*, those who first hoped in Christ. That is, who hoped in him of old, or before his advent; or, who hoped in him before others, mentioned in v. 13, had heard of him. In either case it designates not the first converts to Christianity, but the Jews who, before the Gentiles, had the Messiah as the object of their hopes. The form of expression here used (*elpizein en*), does not mean simply to expect, but to place one's hope or confidence in any one. Comp. 1 Cor. 15, 19. It is not, therefore, the Jews as such, but the believing Jews, who are here spoken of as in Christ the partakers of the inheritance which he has purchased.

The construction of these several clauses adopted in the foregoing exposition is that which takes them in their natural order, and gives a sense consistent with the usage of the words and agreeable to the analogy of Scripture. The first clause of this verse is made to depend upon the last clause of v. 11: 'Having predestinated us to be the praise of his glory;' and the last clause, 'Who first hoped in Christ,' is merely explanatory of the class of persons spoken of. The whole then hangs naturally together: 'We have obtained an inheritance, having been predestinated to be the praise of his glory, we, who first hoped in Christ.' There are, however, two other modes of construction possible. The one connects the beginning of v. 12 with the first clause of v. 11, and renders *eklerothemen*, we have attained. The sense would then be, 'We have attained, or, it has happened unto us to be to the praise of his glory.' This however not only unnaturally dissevers contiguous clauses, but assigns to *eklerothemen* a weakened sense inconsistent with the Scripture usage of that and its cognate words. A second method connects

the last clause of the 12th verse with the second clause of the 11th.--Having predestinated us to be the first who hoped in Christ.' But this also rends the clauses apart, and does not express a sense so suitable to the context. It is saying much more, and much more in the way of an explanation of the fact affirmed in the first clause of v. 11, to say, We were predestinated to be the praise of God's glory;' than to say, We were predestinated to be the first who hoped in Christ.' The majority of commentators therefore take the clauses as they stand, and as they are concatenated in our version.

V. 13. The apostle having in v. 10 declared that the purpose of God is to bring all the subjects of redemption into one harmonious body, says in v. 11 that this purpose is realized in the conversion of the Jewish Christians, and he here adds that another class, viz. the Gentile Christians, to whom his epistle is specially addressed, are comprehended in the same purpose. The first clause, en ho kai` umeis, is elliptical. In whom ye also, after that ye heard, &c. There are therefore several modes of construction possible. 1. Our translators borrow the verb helpikate from the immediately preceding clause.--We, who first trusted in Christ, in whom ye also trusted.' But the preceding clause is merely subordinate and explanatory, and does not express the main idea of the context. This construction also overlooks the obvious antithesis between the we of the 11th verse and the you of this clause. 2. Others supply simply the verb are. In whom you also are.' This is better, but it is liable to the latter objection just mentioned. 3. Others make you the nominative to the verb were sealed in the following clause.--In whom you also (having heard, &c.) were sealed.' But this requires the clauses to be broken by a parenthesis. It supposes also the construction to be irregular, for the words in whom also are repeated before the verb ye were sealed. The passage

according to this construction would read, In whom ye also--, in whom also ye were sealed.' Besides, the sealing is not the first benefit the Gentile Christians received. They were first brought into union with Christ and made partakers of his inheritance and then sealed. 4. It is therefore more consistent not only with the drift of the whole passage, and with the relation between this verse and verse 11, but also with the construction of this and the following verse to supply the word eklerothete, have obtained an inheritance. Every thing is thus natural. In v. 11, the apostle says, In whom we have obtained an inheritance;' and here, In whom ye also have obtained an inheritance.' Both Jews and Gentiles are by the mediation of Christ, and in union with him, brought to be partakers of the benefits of that plan of mercy which God had purposed in himself, and which he has now revealed for the salvation of men.

The clause that follows expresses the means by which the Gentile Christians were brought to be partakers of this inheritance.--In whom ye also have obtained an inheritance, akou'santes to`n lo'gon tes alethei'as, to` euang. tes soteri'as umon, having heard the word of truth, the gospel of your salvation.' The latter of these expressions is explanatory of the former. By the word of truth, is to be understood, the Gospel. The word of truth does not mean simply true doctrine; but that word which is truth, or in which divine or saving truth is. Col. 1, 5. 2 Cor. 6, 7. The gospel of your salvation, is the gospel concerning your salvation; or rather, the gospel which saves you. It is that gospel which is, as is said Rom. 1, 16, the power of God unto salvation. As it was by hearing this gospel the Gentiles in the days of the apostle were brought to be partakers of the inheritance of God, so it is by the same means men are to be saved now and in all coming ages until the consummation. It is by the word of truth, and not

truth in general, but by that truth which constitutes the glad news of salvation.

In whom also, after that ye believed, ye were sealed. This is more than a translation, it is an exposition of the original, *en ho kai` pisteu'santes esphragi'sthete*. There are three interpretations of this clause possible, of which our translators have chosen the best. The relative (*en ho*) may be referred to the word *gospel*. In which having believed;' or it may be referred to Christ and connected with the following participle, *In whom having believed;*' or it may be taken as in our version, by itself, *In whom, i. e. united to whom after that ye believed, ye were sealed.'* This is to be preferred not only because the other construction is unusual (i. e. it is rare that *pisteu'ein* is followed by *en*), but because the words, *in whom*, occur so frequently in the context in the same sense with that here given to them. In Christ, the Gentile Christians had obtained an inheritance, and in him also, they were sealed--after having believed. Whatever is meant by sealing, it is something which follows faith.

There are several purposes for which a seal is used. 1. To authenticate or confirm as genuine and true. 2. To mark as one's property. 3. To render secure. In all these senses believers are sealed. They are authenticated as the true children of God; they have the witness within themselves, 1 John 5, 10. Rom. 8, 16. 5, 5. They are thus assured of their reconciliation and acceptance. They are moreover marked as belonging to God, Rev. 7, 3; that is, they are indicated to others, by the seal impressed upon them, as his chosen ones. And thirdly, they are sealed unto salvation; i. e. they are rendered certain of being saved.

The sealing of God secures their safety. Thus believers are said Eph. 4, 30, "to be sealed unto the day of redemption;" and in 2 Cor. 1, 21, the apostle says: "Now he which establisheth us with you in Christ, and

hath anointed us, is God; who also hath sealed us, and given us the earnest of the Spirit in our hearts." The sealing then of which this passage speaks answers all these ends. It assures of the favour of God; it indicates those who belong to him; and it renders their salvation certain.

This sealing is by the Holy Spirit of promise. That is, by the Spirit who was promised; or who comes in virtue of the promise. This promise was given frequently through the ancient prophets, who predicted that when the Messiah came and in virtue of his mediation, God would pour his Spirit on all flesh. Christ when on earth frequently repeated this promise; assuring his disciples that when he had gone to the Father, he would send them the Comforter, even the Spirit of truth, to abide with them for ever. After his resurrection he commanded the apostles to abide in Jerusalem until they had received "the promise of the Father," Acts 1, 4; meaning thereby the gift of the Holy Ghost. In Gal. 3, 14, it is said to be the end for which Christ redeemed us from the curse of the law, that we should receive the promise of the Spirit. This then is the great gift which Christ secures for his people; the indwelling of the Holy Spirit, as the source of truth, holiness, consolation, and eternal life.

V. 14. This Spirit is ho arrhabo`n tes kleronomi'as emon, the earnest of our inheritance. It is at once the foretaste and the pledge of all that is laid up for the believer in heaven. The word arrhabo`n is a Hebrew term which passed first into the Greek and then into the Latin vocabulary, retaining its original sense. It means first, a part of the price of any thing purchased, paid, as a security for the full payment, and then more generally a pledge. It occurs three times in reference to the Holy Spirit in the New Testament, 2 Cor. 1, 22. 5, 5; and in the passage before us. In the same sense the Scriptures speak of "the first

fruits of the Spirit," Rom. 8, 23. Those influences of the Spirit which believers now enjoy are at once a prelibation or antepast of future blessedness, the same in kind though immeasurably less in degree; and a pledge of the certain enjoyment of that blessedness. Just as the first fruits were a part of the harvest, and an earnest of its ingathering. It is because the Spirit is an earnest of our inheritance, that his indwelling is a seal. It assures those in whom he dwells of their salvation, and renders that salvation certain. Hence it is a most precious gift to be most religiously cherished.

Until the redemption of the purchased possession, eis apolu'trosin tes peripoie'seos. It is doubtful whether these words should be connected with the preceding clause or with the words were sealed in the 13th verse. Our translators have adopted the former method. The Spirit is an earnest until the redemption,' &c. The latter, however, is perhaps on the whole preferable. Ye were sealed until, or in reference to, the redemption,' &c. This view is sustained by a comparison with 4, 30, where it is said: Ye were sealed unto the day of redemption.'

The word redemption, in its Christian sense, sometimes means that deliverance from the curse of the law and restoration to the favour of God, of which believers are in this life the subjects. Sometimes it refers to that final deliverance from all evil, which is to take a place at the second advent of Christ. Thus in Luke 21, 28, "They shall see the Son of man coming in a cloud with power and great glory; then lift up your heads; for your redemption draweth nigh." Rom. 8, 23. Eph. 4, 30. There can be no doubt that it here refers to this final deliverance.

The word rendered purchased possession, is peripoiesis; which means either the act of acquiring, or, the thing acquired. If the former signification be adopted here, the word can only be taken as a

participial qualification of the preceding word. The redemption of acquisition,' for acquired or purchased redemption.' But this is unnatural. Redemption in itself includes the idea of purchased deliverance. Purchased redemption' is therefore tautological. If the word be taken for the thing acquired,' then it may refer to heaven, or the inheritance here spoken of. But heaven is never said to be redeemed. It is therefore most naturally understood of God's people. They are his possession, his peculium. They are in 1 Pet. 2, 9 called *laos eis peripoi'esin*, a peculiar people. And in Mal. 3, 17 it is said, They shall be to me for a possession, *e'sontai' moi eis peripoi'esin*. Comp. Acts 20, 28, *ekklesi'a e'n periepoie'sato*. This interpretation is, therefore, peculiarly suited to the scriptural usage, and the sense is perfectly appropriate. Ye are sealed, says the apostle, until the redemption of God's peculiar people; i. e. unto the great day of redemption spoken of in 4, 30.

Unto the praise of his glory, i. e. that his glory or excellence should be praised. Comp. vs. 6 and 12. This is the end both of the final redemption and of the present acceptance of believers. This clause, therefore, is to be referred to the whole of the preceding passage. Ye have received an inheritance, have been sealed, and have received the Holy Spirit as an earnest, in order that God may be glorified. This is the last and highest end of redemption.

SECTION III.--Vs. 15-23.

15. Wherefore I also, after I heard of your faith in the Lord Jesus, and love unto all the saints,

16. cease not to give thanks for you, making mention of you in my prayers;

17. that the God of our Lord Jesus Christ, the Father of glory, may give unto you the spirit of wisdom and revelation in the knowledge of

him:

18. the eyes of your understanding being enlightened; that ye may know what is the hope of his calling, and what the riches of the glory of his inheritance in the saints,

19. and what is the exceeding greatness of his power to us-ward who believe, according to the working of his mighty power,

20. which he wrought in Christ, when he raised him from the dead, and set him at his own right hand in the heavenly places,

21. far above all principality, and power, and might, and dominion, and every name that is named, not only in this world, but also in that which is to come:

22. and hath put all things under his feet, and gave him to be the head over all things to his church:

23. which is his body, the fulness of him that filleth all in all.

ANALYSIS.

Having in the preceding Section unfolded the nature of those blessings of which the Ephesians had become partakers, the apostle gives thanks to God for their conversion, and assures them of their interest in his prayers, vs. 15. 16. He prays that God would give them that wisdom and knowledge of himself of which the Spirit is the author, v. 17; that their eyes might be enlightened properly to apprehend the nature and value of that hope which is founded in the call of God; and the glory of the inheritance to be enjoyed among the saints, v. 18; and the greatness of that power which had been already exercised in their conversion, v. 19. The power which effected their spiritual resurrection, was the same as that which raised Christ from the dead, and exalted him above all created beings and associated him in the glory and dominion of God, vs. 20. 21. To him all things are made subject, and he is constituted the supreme head of the church, which is

his body, the fulness or complement of the mystical person of him who fills the universe with his presence and power, vs. 22. 23.

COMMENTARY.

V. 15. Wherefore. This word is to be referred either to the whole preceding paragraph, or specially to v. 13. Because you Ephesians, you Gentile Christians, have obtained a portion in this inheritance, and, after having believed, have been sealed with the Holy Spirit of promise, &c.'--I also, i. e. as well as others, and especially yourselves.' The Ephesians might well be expected to be filled with gratitude for their conversion. The apostle assures them he joins them in their perpetual thanksgiving over this glorious event.

Having heard of your faith in the lord Jesus. As Paul was the founder of the church in Ephesus, and had laboured long in that city, it has always excited remark that he should speak of having heard of their faith, as though he had no personal acquaintance with them. This form of expression is one of the reasons why many have adopted the opinion, as mentioned in the Introduction, that this epistle was addressed not to the Ephesians alone or principally, but to all the churches in the western part of Asia Minor. It is, however, not unnatural that the apostle should speak thus of so large and constantly changing a congregation, after having been for a time absent from them. Besides, the expression need mean nothing more than that he continued to hear of their good estate. The two leading graces of the Christian character are faith and love--faith in Christ and love to the brethren. Of these, therefore, the apostle here speaks. Your faith; *te`n kath' umas pi'stin*, which either means the faith which is with you; or as our version renders the words, your faith. Comp. in the Greek Acts 17, 28. 18, 15. Faith in the Lord Jesus, i. e. faith or trust which has its ground in him. For examples of the construction of *pistis* with *en*, see

Gal. 3, 26. Col. 1, 4. 1 Tim. 1, 14. 3, 13. 2 Tim. 1, 13. 3, 15. Comp. Mark 1, 15, and in the Septuagint Jer. 12, 6. Ps. 78, 22. This construction, though comparatively rare, is not to be denied, nor are forced interpretations of passages where it occurs to be justified, in order to get rid of it.

In the Old Testament the phrases, the Lord said, the Lord did, our Lord, and the like, are of constant occurrence; and are used only, in this general way, of the Supreme God. We never hear of the Lord, nor our Lord, when reference is had to Moses or any other of the prophets. In the New Testament, however, what is so common in the Old Testament in reference to God, is no less common in reference to Christ. He is the Lord; the Lord Jesus; our Lord, &c. &c. It is this constant mode of speaking, together with the exhibition of his divine excellence, and holding him up as the object of faith and love, even more than any particular declaration, which conveys to the Christian reader the conviction of his true divinity. His being the object of faith and the ground of trust to immortal beings, is irreconcilable with any other assumption than that he is the true God and eternal life.

And love towards all the saints, i. e. towards those who are saints; those who have been cleansed, separated from the world, and consecrated to God. This love is founded upon the character and relations of its objects as the people of God, and therefore it embraces all the saints.

V. 16. I cease not giving thanks for you, making mention of you, &c. This does not mean, praying I give thanks;' but two things are mentioned--constant thanksgiving on their account, and intercession.

V. 17. The burden of his prayer is contained in this and the verses following. The object of his prayer, or the person to whom it is addressed, is designated, first, as the God of our Lord Jesus Christ, i. e. the God, whose work Christ came to do, by whom he was sent, of

whom he testified and to whom he has gone;--and secondly, *o pater tes do'xes*, the Father of glory. This designation is variously explained.

By glory many of the Fathers understood the divine nature of Christ, and remarked that Paul here calls God, the God of Christ as a man, but his Father as God. [3] This interpretation of the phrase Father of glory,' is without the least support from the analogy of Scripture. It means either, the source or author of glory; or the possessor of glory, i. e. who is glorious. Comp. Acts 7, 1. 1 Cor. 2, 8, "Lord of glory." James 2, 1, and in Ps. 24, 7, "the king of glory."

There are three leading petitions expressed in the prayer here recorded. First, for adequate knowledge of divine truth. Second, for due appreciation of the future blessedness of the saints. Third, for a proper understanding of what they themselves had already experienced in their conversion.

His first prayer is thus expressed: That he may give unto you the Spirit of wisdom and revelation, in the knowledge of him. By *pneuma sophi'as*, the Spirit of wisdom, is to be understood the Holy Spirit, the author of wisdom, and not merely a state of mind, which consists in wisdom. It is true the word spirit is sometimes used in periphrases expressive of mental acts or states. As in 1 Cor. 4, 21, "spirit of meekness;" and 2 Cor. 4, 13, "The same spirit of faith," i. e. the same confidence. But in the present case the former interpretation is to be preferred. 1. Because the Holy Spirit is so constantly recognized as the source of all right knowledge; and 2. Because the analogy of Scripture is in favour of this view of the passage. In such passages as the following the word spirit evidently is to be understood of the Holy Spirit. John 15, 26, "Spirit of truth;" Rom. 8, 15, "Spirit of adoption;" comp. Gal. 4, 6, "God sent forth the Spirit of his Son into your hearts, crying, Abba, Father." 1 Thess. 1, 6, "Joy of the Holy

Spirit." Rom. 15, 30, "Love of the Spirit." Gal. 5, 5, "We by the Spirit wait," &c. The Holy Spirit is the author of that wisdom of which the apostle speaks so fully in 1 Cor. 2, 6-10; and which he describes, first negatively as not of this world, and then affirmatively, as the hidden wisdom of God, which he had revealed, by the Spirit, for our glory. It is the whole system of divine truth, which constitutes the Gospel. Those who have this wisdom are the wise. There is a twofold revelation of this wisdom, the one outward, by inspiration, or through inspired men; the other inward, by spiritual illumination. Of both these the apostle speaks in 1 Cor. 2, 10-16, and both are here brought into view. Comp. Phil. 3, 15. By apokalupsis, revelation, therefore, in this passage is not to be understood, the knowledge of future events, nor the prophetic gift, nor inspiration. It is something which all believers need and for which they should pray. It is that manifestation of the nature or excellence of the things of God, which the Spirit makes to all who are spiritually enlightened, and of which our Saviour spoke, when he said in reference to believers, They shall all be taught of God.

In the knowledge of him. The pronoun him refers not to Christ, but to God the immediate subject in this context. The word epignosis here rendered knowledge means accurate and certain, and especially, experimental knowledge; as in Rom. 3, 20, "By the law is the knowledge (the conviction) of sin." Eph. 4, 13. Phil. 1, 9. 1 Tim. 2, 4. The word expresses adequate and proper knowledge, the precise nature of which depends on the object known. The phrase is en epignosei, which some render as though eis with the accusative were used--unto knowledge, i. e. so as to know. Others connect these words with those which precede, and translate, wisdom in knowledge,' i. e. wisdom consisting in knowledge. Others again connect them with the following clause, Through

knowledge your eyes being enlightened.' The simplest method is to refer them to what precedes.' May give you wisdom together with the knowledge of himself.' Comp. v. 8, and Phil. 1, 9, "That your love may abound in, i. e. together with, knowledge." The apostle's prayer is for the Holy Spirit to dwell in them, as the author of divine wisdom, and as the revealer of the things of God, which insight into the things of the Spirit, is connected with that knowledge of God in which eternal life essentially consists.

V. 18. The eyes of your understanding being enlightened. Instead of *dianoias* understanding, the great majority of ancient manuscripts and versions read *kardias* head, which is no doubt the true reading. The word heart in Scripture is often used as we use the word soul, to designate the whole spiritual nature in man. Rom. 1, 21. 2 Cor. 4, 6. This clause *pephotisme'nous tou`s ophthalmou`s tes kardi'as umon*, may either be taken absolutely as our translators have understood it--or considered as in apposition and explanatory of what precedes. That he may give you the spirit of wisdom, &c., eyes enlightened, &c.' This latter mode of explanation is the one commonly adopted. The effect of the gift of the spirit of wisdom is this illumination, not of the speculative understanding merely, but of the whole soul. For light and knowledge in Scripture often include the ideas of holiness and happiness, as well as that of intellectual apprehension. Comp. such passages as John 8, 12, "Light of life." Acts 26, 18, "To turn from darkness to light." Eph. 5, 8, "Ye were sometime darkness, but now are ye light in the Lord." Believers, therefore, are called "children of the light." Luke 16, 8. 1 Thess. 5, 5.

The residue of this verse *eis to` eide'nai umas*, *ktl.* contains a second petition. Having prayed that the Ephesians might be enlightened in the knowledge of God and of divine things, the apostle here prays, as the

effect of that illumination, that they may have a proper appreciation of the inheritance to which they have attained.

That ye may know what is the hope of his calling, i. e. the hope of which his calling is the source; or to which he has called you. The vocation here spoken of is not merely the external call of the Gospel, but the effectual call of God by the Spirit, to which the word *klesis* in the epistles of Paul always refers. The word hope is by many here understood objectively for the things hoped for; as in Rom. 8, 24, and Col. 1, 5, "The hope laid up for you in heaven." It is then identical with the inheritance mentioned in the latter part of the verse. This, however, is a reason against that interpretation. There are two things which the apostle mentions and which he desires they may know. First, the nature and value of the hope which they are now, on the call of God, authorized to indulge; and secondly, the glory of the inheritance in reserve for them. It is better, therefore, to take the word in its ordinary subjective sense. It is a great thing to know, or estimate aright the value of a well founded hope of salvation.

And what the riches of the glory of his inheritance, *kai ti's o ploutos tes do'xes tes kleronomi'as autou*, i. e. what is the abundance and greatness of the excellence of that inheritance of which God is the author. The apostle labours here, and still more in the following verses, for language to express the greatness of his conceptions. This inheritance is not only divine as having God for its author; but it is a glorious inheritance; and not simply glorious, but the glory of it is inconceivably great.

In the saints, *en tois agi'ois*. These words admit of different constructions, but the most natural is to refer them to the immediately preceding clause, His inheritance in the saints; i. e. which is to be enjoyed among them. Comp. Acts 20, 32, and 26, 18, "An inheritance

among them that are sanctified." Col. 1, 12, "Partakers of the inheritance of the saints in light." It was one part of the peculiar blessedness of the Gentile Christians, who had been strangers and foreigners, that they were become fellow-citizens of the saints. It was therefore an exaltation of the inheritance, now set before them, to call it the inheritance prepared for the saints, or peculiar people of God.

V. 19. And what is the exceeding greatness of his power to us-ward who believe. This is the third petition in the apostle's prayer. He prays that his readers may have right apprehensions of the greatness of the change which they had experienced. It was no mere moral reformation effected by rational considerations; nor was it a self-wrought change, but one due to the almighty power of God. Grotius indeed, and commentators of that class, understand the passage to refer to the exertion of the power of God in the future resurrection and salvation of believers. But 1. It evidently refers to the past and not to the future. It is something which believers, as believers, had already experienced that he wished them to understand. 2. The apostle never compares the salvation of believers with the resurrection of Christ, whereas the analogy between his natural resurrection and the spiritual resurrection of his people, is one to which he often refers. 3. This is the analogy which he insists upon in this immediate connection. As God raised Christ from the dead and set him at his own right hand in heavenly places; so you, that were dead in sins, hath he quickened and raised you up together in him. This analogy is the very thing he would have them understand. They had undergone a great change; they had been brought to life; they had been raised from the dead by the same almighty power which wrought in Christ. There was as great a difference between their present and their former condition, as between Christ in

the tomb and Christ at the right hand of God. This was something which they ought to know. 4. The parallel passage in Col. 2, 12, seems decisive of this interpretation. "Buried with him in baptism, wherein also ye are risen with him through faith of the operation of God, who raised him from the dead. And you, being dead in your sins and the uncircumcision of your flesh, hath he quickened together with him, having forgiven you all trespasses." In this passage it cannot be doubted that the apostle compares the spiritual resurrection of believers with the resurrection of Christ, and refers both events to the operation of God, or to the divine power. Such also is doubtless the meaning of the passage before us; and in this interpretation there has been a remarkable coincidence of judgment among commentators. Chrysostom says: "The conversion of souls is more wonderful than the resurrection of the dead." Oecumenius remarks on this passage: "To raise us from spiritual death is an exercise of the same power that raised Christ from natural death." Calvin says, "Some (i. e. Stulti homines) regard the language of the apostle in this passage as frigid hyperbole, but those who are properly exercised find nothing here beyond the truth." He adds: "Lest believers should be cast down under a sense of their unworthiness, the apostle recalls them to a consideration of the power of God; as though he had said, their regeneration is a work of God, and no common work, but one in which his almighty power is wonderfully displayed." Luther, in reference to the parallel passage in Colossians, uses the following language: "Faith is no such easy matter as our opposers imagine, when they say, Believe, Believe, how easy is it to believe.' Neither is it a mere human work, which I can perform for myself, but it is a divine power in the heart, by which we are new born, and whereby we are able to overcome the mighty power of the Devil and of death; as Paul says to the Colossians,

In whom ye are raised up again through the faith which God works."

It is then a great truth which the apostle here teaches. He prays that his readers may properly understand *ti' to` upe'ba'llon me'gethos tes duna'meos autou*. The conversion of the soul is not a small matter; nor is it a work effected by any human power. It is a resurrection due to the exceeding greatness of the power of God.

According to the working of his mighty power, *kata` te`n ene'rgeian tou kra'tous tes ischu'os autou*. The original here offers a remarkable accumulation of words.--According to the energy of the might of his power.' *Ischus, kratos, energeia; Robur, Potential, Efficacia*. The first is inherent strength; the second power; the third the exercise or efficiency of that strength. Or, as Calvin says, The first is the root, the second the tree, the third the fruit. Whatever be the precise distinction in the signification of the words, their accumulation expresses the highest form of power. It was nothing short of the omnipotence of God to which the effect here spoken of is due. No created power can raise the dead, or quicken those dead in trespasses and sins.

The connection of this clause is somewhat doubtful. It may be referred to the words exceeding greatness of his power, i. e. *kata` ene'rgeian* may be referred to *to` upe'ba'llon me'gethos, ktl*. The sense would then be--That ye may know the exceeding greatness of his power, to us-ward that believe, which was, according to, or like, the working of his mighty power which wrought in Christ.' Or, *pisteu'ontas kata` ene'rgeian* may be connected, Who believe in virtue of the working of his mighty power.' In the one case this clause is a mere illustration or amplification of the idea of the divine power of which believers are the subject. In the other, it expresses more definitely the reason why the power which they had experienced was to be considered so great,

viz., because their faith was due to the same energy that raised Christ from the dead. In either case the doctrinal import of the passage is the same. The considerations in favour of the latter mode of construction are: 1. The position of the clauses. According to this interpretation they are taken just as they stand. Us who believe in virtue of (kata) the working, &c.' 2. The frequency with which the apostle uses the preposition kata in the sense thus given to it. In ch. 3, 7, he says, his conversion and vocation were (kata) in virtue of the working of God's power.' See also 3, 20. 1 Cor. 12, 8. Phil. 3, 21. Christ will fashion our bodies (kata) in virtue of the energy whereby he is able to subdue all things unto himself.' Col. 1, 29. 2 Thess. 2, 9. To say, therefore, we believe in virtue of, &c.,' is in accordance with a usage familiar to this apostle. 3. The parallel passage in Col. 2, 12, expresses the same idea. There the phrase is pi'stis ton energieas, faith of the operation of God, i. e. which he operates; here it is pi'stis kata ten energieian, faith in virtue of the operation, . The analogy between the expressions is so striking, that the one explains and authenticates the other.

The prayer recorded in these verses is a very comprehensive one. In praying that the Ephesians might be enlightened with spiritual apprehensions of the truth, the apostle prays for their sanctification. In praying that they might have just conceptions of the inheritance to which they were called, he prayed that they might be elevated above the world. And in praying that they might know the exceeding greatness of the power exercised in their conversion, he prayed that they might be at once humble and confident; humble, in view of the death of sin from which they had been raised; and confident, in view of the omnipotence of that God who had begun their salvation.

V. 20. Which he wrought in Christ when he raised him from the dead,

When regenerated, etc. There are two things evidently intended in these words. First, that the power which raises the believer from spiritual death, is the same as that which raised Christ from the grave. And secondly, that there is a striking analogy between these events and an intimate connection between them. The one was not only the symbol, but the pledge and procuring cause of the other. The resurrection of Christ is both the type and the cause of the spiritual resurrection of his people, as well of their future rising from the grave in his glorious likeness. On this analogy and connection the apostle speaks at large in Rom. 6, 1-10, and also in the following chapters of this epistle. As often therefore as the believer contemplates Christ as risen and seated at the right hand of God, he has at once an illustration of the change which has been effected in his own spiritual state, and a pledge that the work commenced in regeneration shall be consummated in glory. And caused him to sit at his own right hand in the heavenly places. Kings place at their right hand those whom they design to honour, or whom they associate with themselves in dominion. No creature can be thus associated in honour and authority with God, and therefore to none of the angels hath he ever said: Sit thou at my right hand. Heb. 1, 13. That divine honour and authority are expressed by sitting at the right hand of God, is further evident from those passages which speak of the extent of that dominion and of the nature of that honour to which the exalted Redeemer is entitled. It is an universal dominion. Matt. 28, 18. Phil. 2, 9. 1 Pet. 3, 22; and it is such honour as is due to God alone. John 5, 23.

V. 21. The immediate subject of discourse in this chapter is the blessings of redemption conferred on believers. The resurrection and exaltation of Christ are introduced incidentally by way of illustration. The apostle dwells for a moment on the nature of this

exaltation, and on the relation of Christ, at the right hand of God, to his church, and then, at the beginning of the following chapter, reverts to his main topic.

The subject of the exaltation here spoken of is not the Logos, but Christ; the Theanthropos, or God-man. The possession of divine perfections was the necessary condition of this exaltation because, as just remarked, the nature and extent of the dominion granted to him, demand such perfections. It is a dominion not only absolutely universal, but it extends over the heart and conscience, and requires the obedience not only of the outward conduct but of the inward life, which is due to God alone. We therefore find the divine nature of Christ presented in the Scriptures as the reason of his being invested with this peculiar dominion. Thus in the second Psalm, it is said, "Thou art my Son; ask of me, I will give thee the heathen for thine inheritance. &c." That is, because thou art my son, ask and I will give thee this dominion. And in the first chapter of the epistle to the Hebrews, it is said, The Son, being the brightness of the Father's glory, and the express image of his person, and upholding all things by the word of his power, is set down at the right hand of the majesty on high. That is, because he is of the same nature with the Father and possesses the same almighty power, he is associated with him in his dominion. While the divine nature of Christ is the necessary condition of his exaltation, his mediatorial work is the immediate ground of the Theanthropos, God manifested in the flesh, being invested with this universal dominion. This is expressly asserted, as in Phil. 2, 9. Though equal with God, he humbled himself to become obedient unto death, wherefore also God hath highly exalted him.

In illustration of the exaltation of Christ mentioned in v. 20, the apostle here says, He is seated *huper ano*, up above, high above all

principality, and power, and might, and dominion. That these terms refer to angels is plain from the context, and from such passages as Rom. 8, 38. Col. 1, 16. Eph. 3, 10, 6, 12. Where angels are either expressly named, or the powers spoken of are said to be in heaven, or they are opposed to "flesh and blood," i. e. man, as a different order of beings. The origin of the application of these terms to angels cannot be historically traced. The names themselves suggest the reason of their use. Angels are called principalities, powers and dominions, either because of their exalted nature; or because through them God exercises his power and dominion; or because of their relation to each other. It is possible indeed that Paul had a polemic object in the use of these terms. This epistle and especially that to the Colossians, contain many intimations that the emanation theory, which afterwards assumed the form of Gnosticism, had already made its appearance in Asia Minor. And as the advocates of that theory used these terms to designate the different effluxes from the central Being, Paul may have borrowed their phraseology in order to refute their doctrine. Be this as it may, the obvious meaning of the passage is that Christ is exalted above all created beings.

And every name, i. e., as the connection shows, every name of excellence or honour, that is named. That is, above every creature bearing such name as prince, potentate, ruler, or whatever other title there may be.

Not only in this world, but also in that which is to come, en to aioni tou'to alla` kai` en to me'llonti. That is, not only in this age, but in the age to come. The words may have the general sense of, here or hereafter; as in Matt. 12, 32. According to Jewish usage, they designate the period before and the period after the advent of the Messiah. To this, however, there is no reference in the context. As in

Matthew these words are used to express in the strongest terms that the sin against the Holy Ghost can never be forgiven; so here they are intended to add universality to the preceding negation. There is no name here or hereafter, in this world or in the next, over which Christ is not highly exalted.

V. 22. And hath put all things under his feet. Christ is not only exalted above all creatures, but he has dominion over them; all are placed in absolute subjection to him. They are under his feet. This passage is a quotation from Ps. 8, 7. It is applied to Christ by this same apostle in 1 Cor. 15, 27, and Heb. 2, 8. In both of these passages the word all is pressed to the full extent of its meaning. It is made to include all creatures, all capable of subjection; all beings save God alone, are made subject to man in the person of Jesus Christ, the Lord of lords, and King of kings.

There are two principles on which the application of this passage of Ps. 8 to Christ may be explained. The one is that the Psalm is a prophetic exhibition of the goodness of God to Christ, and of the dominion to be given to him. There is nothing, however, in the contents of the Psalm to favour the assumption of its having special reference to the Messiah. The other principle admits the reference of the Psalm to men generally, but assumes its full meaning to be what the apostle here declares it to be, viz., that the dominion which belongs to man is nothing less than universal. But this dominion is realized only in the Man Christ Jesus, and in those who are associated with him in his kingdom. This latter mode of explanation satisfies all the exigencies both of the original Psalm and of the passages where it is quoted in the New Testament.

And gave him to be head over all things to the church, kai` auto`n e'doken kephale`n upe`r pa'nta te ekklesi'a. This may mean either, he

gave him to the church as her head; or, he constituted him head for the church. The former is more consistent with the meaning of the verb *didomi*. It may, however, also signify to constitute; see 4, 11, and compare 1 Cor. 12, 28. In either case, Christ is declared to be head not of the universe, but of the church. This being admitted, *upe`r pa`nta* may be taken in immediate connection with *kephalen*, head over all, i. e. supreme head. This does not mean head over all the members of the church, as the Vulgate translates: *caput super omnem ecclesiam*; for *pa`nta* and *ekklesi'a* are not grammatically connected; but simply supreme head. Or we may adopt the interpretation of Chrysostom: *ton onta huper panta ta oromena kai ta nooumena Christon*, "Him, who is over all things visible and invisible, he gave to the church as her head." This gives a good sense, but supposes an unnatural trajection of the words. Luther also transposes the words: *Und hat ihn gesetzt zum Haupt der Gemeinde über alles*. So does De Wette: *Und ihn gesetzt über alles zum Haupte der Gemeinde*, And placed him over all as head of the church. In all these interpretations the main idea is retained; viz. that Christ is the head of the church. As in Col. 2, 10, it is said Christ is *e kephale` pa`ses arches kai` exousi'as*, the head of all principality and power, in the sense of supreme ruler; and as here in the immediately preceding context he is said to be exalted over all principality and power, and in the following context he is said to be the head of the church, which is his body, the two ideas may be here combined. Him he gave as head over all things, as head to his church.'--This is Meyer's interpretation. He, the exalted Saviour, the incarnate Son of God, seated as head of the universe, is made head of his church. This view of the passage has the advantage of giving *panta* the same reference here that it has in the preceding verse. All things are placed under his feet, and he head over all things, is head of the

church.

The sense in which Christ is the head of the church, is that he is the source of its life, its supreme ruler, ever present with it, sympathizing with it, and loving it as a man loves his own flesh. See 4, 15. 16. 5, 23. 29. Rom. 12, 5. 1 Cor. 12, 27. Intimate union, dependence, and community of life, are the main ideas expressed by this figure.

V. 23. Which is his body. This is the radical, orl formative idea of the church. From this idea are to be developed its nature, its attributes, and its prerogatives. It is the indwelling of the Spirit of Christ, that constitutes the church his body. And, therefore, those only in whom the Spirit dwells are constituent members of the true church. But the Spirit does not dwell in church officers, nor especially in prelates, as such; nor in the baptized, as such; nor in the mere external professors of the true religion; but in true believers, who therefore constitute that church which is the body of Christ, and to which its attributes and prerogatives belong.

The main question which this verse presents for consideration is: In what sense is the church the fulness of Christ? There are, however, two other points which must be previously determined. In the first place, it is the church, and not Christ to whom the word fulness here refers.

Some commentators adopt the following interpretation of the passage:

Christ, the supreme head to the church (which is his body), the fulness, i. e. Christ is the fulness, of him that filleth all in all.'

But 1. This interpretation violates the grammatical construction of the passage. 2. It rends the clauses very unnaturally asunder. 3. It assumes that the last clause of the verse, viz. who fills all in all,' refers to God, whereas it refers to Christ. 4. The sense thus obtained is unscriptural. The fulness of the Godhead is said to be in Christ;

but Christ is never said to be the fulness of God.

In the second place, the church is here declared to be the fulness of Christ, and not the fulness of God.--Some commentators understand the passage thus: The church, which is the body of Christ, is the fulness of him who fills all in all, i. e. of God.' But to this it is objected,

1. That the construction of the passage requires that the last clause in the verse be referred to Christ; and 2. This interpretation supposes the word ple'roma fulness, to mean multitude.'The multitude belonging to him who fills all in all.' But this is a signification which the word never has in itself, but only in virtue of the word with which it is at times connected. The expression ple'roma tes poleos may be freely rendered, the multitude of the city, because that which fills a city is a multitude. But this does not prove that the word ple'roma itself signifies a multitude. There is no good reason then for departing from the ordinary interpretation, according to which, the church is declared to be the fulness of Christ.

There are two opinions as to the meaning of this phrase, between which commentators are principally divided. First, the church may be called the fulness of Christ, because it is filled by him. As the body is filled, or pervaded by the soul, so the church is filled by the Spirit of Christ. Or, as God of old dwelt in the temple, and filled it with his glory, so Christ now dwells in his church and fills it with his presence. The sense is then good and scriptural. The church is filled by him, who fills all in all.' Or secondly, the church is the fulness of Christ, because it fills him, i. e. completes his mystical person. He is the head, the church is the body. It is the complement, or that which completes, or renders whole. As both these interpretations give a sense that is scriptural and consistent with the context, the choice between them must be decided principally by the New Testament usage of

the word ple'roma. The former interpretation supposes the word to have a passive signification--that which is filled. But in every other case in which it occurs in the New Testament, it is used actively--that which does fill. Matt. 9, 16, The piece put into an old garment is called its fulness, i. e. that which is put in to fill it up.' Mark 6, 43, The fragments which filled the baskets, are called their fulness. John 1, 16, Of his fulness,' means the plenitude of grace and truth that is in him. Gal. 4, 4, The fulness of the time, is that which renders full the specified time. Col. 2, 9, The fulness of the Godhead, is all that is in the Godhead. Eph. 3, 19, The fulness of God, is that of which God is full--the plenitude of divine perfections. 1 Cor. 10, 26, The fulness of the earth, is that which fills the earth. The common usage of the word in the New Testament is therefore clearly in favour of its being taken in an active sense here. The church is the fulness of Christ--in that it is the complement of his mystic person. He is the head, the church is his body.

In favour of the other interpretation it may be urged,--1. That ple'roma has in the Classics, in Philo, in the writings of the Gnostics, at times, a passive sense. 2. The meaning thus afforded is preferable. It is a more scriptural and more intelligible statement, to say that Christ fills his church, as the soul pervades the body--or as the glory of the Lord filled the temple, than to say that the church in any sense fills Christ. 3. Ple'roma must be taken in a sense which suits the participle pleroumenou; the church is filled by him who fills all things.' The second and third of these reasons are so strong as to give this interpretation the preference in the minds of those to whom the usus loquendi of the New Testament is not an insuperable objection. That filleth all in all, tou ta` pa'nta en pasi pleroume'hou. This clause, as before remarked, refers to Christ, as the construction

obviously demands. The participle *pleroume'nou* is by almost all commentators assumed to have in this case an active signification. This assumption is justified by the exigency of the place, and by the fact that in common Greek the passive forms of this verb are at times used in an active sense. That there is no such case in the New Testament, is not therefore a sufficient reason for departing from the ordinary interpretation.

The expression, *ta` pa`nta en pasi*, all in all, or, all with all, does not mean all the church in all its members, or with all grace, but the universe in all its parts. There is nothing in the context to restrict or limit *ta` pa`nta*. The words must have the latitude here which belongs to them in the preceding verses. The analogy of Scripture is in favour of this interpretation. God's relation to the world, or totality of things external to himself, is elsewhere expressed in the same terms. Jer. 23, 24, "Do not I fill heaven and earth? saith the Lord." Comp. 1 Kings 8, 27. Ps. 139, 7. In the New Testament Christ is set forth as creating, sustaining, and pervading the universe. Col. 1, 16. 17. Heb. 1, 3. Eph. 4, 10. This, therefore, determines the sense in which he is here said to fill all things. It is not that he replenishes all his people with his grace; but that he fills heaven and earth with his presence. There is no place where he is not. There is no creature from which he is absent. By him all things consist; they are upheld by his presence in them and with them. The union, therefore, which the church sustains, and which is the source of its life and blessedness, is not with a mere creature, but with Christ, God manifested in the flesh, who pervades and governs all things by his omnipresent power. The source of life, therefore, to the church is inexhaustible and immortal.

[1] CALVIN thinks there is a sense in which good angels may be said to be redeemed by Christ. On this passage, he says: Nihil tamen impedit, quominus angelos quoque dicamus recollectos fuisse, non ex dissipatione, sed primum. ut perfecte et solide adhereant Deo; deinde ut perpetuum statum retineant Quis neget, tam angelos quam homines, in firmum ordinem Christo gratia fuisse redactos? homines enim perditum erant, angeli vero non erant extra periculum. Again, on the parallel passage in Colossians, he says: Duabus de causis angelos quoque oportuit cum Deo pacificari, nam quam creaturae sint extra lapsus periculum non erant, non nisi Christi gratia fuissent confirmati Deinde in hac ipsa obedientia, quam praestant Deo, non est tam exquisita perfectio, ut Deo omni ex parte et extra veniam satisfaciatur.

[2] His words are: kleroun, dicitur, qui alteri dat possessionem, klerousthai, qui eam accipit.

[3] So BENGEL, who explains the expression thus: Pater gloriae, infinitae illius, quae refulget in facie Christi; immo gloriae quae est ipse filius Dei.

CHAPTER II: THE APOSTLE CONTRASTS THE SPIRITUAL STATE OF THE EPHESIANS BEFORE THEIR CONVERSION, WITH THAT INTO WHICH THEY HAD BEEN INTRODUCED BY THE GRACE OF GOD, VS. 1-10.--HE CONTRASTS THEIR PREVIOUS CONDITION AS ALIENS, WITH THAT OF FELLOW-CITIZENS OF THE SAINTS AND MEMBERS OF THE FAMILY OF GOD, VS. 11-22.

THE APOSTLE CONTRASTS THE SPIRITUAL STATE OF THE EPHESIANS BEFORE THEIR CONVERSION, WITH THAT INTO WHICH THEY HAD BEEN INTRODUCED BY THE GRACE OF GOD, VS. 1-10.--HE CONTRASTS THEIR PREVIOUS CONDITION AS ALIENS, WITH THAT OF FELLOW-CITIZENS OF THE SAINTS AND MEMBERS OF THE FAMILY OF GOD, VS. 11-22.

SECTION I.--Vs. 1-10.

And you hath he quickened, who were dead in trespasses and sins,

2. wherein in time past ye walked according to the course of this world, according to the prince of the power of the air, the spirit that now worketh in the children of disobedience:

3. among whom also we all had our conversation in times past in the lusts of our flesh, fulfilling the desires of the flesh and of the mind; and were by nature the children of wrath, even as others.

4. But God, who is rich in mercy, for his great love wherewith he loved us,

5. even when we were dead in sins, hath quickened us together with Christ, (by grace ye are saved;)

6. and hath raised us up together, and made us sit together in heavenly places in Christ Jesus:

7. that in the ages to come he might show the exceeding riches of his grace in his kindness towards us, through Christ Jesus.

8. For by grace are ye saved through faith; and that not of yourselves: it is the gift of God:

9. not of works, lest any man should boast.

10. For we are his workmanship, created in Christ Jesus unto good

works, which God hath before ordained that we should walk in them.

ANALYSIS.

There are three principal topics treated of in this Section. First, the spiritual state of the Ephesians before their conversion. Second, the change which God had wrought in them. Third, the design for which that change had been effected.

I. The state of the Ephesians before their conversion, and the natural state of men universally, is one of spiritual death, which includes--1.

A state of sin. 2. A state of subjection to Satan and to our own corrupt affections. 3. A state of condemnation, vs. 1-3.

II. The change which they had experienced was a spiritual resurrection; concerning which the apostle teaches--1. That God is its author. 2.

That it is a work of love and grace. 3. That it was through Christ, or in virtue of union with him. 4. That it involves great exaltation, even an association with Christ in his glory, vs. 4-6.

III. The design of this dispensation is the manifestation through all coming ages of the grace of God. It is a manifestation of grace--1.

Because salvation in general is of grace. 2.. Because the fact that the Ephesian Christians believed or accepted of this salvation was due not to themselves but to God. Faith is his gift. 3. Because good works are the fruits not of nature, but of grace. We are created unto good works.

COMMENTARY.

V. 1. And you hath he quickened, who were dead in trespasses and sins.

There is an intimate connection between this clause and the preceding paragraph. In v. 19 of the first chapter the apostle prays that the Ephesians might duly appreciate the greatness of that power which had been exercised in their conversion. It was to be known from its effects. It was that power which was exercised in the resurrection and exaltation of Christ, and which had wrought an analogous change in

them. The same power which quickened Christ has quickened you. The conjunction kai therefore is not to be rendered also, "you also," you as well as others. It serves to connect this clause with what precedes. God raised Christ from the dead, and he has given life to you dead in trespasses and sins.'

The grammatical construction of these words is doubtful. Some connect them immediately with the last clause of the first chapter.--Who fills all in all and you also,' i. e. umas is made to depend on pleroume'nou. This, however, to make any tolerable sense, supposes the preceding clause to have a meaning which the words will not bear. Others refer the beginning of this verse to the 20th ver. of the preceding chapter or at least borrow from that verse the verb required to complete the sense in this. God raised Christ, and he has raised you, egeiras ton Christon, kai humas egeire. There is indeed this association of ideas, but the two passages are not grammatically thus related. The first seven verses of this chapter form one sentence, which is so long and complicated that the apostle is forced, before getting to the end of it, slightly to vary the construction; a thing of very frequent occurrence in his writings. He dwells so long in vs. 2, 3, 4, on the natural state of the Ephesians, that he is obliged in v. 5, to repeat substantially the beginning of v. 1, in order to complete the sentence there commenced. You dead on account of sin,--wherein ye walked according to the course of the world, subject to Satan, associated with the children of disobedience, among whom we also had our conversation, and were the children of wrath even as others--us, dead on account of trespasses hath God quickened.' This is the way the passage stands. It is plain, therefore, that the sentence begun in the first verse, is resumed with slight variation in the fifth. This is the view taken by our translators, who borrow from the fifth verse the verb ezoopoi'ese

necessary to complete the sense of the first.

Paul describes his readers before their conversion as dead. In Scripture the word life is the term commonly used to express a state of union with God, and death a state of alienation from him. Life, therefore, includes holiness, happiness and activity; and death, corruption, misery and helplessness. All the higher forms of life are wanting in those spiritually dead; they are secluded from all the sources of true blessedness, and they are beyond the reach of any help from creatures. They are dead.

The English version renders the clause, *tois parapto'masi kai` tais amarti'ais*, 'dead in trespasses and sins.' But there is no preposition in the original text, and therefore, the great majority of commentators consider the apostle as assigning the cause, and not describing the nature of this death, 'Dead on account of trespasses and sins.' [4] The former of these words is generally considered as referring to outward transgressions, the latter is more indefinite, and includes all sinful manifestations of *amarti'a*, i. e. of sin considered as an inherent principle. [5]

V. 2. Wherein in time past ye walked. Their former condition, briefly described in the first verse, as a state, of spiritual death, is in this and the verses following. more particularly characterized. They walked in sin. They were daily conversant with it, and devoted to it. They were surrounded by it, and clothed with it. They lived according to the course of this world. In this clause we have not only the character of their life stated, but the governing principle which controlled their conduct. They lived according to, and under the control of, the spirit of the world. The expression *to`n aiona tou ko'smou* does not elsewhere occur, and is variously explained. The most common interpretation assumes that the word *aion* is here used in its

classical, rather than its Jewish sense. It is referred to the old verb *ao*, to breathe, and hence means, breath, vital principle, life, life-time, and then duration indefinitely. According to the life of this world, therefore, means according to the ruling principle, or spirit of the world.' This is substantially the sense expressed in our version, and is much to be preferred to any other interpretation. In all such forms of speech the depravity of men is taken for granted. To live after the manner of men, or according to the spirit of the world, is to live wickedly, which of course implies that men are wicked; that such is the character of the race in the sight of God.

Others, adhering to the New Testament sense of the *aion*, translate this clause thus: according to the age of this world, i. e. in a way suited to the present age of the world, as it is now, compared to what it is to be when Christ comes. Others again give *aion* a Gnostic sense--according to the Eon of this world, i. e. the devil. To this Meyer objects: 1. That it is more than doubtful whether any distinct reference to nascent Gnosticism is to be found in this epistle; and 2. That such a designation of Satan would have been unintelligible to all classes of readers.

This subjection to sin is, at the same time, a subjection to Satan, and therefore the apostle adds, *kata` to`n a`rchonta tes exousi`as tou ae`ros*, according to the prince of the power of the air. In 2 Cor. 4, 4, Satan is called the god, and in John 12, 31, the prince, of this world. He is said to be the prince of the demons. Matt. 9, 34. A kingdom is ascribed to him, which is called the kingdom of darkness. All wicked men and evil spirits are his subjects, and are led captive by him at his will. It is according to this ruler of the darkness of this world, agreeably to his will and under his control, that the Ephesians lived before their conversion. Though there is perfect

unanimity among commentators, that the phrase τῶν ἀρχόντων ἐξουσίας is a designation of Satan, there is much difference of opinion as to the precise import of the terms. First, the genitive, ἐξουσίας, may be taken as qualifying the preceding noun--'Prince of the power,' for 'powerful prince,' or, 'prince to whom power belongs.' Or, secondly, ἐξουσία may be taken metonymically for those over whom power is exercised, i. e. kingdom, as it is used in Col. 1, 13. Or, thirdly, it may designate those to whom power belongs, as in the preceding ch. v. 21. All principality and power' there means, all those who have dominion and power. This last mentioned explanation is the one generally preferred, because most in accordance with Paul's use of the word, and because the sense thus obtained is so suited to the context and the analogy of Scripture. Satan is the prince of the powers of the air, i. e. of those evil spirits, who are elsewhere spoken of as subject to his dominion.

Of the air. The word ἀέρα signifies either the atmosphere, or darkness. The whole phrase, therefore, may mean either, the powers who dwell in the air, or the powers of darkness. In favour of the former explanation is the common meaning of the word, and the undoubted fact that both among the Greeks and Jews it was the current opinion of that age that our atmosphere was the special abode of spirits. In favour of the latter, it may be urged that the Scriptures nowhere else recognize or sanction the doctrine that the air is the dwelling place of spirits.

That opinion, therefore, in the negative sense at least, is unscriptural, i. e. has no scriptural basis, unless in this place. And secondly, the word σκοτεινότης, darkness, is so often used just as ἀέρα is here employed, as to create a strong presumption that the latter was meant to convey the same meaning as the former. Thus, "the power of darkness," Luke 22, 53; "the rulers of darkness," Eph. 6, 12; "the

kingdom of darkness," Col. 1, 13, are all scriptural expressions, and are all used to designate the kingdom of Satan. Thirdly, this signification of the word is not without the authority of usage. The word properly, especially in the earlier writers, means the lower, obscure, misty atmosphere, as opposed to aether, the pure air. Hence it means obscurity, darkness, whatever hides from sight.

There is a third interpretation of this phrase, which retains the common meaning of the word, but makes it express the nature and not the abode of the powers spoken of. Of the earth' may mean earthy; so of the air' may mean aerial. These demons do not belong to our earth, they have not a corporeal nature; they belong to a different and higher order of beings. They are aerial or spiritual. This passage is thus brought into accordance with what is said in Eph. 6, 12. Evil spirits are there said to be in heavenly places,' i. e. in heaven. That is, they do not belong to this earth; they are heavenly in their nature, as spirits without the trammels of flesh and blood. Such at least is one interpretation of Eph. 6, 12. By powers of the air, according to this view, we are to understand, unearthly, superhuman, incorporeal, spiritual beings over whom Satan reigns. This interpretation seems to have been the one generally adopted in the early church.

The spirit that now worketh in the children of disobedience, tou pneu'matos tou nun energountos, ktl. This again is a difficult clause. Our version assumes that the word pneu'matos, spirit, is in apposition with the word a'rchonta, prince. The prince of the power of the air, i. e. the spirit, who now works in the children of disobedience.' The objection to this is that pneu'matos is in the genitive and a'rchonta in the accusative. This interpretation therefore cannot be adopted without assuming an unusual grammatical irregularity. Others prefer taking pneu'matos as in apposition to exousi'as. The sense is then

either: Prince of the power of the air, i. e. prince of the spirit, i. e. spirits, who now work;' or, Prince of the spirit, which controls the children of disobedience.' The former of these expositions gives a good sense. Satan is the prince of those spirits who are represented in Scripture as constantly engaged in leading men into sin. But it does violence to the text, as there is no other case where the singular *pneuma* is thus used collectively for the plural. To the latter interpretation it may be objected that the sense thus obtained is feeble and obscure, if the word spirit is made to mean disposition of men;' which, to say the least, is a very vague and indefinite expression, and furnishes no proper parallelism to the preceding clause "powers of the air." But by spirit may be meant the evil principle which works in mankind. Compare 1 Cor. 2, 12. Luther and Calvin both give the same interpretation that is adopted by our translators. Beza, Bengel, and most of the moderns make spirit mean the spirit of the world as opposed to the Spirit of God.

The phrase children of disobedience (*en tois uiois tes apeitheias*) does not mean disobedient children--for that would imply that those thus designated were represented as the children of God, or children of men, who were disobedient. The word children expresses their relation, so to speak, to disobedience, which is the source of their distinctive character. The word son is often used in Scripture to express the idea of derivation or dependence in any form. Thus the 'sons of famine' are the famished; the 'sons of Belial' are the worthless; the 'sons of disobedience' are the disobedient. The word *apeitheia* means, unwillingness to be persuaded, and is expressive either of disobedience in general, or of unbelief which is only one form of disobedience. In this case the general sense is to be preferred, for the persons spoken of are not characterized as unbelievers, or as obstinately rejecting

the gospel, but as disobedient or wicked. The fact asserted in this clause, viz., that Satan and evil spirits work in men, or influence their opinions, feelings and conduct, is often elsewhere taught in Scripture. Matt. 13, 38. John 12, 31; 8, 44. Acts 26, 18. 2 Cor. 4, 4. The fact is all that concerns us, we need not understand how they exert this influence. We do not know how the intercourse of disembodied spirits is conducted, and therefore cannot tell how such spirits have access to our minds to control their operations. The influence, whatever it is, and however effectual it may be, does not destroy our freedom of action, any more than the influence of one man over his fellows. Still it is an influence greatly to be dreaded. These spirits of wickedness are represented as far more formidable adversaries than those who are clothed in flesh and blood. Blessed are those for whom Christ prays, as he did for Peter, when he sees them surrounded by the wiles of the devil.

V. 3. Among whom also we all had our conversation in times past. It appears not only from ch. 1: 11, 13, and from the connection in this place, but still more clearly from v. 11 and those following, in this chapter, that by you in this whole epistle, the apostle means Gentiles; and by we, when the pronouns are contrasted as here, the Jews. The spiritual condition of the Ephesians before their conversion was not peculiar to them as Ephesians or as heathen. All men, Jews and Gentiles, are by nature in the same state. Whatever differences of individual character, whatever superiority of one age or nation over another may exist, these are but subordinate diversities. There is as to the main point, as this apostle elsewhere teaches. no difference; for all have sinned and come short of the glory of God. There is also no essential difference as to the way in which different communities or individuals manifest the depravity common to them all. There is very

great difference as to the degree and the grossness of such manifestations, but in all the two comprehensive forms under which the corruption of our nature reveals itself, "the desires of the flesh and of the mind," are clearly exhibited. The apostle therefore does not hesitate to associate his countrymen with the Gentiles in this description of their moral condition, although the former were in many respects so superior to the latter. Nay, he does not hesitate to include himself, though he was before his conversion as touching the righteousness which is of the law blameless.' All men, whatever their outward conduct may be, in their natural state have "a carnal mind" as opposed to "a spiritual mind." See Rom. 8, 5-7. They are all governed by the things which are seen and temporal, instead of those which are not seen and eternal. Paul therefore says of himself and fellow Jews that they all had their conversation among the children of disobedience. They were not separated from them as a distinct and superior class, but were associated with them, congenial in character and life.

Wherein this congeniality consisted is stated in the following clauses. As the Gentiles so also the Jews had their conversation, i. e. they lived in the lusts of the flesh. The word *epithumi'a*, lust, means strong desire, whether good or bad. In Scripture most commonly it is taken in a bad sense, and means inordinate desire of any kind. The lusts of the flesh' are those irregular desires which have their origin in the flesh. By the flesh, however, is not to be understood merely our sensuous nature, but our whole nature considered as corrupt. The scriptural usage of the word *sarx* is very extensive. It means the material flesh, then that which is external, then that which is governed by what is material, and in so far sinful; then that which is sinful without that limitation; whatever is opposed to the Spirit, and

in view of all these senses it means mankind. See Phil. 3, 4, where the apostle includes under the word flesh, his descent from the Hebrews, his circumcision, and his legal righteousness. Gal. 3, 3. 5, 19-21. In this latter passage, envy, hatred, heresy, are included among the works of the flesh, as well as revellings and drunkenness. It depends on the immediate context whether the word, in any given place, is to be understood of our whole nature considered as corrupt, or only of the sensuous or animal part of that nature. When it stands opposed to what is divine, it means what is human and corrupt; when used in opposition to what is intellectual or spiritual in our nature, it means what is sensuous. In the present case it is to be taken in its wide sense because there is nothing to limit it, and because in the following clause it is defined as including both,--"the desires of the flesh (in the restricted sense of the word) and of the mind." The word thele'mata rendered desires, means rather behests, commands. The things done were those which the flesh and the mind willed to be done. They were the governing principles to whose will obedience was rendered. Dianoia, mind, is used here for the whole thinking and sentient principle, so far as distinguished from the animal principle. Frequently it means the intellect, here it refers more to the affections. Comp. Col. 1, 21, "Enemies in your mind." Lev. 19, 7, "Thou shalt not hate thy brother in thy mind." Numbers 15, 39, "Follow not after your own minds." Jews and Gentiles, all men, therefore, are represented in their natural state as under the control of evil. They fulfil the commands of the flesh and of the mind.

And were by nature the children of wrath even as others, kai` e'metha te'kna phu'sei orges. The expression " \children of wrath," agreeably to a Hebrew idiom above referred to, means the objects of wrath,' obnoxious to punishment. Compare Deut. 25, 2, 'son of stripes,' one to

be beaten. 1 Sam. 20, 31. 2 Sam. 12, 5, 'son of death,' one certainly to die. The idea of worthiness is not included in the expression, though often implied in the context. The phrase 'son of death,' means one who is to die, whether justly or unjustly. So children of wrath,' means simply the objects of wrath.' But as the wrath spoken of is the displeasure of God, of course the idea of ill-desert is necessarily implied.

The word *phusis* in signification and usage corresponds very nearly to our word *nature*. When used, as in this case, to indicate the source or origin of any thing in the character or condition, it always expresses what is natural or innate, as opposed to what is made, taught, superinduced, or in any way incidental or acquired. This general idea is of course variously modified by the nature of the thing spoken of. Thus when the apostle says, Gal. 2, 15, *hemeis phusei loudaioi*, we by nature Jews, he means Jews by birth, in opposition to profession. In Gal. 4, 8, it is said of the heathen deities that they are not by nature gods, they are such only by appointment, or in virtue of the opinions of men. In Rom. 2, 13, men are said to do by nature the things of the law, i. e. the source of these moral acts is to be sought in their natural constitution, not in the instruction or example of others. In Rom. 2, 27, uncircumcision is said to be by nature, i. e. natural, not acquired. This usage is common in the classic writers. Thus Plato, *de Legibus*, lib. 10, says, Some teach that the gods are *phusei, alla tisi nomois*, i. e. that they owe their divinity not to nature but to certain laws. Afterwards he says, Some things are right by nature, others by law.' In another place, he says, of certain persons, They were *phusei barbarians, no'mo Greeks*;' by birth barbarians, but by law Greeks. In these writers the expressions, by nature selfish,' by nature swift to anger,' by nature avaricious,' &c.,

are of very frequent occurrence. In all such cases the general sense is the same. The thing predicated is affirmed to be natural. It is referred to the natural constitution or condition as opposed to what is acquired. According to this uniform usage the expression, 'We were by nature the children of wrath,' can only mean, 'We were born in that condition.' It was something natural. We did not become the children of wrath, but were already such as we were born. [6] The simple fact is asserted, not the reason of it. It is by nature, not on account of nature that we are here declared to be the children of wrath. The Scriptures do indeed teach the doctrine of inherent, hereditary depravity, and that that depravity is of the nature of sin, and therefore justly exposes us to the divine displeasure. And this doctrine may be fairly implied in the text, but it is not asserted. In other words, *phusis* does not mean natural depravity, and the dative (*phusei*) does not here mean on account of. The assertion is that men are born in a state of condemnation, and not that their nature is the ground of that condemnation. This is, indeed, an old and widely extended interpretation; but it does violence to the force of the word *phusis*, which means simply nature, and not either holy or corrupt nature. The idea of moral character may be implied in the context, but is not expressed by the word. When we say, 'a man is by nature kind,' it is indeed implied that his nature is benevolent, but nature does not signify natural benevolence.' Thus when it is said, 'men are by nature corrupt,' or, 'by nature the children of wrath,' all that is asserted is that they are born in that condition.

Others take *phusis* to mean in this place simply disposition, character, inward state of mind; very much as we often use the word heart. According to this view, the word means *not quod nascenti inest, sed quod consuetudo in naturam vertit*. The sense then is: We, as well

as others are, as to our inward disposition or state of mind, children of wrath.' All the expressions quoted by Clericus and other advocates of this interpretation, are really proofs that the word *phusis* has not the signification which they assign to it. When it is said that Barbarians are by nature rapacious, the Syrians by nature fickle, the Lacedemonians taciturn, more is meant than that such is the actual character of these people. The characteristic trait asserted of them is referred to what is innate or natural. In other words *phusis* does not mean, in such cases, simply disposition, but innate disposition. Still more remote from the proper meaning of the terms is the interpretation which renders *phusei* truly, really. This is substituting an idea implied in the context for the signification of the word. When Paul says, the heathen deities are not by nature gods, he does indeed say they are not really gods; but this does not prove that by nature means truly.

Another exposition of this passage is, that the apostle here refers to the incidental cause of our being the children of wrath. Our exposure to the divine displeasure is due to our nature, because that nature being what it is, filled with various active principles innocent or indifferent, leads us into sin, and we thus become children of wrath. It is not by nature, but *durch Entwicklung natürlicher Disposition*, through the development of natural disposition,' as Meyer expresses this idea. This is a theological hypothesis rather than an interpretation. When it is said men are by nature desirous of truth, by nature honest, by nature cruel, more is affirmed than that they become such, under the influence of natural principles of which these characteristics cannot be predicated. The very reverse is the thing asserted. It is affirmed that love of truth, honesty, or cruelty are attributes of the nature of those spoken of. In like manner when it is

V. 5. Kai` o'ntas emas. The conjunction kai does not serve merely to resume the connection; nor is it to be referred to emas, us also, us as well as others; but it belongs to the participle.--And being, i. e. even when we were dead in trespasses. Notwithstanding our low, and apparently helpless condition, God interfered for our recovery. Sunezoopoi'ese to Christo, he quickened us together with Christ. Zoopoiein means, to make alive, to impart life. In the New Testament it is almost always used of the communication of the life of which Christ is the author. It either comprehends every thing which is included in salvation, the communication of life in its widest scriptural sense; or it expresses some one point or moment in this general life-giving process. As the death from which the Christian is delivered includes condemnation (judicial death), pollution, and misery; so the life which he receives comprehends forgiveness (justification), regeneration, and blessedness. Thus in 2 Cor. 2, 12. 13, the apostle says, "And you being dead in your sins and the uncircumcision of your flesh, hath he quickened together with him, having forgiven you all trespasses." As, however, in the passage before us, the words "hath raised us up," and "hath made us to sit in heavenly places," are connected with the word "he hath quickened," the latter must be limited to the commencement of this work of restoration. That is, it here expresses deliverance from death and the imparting of life, and not the whole work of salvation. We are said to be quickened together with Christ.' This does not mean merely that we are quickened as he was, that there is an analogy between his resurrection from the grave, and our spiritual resurrection; but the truth here taught is that which is presented in Rom. 6, 6. 8. Gal. 2, 19. 20. 2 Cor. 5, 14. 1 Cor. 15, 22. 23, and in many other passages, viz. that in virtue of the union, covenant and vital, between Christ and his people, his death was their death, his

life is their life, and his exaltation is theirs. Hence all the verbs used in this connection, *sunezoopei'ese*, *sunegeire*, *sunekathise*, are in the past tense. They express what has already taken place, not what is future; not what is merely in prospect. The resurrection, the quickening and raising up of Christ's people were in an important sense accomplished, when he rose from the dead and sat down at the right hand of God. *Ei gar he aparche ze, kai hemeis*, is the pregnant comment of Chrysostom. The life of the whole body is in the head, and therefore when the head rose, the body rose. Each in his order however; first Christ, and then they that are Christ's.

The apostle says, by way of parenthesis, *by grace are ye saved*. The gratuitous nature of salvation is one of the most prominent ideas of the context and of the epistle. The state of men was one of helplessness and ill-desert. Their deliverance from that state is due to the power and the unmerited love of God. They neither deserved to be saved, nor could they redeem themselves. This truth is so important and enters so deeply into the very nature of the Gospel, that Paul brings it forward on every fit occasion. And if the mode in which he speaks of our deliverance, does not of itself show it to be gratuitous, he introduces the declaration parenthetically, lest it should be for a moment forgotten.

V. 6. *And hath raised us up and caused us to sit together in heavenly places in Christ Jesus*. This is an amplification of what precedes. In its widest sense the life, which in v. 5 is said to be given to us, includes the exaltation expressed in this verse. It is, therefore, only by way of amplification that the apostle, after saying we are made partakers of the life of Christ, adds that we are raised up and enthroned with him in heaven. To understand this we must know what is here meant by "heavenly places," and in what sense believers are now

the subjects of the exaltation here spoken of. Throughout this epistle the expression "heavenly places" means heaven. But the latter phrase has in Scripture a wide application. It means not only the atmospheric heavens in which the clouds have their habitation; and the stellar heavens in which the sun, moon and stars dwell; and the third heavens, i. e. the place where God specially manifests his presence and where the glorified body of Christ now is, but also the state into which believers are introduced by their regeneration. In this last sense it coincides with one of the meanings of the phrase "kingdom of heaven." It is that state of purity, exaltation and favour with God, into which his children are even in this world introduced. The opposite state is called "the kingdom of Satan;" and hence men are said to be translated from "the kingdom of darkness into the kingdom of God's dear Son." It is in this sense of the word that we are said, Phil. 3, 20, to be the citizens of heaven. We, if Christians, belong not to the earth, but heaven; we are within the pale of God's kingdom; we are under its laws; we have in Christ a title to its privileges and blessings, and possess, alas! in what humble measure, its spirit. Though we occupy the lowest place of this kingdom, the mere suburbs of the heavenly city, still we are in it. The language of the apostle in the context will appear the less strange, if we apprehend aright the greatness of the change which believers, even in this life, experience. They are freed from the condemnation of the law, from the dominion of Satan, from the lethargy and pollution of spiritual death; they are reconciled to God, made partakers of his Spirit, as the principle of everlasting life; they are adopted into his family and have a right to all the privileges of the sons of God both in this life and in that which is to come. This is a change worthy of being expressed by saying: "He hath quickened us, and raised us up, and made us to sit together with Christ in heavenly

places."--All this is in Christ. It is in virtue of their union with Christ that believers are partakers of his life and exaltation. They are to reign with him. The blessings then of which the apostle here speaks, are represented as already conferred for two reasons: first, because they are in a measure already enjoyed; and secondly, because the continuance and consummation of these blessings are rendered certain by the nature of the union between Christ and his people. In him they are already raised from the dead and seated at the right hand of God.

V. 7. Why has God done all this? Why from eternity has he chosen us to be holy before him in love? Why has he made us accepted in the Beloved? Why when dead in trespasses and sins hath he quickened us, raised us up and made us to sit together in heavenly places in Christ? The answer to these questions is given in this verse. It was, in order that, in the ages to come, he might show the exceeding riches of his grace in his kindness towards us, through Christ Jesus, *?'i'na ende'i'xetai--ton plouton tes cha'ritos--en chresto'teti eph' emas*. The manifestation of the grace of God, i. e. of his unmerited love, is declared to be the specific object of redemption. From this it follows that whatever clouds the grace of God, or clashes with the gratuitous nature of the blessings promised in the gospel, must be inconsistent with its nature and design. If the salvation of sinners be intended as an exhibition of the grace of God, it must of necessity be gratuitous.

The words, in the ages to come, *en tois aiosin tois eperchome'nois*, are by many understood to refer to the future generations in this world; *secula, aetates seu tempora inde ab apostolicis illis ad finem mundi secuturas*, as Wolf expresses it. Calvin, who understands the apostle to refer specially to the calling of the Gentiles in the preceding verses, gives the same explanation. *Gentium vocatio mirabile est divinae*

bonitatis opus, quod filiis parentes et avi nepotibus tradere per manus debent, ut nunquam ex hominum animis silentio deleatur. As however there is nothing in the context to restrict the language of the apostle to the Gentiles, so there is nothing to limit the general expression ages to come to the present life. Others, restricting verse 6th to the resurrection of the body, which is to take place at the second advent of Christ, understand the phrase in question to mean the world to come,' or the period subsequent to Christ's second coming. Then, when the saints are raised up in glory, and not before, will the kindness of God towards them be revealed. But the preceding verse does not refer exclusively to the final resurrection of the dead, and therefore this phrase does not designate the period subsequent to that event. It is better therefore to take it without limitation, for all future time.

The simplest construction of the passage supposes that en chresto'teti is to be connected with endei'xetai; eph' emas with chresto'teti, and en Christo with the words immediately preceding. God's grace is manifested through his kindness towards us, and that kindness is exercised through Christ and for his sake. The ground of this goodness is not in us but in Christ, and hence its character as grace, or unmerited favour.

Vs. 8, 9. These verses confirm the preceding declaration. The manifestation of the grace of God is the great end of redemption. This is plain, for salvation is entirely of grace. Ye are saved by grace; ye are saved by faith and not by works; and even faith is not of yourselves, it is the gift of God. We have then here a manifold assertion, affirmative and negative, of the gratuitous nature of salvation. It is not only said in general, ye are saved by grace,' but further that salvation is by faith, i. e. by simply receiving or apprehending the offered blessing. From the very nature of faith, as an

act of assent and trust, it excludes the idea of merit. If by faith, it is of grace; if of works, it is of debt; as the apostle argues in Rom. 4, 4. 5. Faith, therefore, is the mere causa apprehendens, the simple act of accepting, and not the ground on which salvation is bestowed. Not of works. The apostle says works, without qualification or limitation. It is not, therefore, ceremonial, as distinguished from good works; or legal, as distinguished from evangelical or gracious works; but works of all kinds as distinguished from faith, which are excluded. Salvation is in no sense, and in no degree, of works; for to him that worketh the reward is a matter of debt. But salvation is of grace and therefore not of works lest any man should boast. That the guilty should stand before God with self-complacency, and refer his salvation in any measure to his own merit, is so abhorrent to all right feeling that Paul assumes it (Rom. 4, 2) as an intuitive truth, that no man can boast before God. And to all who have any proper sense of the holiness of God and of the evil of sin, it is an intuition; and therefore a gratuitous salvation, a salvation which excludes with works all ground of boasting, is the only salvation suited to the relation of guilty men to God.

The only point in the interpretation of these verses of any doubt, relates to the second clause. What is said to be the gift of God? Is it salvation, or faith? The words *kai` touto* only serve to render more prominent the matter referred to. Compare Rom. 13, 11. 1 Cor. 6, 6. Phil. 1, 28. Heb. 11, 12. They may relate to faith (to *pisteuein*), or to the salvation spoken of (*sesosmenous einai*). Beza, following the fathers, prefers the former reference; Calvin, with most of the modern commentators, the latter. The reasons in favour of the former interpretation are, 1. It best suits the design of the passage. The object of the apostle is to show the gratuitous nature of salvation.

This is most effectually done by saying, Ye are not only saved by faith in opposition to works, but your very faith is not of yourselves, it is the gift of God.' 2. The other interpretation makes the passage tautological. To say: Ye are saved by faith; not of yourselves; your salvation is the gift of God; it is not of works,' is saying the same thing over and over without any progress. Whereas to say: Ye are saved through faith (and that not of yourselves it is the gift of God), not of works,' is not repetitious; the parenthetical clause instead of being redundant does good service and greatly increases the force of the passage. 3. According to this interpretation the antithesis between faith and works, so common in Paul's writings, is preserved. Ye are saved by faith, not by works, lest any man should boast.' The middle clause of the verse is therefore parenthetical, and refers not to the main idea ye are saved, but to the subordinate one through faith, and is designed to show how entirely salvation is of grace, since even faith by which we apprehend the offered mercy, is the gift of God. 4. The analogy of Scripture is in favor of this view of the passage, in so far that elsewhere faith is represented as the gift of God. 1 Cor. 1, 26-31. Eph. 1, 19. Col. 2, 12, et passim.

V. 10. That salvation is thus entirely the work of God, and that good works cannot be the ground of our acceptance with him, is proved in this verse--1st. By showing that we are God's workmanship. He, and not ourselves, has made us what we are. And 2d. By the consideration that we are created unto good works. As the fact that men are elected unto holiness, proves that holiness is not the ground of their election; so their being created unto good works shows that good works are not the ground on which they are made the subjects of this new creation, which is itself incipient salvation.

?Autou ga'r esmen poi'ema. The position of the pronoun at the beginning

of the sentence renders it emphatic. His workmanship are we. He has made us Christians. Our faith is not of ourselves. It is of God that we are in Christ Jesus. The sense in which we are the workmanship of God is explained in the following clause, created in Christ Jesus; for if any man is in Christ he is a new creature. Union with him is a source of a new life, and a life unto holiness; and therefore it is said created unto good works. Holiness is the end of redemption, for Christ gave himself for us that he might redeem us from all iniquity, and purify unto himself a peculiar people zealous of good works. Titus 2, 14. Those therefore who live in sin are not the subjects of this redemption.

Oi's proetoi'mase, is variously interpreted. The verb signifies properly to prepare beforehand. As this previous preparation may be in the mind, in the form of a purpose, the word is often used in the sense of preordaining, or appointing. Compare Gen. 24, 14. Matt. 25, 34. 1 Cor. 2, 9. Rom. 9, 23. This however is rather the idea expressed in the context than the proper signification of the word. The relative is by Bengel and others connected, agreeably to a common Hebrew idiom, with the following pronoun, hois oen autois, in which, and the verb taken absolutely. The sense then is, 'In which God has preordained that we should walk.' By the great majority of commentators hois is taken for ha, by the common attraction, which God had prepared beforehand, in order that we should walk in them.' Before our new creation these works were in the purpose of God prepared to be our attendants, in the midst of which we should walk. A third interpretation supposes hois to be used as a proper dative, and supposes hemas as the object of the verb. To which God has predestined us, that we should walk in them.' The second of these explanations is obviously the most natural. Thus has the apostle in this paragraph clearly taught that the natural

state of man is one of condemnation and spiritual death; that from that condition believers are delivered by the grace of God in Christ Jesus; and the design of this deliverance is the manifestation, through all coming ages, of the exceeding riches of his grace.

SECTION II.--Vs. 11-22. 11.

11. Wherefore remember, that ye being in time past Gentiles in the flesh, who are called Uncircumcision by that which is called the Circumcision in the flesh made by hands;

12. that at that time ye were without Christ, being aliens from the commonwealth of Israel, and strangers from the covenants of promise, having no hope, and without God in the world;

13. but now, in Christ Jesus, ye, who sometimes were far off, are made nigh by the blood of Christ.

14. For he is our peace, who hath made both one, and hath broken down the middle wall of partition between us;

15. having abolished in his flesh the enmity, even the law of commandments contained in ordinances: for to make in himself of twain one new man, so making peace;

16. and that he might reconcile both unto God in one body by the cross, having slain the enmity thereby:

17. and came and preached peace to you which were afar off, and to them that were nigh.

18. For through him we both have an access by one Spirit unto the Father.

19. Now therefore ye are no more strangers and foreigners, but fellow-citizens with the saints, and of the household of God;

20. and are built upon the foundation of the apostles and prophets, Jesus Christ himself being the chief corner stone;

21. in whom all the building, fitly framed together, groweth unto a

holy temple in the Lord:

22. in whom ye also are builded together, for a habitation of God through the Spirit.

ANALYSIS.

In the preceding paragraph the apostle had set forth--1. The moral and spiritual condition of the Ephesians by nature. 2. The spiritual renovation and exaltation which they had experienced. 3. The design of God in this dispensation. In this paragraph he exhibits the corresponding change in their relations. In doing this he sets forth:--

I. Their former relation--1st. To the church as foreigners and aliens.

2d. To God as those who were far off, without any saving knowledge of him, or interest in his promises, vs. 11. 12.

II. The means by which this alienation from God and the church had been removed, viz. by the blood of Christ. His death had a twofold effect.--I. By satisfying the demands of justice, it secured reconciliation with God. 2. By abolishing the law in the form of the Mosaic institutions, it removed the wall of partition between the Jews and Gentiles. A twofold reconciliation was thus effected; the Jews and Gentiles are united in one body, and both are reconciled to God, vs. 13-18.

III. In consequence of this twofold reconciliation, the Ephesians were intimately united with God and his people. This idea is set forth under a threefold figure.--1. They are represented as fellow-citizens of the saints. 2. They are members of the family of God. 3. They are constituent portions of that temple in which God dwells by his Spirit, vs. 19-22.

The idea of the church which underlies this paragraph, is that which is every where presented in the New Testament. The church is the body of Christ. It consists of those in whom he dwells by his Spirit. To be

alien from the church, therefore, is to be an alien from God. It is to be without Christ and without hope. The church of which this is said is not the nominal, external, visible church as such, but the true people of God. As, however, the Scriptures always speak of men according to their profession, calling those who profess faith, believers, and those who confess Christ, Christians; so they speak of the visible church as the true church, and predicate of the former what is true only of the latter. The Gentiles while aliens from the church were without Christ, without God, and without hope; when amalgamated with the church they became the habitation of God through the Spirit. Such many of them truly were, such they all professed to be, and they are therefore addressed in that character. But union with the visible church no more made them real partakers of the Spirit of Christ, than the profession of faith made them living believers.

COMMENTARY.

V. 11. Wherefore remember, i. e. since God has done such great things for you, call to mind your former condition, as a motive both for humility and gratitude. That ye being in time past Gentiles in the flesh, e'thne en sarki', i. e. uncircumcised heathen. This gives in a word the description of their former state. All that follows, in this and the succeeding verse, is but amplification of this idea. The words in the flesh, do not mean origine carnali, natalibus, by birth; nor as to external condition, which would imply that spiritually, or as to their internal state, they were not heathen. The context shows that it refers to circumcision, which being a sign in the flesh, is designated with sufficient clearness by the expression in the text. As circumcision was a rite of divine appointment, and the seal of God's covenant with his people, to be uncircumcised was a great misfortune. It showed that those in that condition were without God and without

hope. The apostle therefore adds, as explanatory of the preceding phrase, *oi lego'menoi akrobusti'a*, who are called Uncircumcision. This implied that they did not belong to the covenant people of God; and in the lips of the Jews it was expressive of a self-righteous abhorrence of the Gentiles as unclean and profane. This feeling on their part arose from their supposing that the mere outward rite of circumcision conveyed holiness and secured the favour of God. As the apostle knew that the circumcision of the flesh was in itself of no avail, and as he was far from sympathizing in the contemptuous feeling which the Jews entertained for the Gentiles, he tacitly reproves this spirit by designating the former as the so called circumcision in the flesh, made with hands. This is a description of the *Israel kata sarka*, the external people of God, who were Jews outwardly, but who were destitute of the true circumcision which was of the heart. They were the concision, as the apostle elsewhere says, we are the circumcision, which worship God in the Spirit, and rejoice in Christ Jesus, and have no confidence in the flesh, Phil. 3, 3. The Jews were a striking illustration of the effect of ascribing to external rites objective power, and regarding them as conveying grace and securing the favour of God, irrespective of the subjective state of the recipient. This doctrine rendered them proud, self-righteous, malignant, and contemptuous, and led them to regard religion as an external service compatible with unholiness of heart and life. This doctrine the apostle every where repudiates and denounces as fatal. And therefore in this connection, while speaking of the real advantage of circumcision, and of the covenant union with God of which it was the seal, he was careful to indicate clearly that it was not the circumcision in the flesh, made with hands, which secured the blessings of which he speaks. Compare Rom. 2, 25-29. 1 Cor. 7, 19. Phil. 3, 3-6. Col. 2, 11.

V. 12. The sentence begun in verse 11 is here resumed. Remember, *o'ti ete to kairo ekei'no chori's Christou*, that at that time ye were without Christ. This means more than that they were as heathen, destitute of the knowledge and expectation of the Messiah. As Christ is the only redeemer of men, and the only mediator between God and man, to be without Christ, was to be without redemption and without access to God. To possess Christ, to be in Him, is the sum of all blessedness; to be without Christ includes all evil.

What follows is a confirmation of what precedes. They were without Christ because aliens from the commonwealth of Israel. The idea of separation and estrangement is strongly expressed by the word *apellotriome'noi*. They stood as *alloi*, as others, distinguished as a separate class from the people of God. The word *politei'a* means--1. Citizenship. 2. The order or constitution of the state. 3. The community or state itself. The last signification best suits the connection. *Israel* means the theocratical people; and *politei'a tou Israel* is that community or commonwealth which was Israel. This includes the other senses, for in being aliens from the community of God's people, they were of course destitute of citizenship among them, and outside of the theocratical constitution.

And strangers from the covenants of promise, *kai` xe'noi ton diathekon tes epangeli'as*. The word covenants is in the plural because God entered repeatedly into covenant with his people. It is called a covenant of promise, or rather of the promise, because the promise of redemption was connected therewith. That the promise meant is that great promise of a redeemer made to Abraham, and so often afterwards repeated, is plain not only from the context, but from other passages of Scripture. "The promise made to the fathers," says the apostle, in Acts 13, 32, "hath God fulfilled in that lie hath raised up Jesus."

Comp. Rom. 4, 14-16. Gal. 3, 16. As the heathen were not included in the covenant God made with his people, they had no interest in the promise, the execution of which that covenant secured. Their condition was therefore most deplorable. They were without hope--elpi'da me` e'chontes, not having hope. They had nothing to hope, because shut out of the covenant of promise. The promise of God is the only foundation of hope, and therefore those to whom there is no promise, have no hope. And having no hope of redemption, the great blessing promised, they were, in the widest sense of the word, hopeless. They were moreover without God, a'theoi. This may mean that they were atheists, in so far that they were destitute of the knowledge of the true God, and served those who by nature were no gods. Jehovah was not their God; they had no interest in him, they were without him. This includes the idea that they were forsaken of him--he had left them in the world. They stood outside of that community which belonged to God, who knew and worshipped him, to whom his promises were made, and in the midst of whom he dwelt. In every point, therefore, their condition as heathen afforded a melancholy contrast to that of the true people of God, and to that into which they had been introduced by the Gospel. Their alienation from the theocracy or church involved in it, or implied, a like alienation from God and his covenant.

V. 13. But now in Christ Jesus, i. e. in virtue of union with Christ; umeis hoi tote o'ntes makra`n, engu`s egene'thete, ye who sometime were afar off, are made nigh. As under the old dispensation God dwelt in the temple, those living near his abode and having access to him, were his people. Israel was near; the Gentiles were afar off. They lived at a distance, and had no liberty of access to the place where God revealed his presence. Hence in the prophets, as in Isaiah 49, 1. 57, 19, by those near are meant the Jews, and by those afar off the Gentiles. This

form of expression passed over to the New Testament writers. Acts 2, 39, "The promise is to you and to your children, and to all that are far off." Eph. 2, 17, "Preached peace to you that were far off, and to them that were nigh." Among the later Jews the act of receiving a proselyte, was called "making him nigh." [7] As being far from God included both separation from his people, and spiritual distance or alienation from himself; so to be brought nigh includes both introduction into the church and reconciliation with God. And these two ideas are clearly presented and intended by the apostle in this whole context. This twofold reconciliation is effected, εν το αι'ματι του Christou, by the blood of Christ. This clause is explanatory of the words at the beginning of the verse. In Christ Jesus, i. e. by the blood of Christ, ye are made nigh.' Without shedding of blood there is no remission and no reconciliation of sinners with God. When Moses ratified the covenant between God and his people, "He took the blood of calves and of goats and sprinkled both the book and all the people, saying, This is the blood of the covenant which God hath enjoined unto you. It was necessary that the patterns of things in the heavens should be purified with these; but the heavenly things themselves with better sacrifices than these." Heb. 9, 19-23. As under the typical and ritual economy of the Old Testament the people were brought externally nigh to God, by the blood of calves and goats, through which temporal redemption was effected and the theocratical covenant was ratified; so we are brought spiritually nigh to God by the blood of Christ, who has obtained eternal redemption for us, being once offered to bear the sins of many, and to ratify by his death the covenant of God with all his people, whether Jews or Gentiles.

Vs. 14. 15. These verses contain a confirmation and illustration of what precedes. Ye who were far off are made nigh by the blood of

Christ. For he is our peace. He has effected the twofold reconciliation above referred to.' This he has accomplished by abolishing the law. The law, however, is viewed in a twofold aspect in this connection. First, it was that original covenant of works, demanding perfect obedience, whose conditions must be satisfied in order to the reconciliation of men with God. Christ by being made under the law, Gal. 4, 4, and fulfilling all righteousness, has redeemed those who were under the law. He delivered them from the obligation of fulfilling its demands as the condition of their justification before God. In this sense they are not under the law. Comp. Rom. 6, 14. 7, 4. 6. Gal. 5, 18. Col. 2, 14. But secondly, as Christ abolished the law as a covenant of works by fulfilling its conditions, so he abolished the Mosaic law by fulfilling all its types and shadows. He was the end of the law in both these aspects, and therefore, it ceased to bind the people of God in either of these forms. Of this doctrine the whole of the New Testament is full. The epistles especially are in large measure devoted to proving that believers are not under the law in either of these senses, but under grace. Thus it is that Christ is our peace. The abolition of the law as a covenant of works reconciles us to God; the abolition of the Mosaic law removes the wall between the Jews and Gentiles. This is what is here taught. By abolishing the law of commandments, i. e. the law in both its forms, the apostle says, Christ has, first, of the twain made one new man, v. 15; and secondly, he has reconciled both unto God in one body by the cross, v. 16.

Though the general sense of this passage is plain, there is no little diversity as to the details of the interpretation. The Greek is printed for the convenience of the reader. Auto`s ga`r estin e eire'ne emon, o poie'sas ta` ampho'tera e`n, kai` to` meso'toichon tou phragmou lu'sas, te`n e'chthran, en te sarki` autou, to`n no'mon ton entolon en do'gmasi

katarge'sas. Our translators, by assuming that e'chthran depends on katarge'sas, and of course that no'mon is in apposition with it, have in a great measure determined thereby the interpretation of the whole passage. The words meso'toichon, e'chthran, and no'mon must all refer to the same thing. The sense would then be, For he is our peace, having made the two one by having destroyed the middle wall of partition, that is, by having destroyed, by his flesh, the enmity, viz., the law of commandments with ordinances.' The preferable construction is to make e'chthran depend on lusas. It is then in apposition with meso'toichon, but not with no'mon; and katarge'sas ton nomon, instead of being a mere repetition of lusas to` meso'toichon, is an independent clause explaining the manner in which the reconciliation of the Jews and Gentiles had been effected. The passage then means, He is our peace because he has made the two one by removing the enmity or middle wall which divided the Jews and Gentiles, and this was done by abolishing the law.' The reconciliation itself is expressed by saying, He made the two one, having removed the wall or enmity between them.' The mode in which this was done, is expressed by saying, He abolished the law.' In the phrase meso'toichon tou phragmou, middle wall of partition, the latter noun is explanatory of the former, i. e. phragmou is the genitive of apposition. The middle wall which consisted in the hedge, which separated the two parties. What that hedge was is immediately expressed by the word e'chthran. It was the enmity subsisting between them. Having removed the middle wall, i. e. the enmity, or their mutual hatred.' By enmity, therefore, is not to be understood the law, as the cause of this alienation, but the alienation itself; because in what follows the removal of the enmity and the abolition of the law are distinguished from each other, the latter being the means of accomplishing the former.

That e'chthran is to be connected with lu'sas and not, as our translation assumes, with katarge'sas, is argued first from the position of the words, which favours this construction; secondly, because the expression luein e'chthran is common, and katargein e'chthran never occurs; and thirdly, because the sense demands this construction, inasmuch as the ambiguous phrase middle wall of partition thus receives its needed explanation. The apostle first states, what it was that divided the Jews and Gentiles, viz., their mutual hatred, and then how that hatred had been removed.

The words en te sarki` autou, are not to be connected with lusas. That is, the apostle does not mean to say that Christ has removed the enmity between the Jews and Gentiles by his flesh. They are to be connected with the following participle (katarge'sas). "Having by his flesh, i. e. by his death, abolished the law." This is the great truth which Paul had to teach. Christ by his death has freed us from the law. We are no longer under the law but under grace. Rom. 6, 14. We are no longer required to seek salvation on the ground of obedience to the law, which says: "Do this, and live," and "Cursed is every one that continueth not in all things written in the book of the law to do them." Christ has freed us from the law as a covenant of works, by being himself made subject to it, Gal. 4, 5; by bearing its penalty, Gal. 3, 13; by his body, Rom. 7, 4; by the body of his flesh, Col. 1, 22; by his cross, Col. 2, 14. In this connection the expressions, "by the blood of Christ," v. 13; "by his flesh," v. 14; by his cross," all mean the same thing. They are but different modes of expressing his sacrificial, or atoning death, by which the law was satisfied and our reconciliation to God is effected. The "abolishing," therefore, of which the apostle speaks, does not consist in setting the law aside, or suspending it by a sovereign, executive act. It is a causing it to cease; or rendering

it no longer binding by satisfying its demands, so that we are judicially free from it; free not by the act of a sovereign but by the sentence of a judge; not by mere pardon, but by justification. Who is he that condemns, when God justifies? Rom. 8, 34. The law which Christ has thus abolished is called "the law of commandments in ordinances." This may mean the law of commandments with ordinances-referring to the two classes of laws (entole and dogma), moral and positive; or it may refer to the form in which the precepts are presented in the law, as positive statutes, or commands, ton entolon giving the contents of the law, and en do'gmasi the form. The idea probably is that the law in all its compass, and in all its forms, so far as it was a covenant prescribing the conditions of salvation, is abolished. The law of which the apostle here speaks is not exclusively the Mosaic law. It is so described in various parallel passages, as holy, just and good, as taking cognizance of the inward feelings, as to make it evident it is the law of God in its widest sense. It is the law which binds the heathen and which is written on their hearts. It is the law from which the death of Christ redeems men. But redemption is not mere deliverance from Judaism, and therefore the law from which we are freed by the death of Christ is not merely the law of Moses. Deliverance from the Mosaic institutions could not have the effects ascribed to the freedom from the law of which Paul speaks. It could not secure reconciliation to God, justification, and holiness, all of which, according to the apostle, flow from the redemption effected by Christ. The antithetical ideas always presented in Paul's writings, on this subject, are the law and grace, the law and the gospel, the system which says: "Do and live,"--and the system which says: "Believe and live;"--as, however, the form in which the law was ever present to the minds of the early Christians was that contained in the Mosaic institutions; as all, who

in that day were legalists, were Judaizers, and as the Mosaic economy was included in the law which Christ abolished, in many cases (as in the passage before us), special reference is had to the law in that particular form. But in teaching that men cannot be saved by obedience to the law of Moses, Paul taught that we cannot be saved by obedience to the law in any form. Or rather, by teaching that salvation is not of works of any kind, but of grace and through faith, he teaches it is not by the specific, ceremonial works enjoined in the law of Moses.

It is objected to the above interpretation of this passage, which is the common one, that in order to justify connecting *en do'gmasi* with *entolon* (the law of commandments in ordinances), the article should be used. It is therefore urged that *en do'gmasi* must be connected with *katargesas* and the passage read, "having abolished by doctrine the law of commandments." To this, however, it is answered--1. That the connecting article is frequently omitted in cases where the qualifying word is intimately connected with the word to be qualified, so as to form one idea with it. See Eph. 2, 11. 2 Cor. 7, 7. Col. 1, 4. 2. That *katargesas* has its qualifying clause in the words *en te sarki*. It would be incongruous to say that Christ abolished the law by his death, by doctrine. 3. The word *do'gma* never means doctrine in the New Testament, and therefore cannot have that meaning, here. 4. And finally the sense is bad, contrary to the whole analogy of Scripture. The law was not abolished by Christ as a teacher; but by Christ as a sacrifice. It was not by his doctrine, but by his blood, his body, his death, his cross, that our deliverance from the law was effected. The doctrine of the passage, therefore, is that the middle wall of partition between the Jews and Gentiles, consisting in their mutual enmity, has been removed by Christ's having, through his death, abolished the law in all its forms, as a rule of justification, and thus, opening one new way of

access to God, common to Jews and Gentiles.

The design of Christ in thus abolishing the law was two-fold. First, the union of the Jews and Gentiles in one holy, Catholic church. And, Secondly, the reconciliation of both to God. The former is expressed, by saying: "In order that he might create the two, in himself, one new man, making peace." The two, tou` s du`o, are of course the two spoken of above, the Jews and Gentiles. They were separate, hostile bodies, alike dead in trespasses and sins, equally the children of wrath. They are created anew, so as to become one body of which Christ is the head. And, therefore, it is said, en heauto, in himself, i. e. in virtue of union with him. Union with Christ being the condition at once of their unity and of their holiness. They are created eis e'na kaino`n a'nthropon. They are one, and they are new, i. e. renewed. Kainos means newly made, uninjured by decay or use; and in a moral sense renewed, pure. See 4, 24. 2 Cor. 5, 17. Gal. 6. 15. Col. 3, 10. Making peace, poion eire'nen. The present participle is here used, because the effect or operation is a continuous one. The union or peace which flows from the abrogation of the law by the death of Christ, is progressive, so far as it is inward or subjective. The outward work is done. The long feud in the human family. is healed. The distinction between Jew and Gentile is abolished. All the exclusive privileges of the former are abrogated. The wall which had so long shut out the nations is removed. There is now one fold and one shepherd. Since the abrogation of the law there is neither Jew nor Greek, there is neither bond nor free, there is neither male nor female; for all believers are one in Christ Jesus. Gal. 3, 28.

V. 16. The second part of Christ's purpose is expressed in this verse. It was that he might reconcile (apokatalla'xe) the two, united in one body, unto God, by means of the cross, having thereby slain the enmity.

The end effected was reconciliation with God;--the subjects of this reconciliation are the church, the one body into which Jews and Gentiles are merged (so that the one is sussoma with the other, Eph. 3, 6); the means of this reconciliation is the cross, because the crucifixion of our Lord removes the enmity which prevented the reconciliation here spoken of.

To reconcile is to effect peace and union between parties previously at variance. Neither the English nor Greek terms (diállassein, katállassein) indicate whether the change effected is mutual or only on one side. A child is reconciled to an offended father who receives him into favour, though the father's feelings only have been changed.

Whether the reconciliation effected by Christ between man and God results from an inward change in men, or from the propitiation of God--or whether both ideas are to be included, is determined not by the signification of the word, but by the context and the analogy of Scripture. When Christ is said to reconcile men to God, the meaning is that he propitiated God, satisfied the demands of his justice, and thus rendered it possible that he might be just and yet justify the ungodly. This is plain, because the reconciliation is always said to be effected by the death, the blood, the cross of Christ; and the proximate design of a sacrifice is to propitiate God, and not to convert the offerer or him for whom the offering is made. What in one place is expressed by saying Christ reconciled us to God, is in another place expressed by saying, he was a propitiation, or made propitiation for our sins.

The subjects of this reconciliation are the Jews and Gentiles united in one body, i. e. the church --*toú` s amphote'rous en eni` so'mati*. His death had not reference to one class to the exclusion of the other. It was designed to bring unto God, the whole number of the redeemed, whether Jews or Gentiles, as one living body, filled with his Spirit as

well as washed in his blood.

Many commentators understand the words "in one body" to refer to Christ's own body, and the words "by the cross," at the close of the sentence, to be merely explanatory. The sense would then be, "That he might reconcile both unto God, by one body, i. e. by the one offering of himself, i. e. by his cross." The obvious objection to this interpretation is, that "one body" cannot naturally be explained to mean "one offering of his body." Besides this, the passage, vs. 13-16, would then repeat five times the idea: the sacrifice of Christ reconciled us to God. The natural opposition between "the two" and "the one body," favours the common interpretation. Christ created the two into one new man, and as thus united in one body, he reconciled both unto God.

The means by which this reconciliation was effected is the cross--because on it he slew the enmity which separated us from God. The latter clause of the verse is therefore explanatory of what precedes. He reconciled both to God, having, by the cross, slain the enmity.' The enmity in this place, as in v. 15, many understand to be the enmity between the Jews and Gentiles, and make the apostle say: Christ by his crucifixion has destroyed the enmity between the Jews and Gentiles and then reconciled them thus united in one body to God.' It is urged in favour of this interpretation that it is unnatural to make the word enmity in this verse and in verse 15 refer to different things. The great doctrine in the whole context is the unity of all believers, and therefore, that is to be kept in view. It is the enmity between the Jews and Gentiles and their union of which the apostle is treating. But that idea had just before been expressed. It is perfectly pertinent to the apostle's object to show that the union between the Jews and Gentiles was effected by the reconciliation of both, by his

atoning death, to God. The former flows from the latter. In this connection the words "having slain the enmity on it," serve to explain the declaration that the cross of Christ reconciles us to God. His death satisfied justice, it propitiated God, i. e. removed his wrath, or his enmity to sinners; not hatred, for God is love, but the calm and holy purpose to punish them for their sins. This view is sustained by the constantly recurring representations of Scripture. In Col. 1, 20-22, we have a passage which is exactly parallel to the one before us. It is there said, that God, having made peace by the blood of the cross, reconciled by Christ all things unto himself, and "you," the apostle adds, "that were sometime alienated and enemies in your mind by wicked works, yet now hath he reconciled in the body of his flesh through death." Here it is obvious that the peace intended is peace between God and man. So too in Col. 2, 13. 14, it is said: "You being dead . . . hath he quickened together with him, having forgiven you all trespasses; blotting out the handwriting of ordinances that was against us, which was contrary to us, and took it out of the way, nailing it to his cross." Here again the reconciliation is between man and God; the means, the cross--the mode, the abrogation or satisfaction of the law. The epistles to the Ephesians and to the Colossians are so much a reflection the one of the other, that they serve for mutual illustration. As there can be no doubt as to what Paul meant in the passages addressed to the Colossians, they serve to determine his meaning in the parallel passages to the Ephesians. The context, so far from opposing, favours the interpretation given above. Reconciliation involves the removal of enmity; the reconciliation is to God, therefore the enmity is that which subsisted between God and man--the peace announced in consequence of this reconciliation, verse 17, is peace with God; it consists in the liberty of access to him spoken of in

verse 18. Thus all is natural in the relation of the several clauses to each other.

V. 17. And having come, he preached peace, for you afar of, and peace [8] for those near. The connection is not with verse 14, but with verses 14-16. Christ having effected peace, announced it. This is the burden of the Gospel, Peace on earth, and good-will toward man. God is reconciled. Being justified by faith we have peace with God. Christ having redeemed us from the curse of the law; having reconciled us to God by his death, came and preached peace. To what preaching does the apostle refer? Some say to Christ's personal preaching while here on earth. Having come, i. e. in the flesh, he preached. This supposes the connection is not with what immediately precedes, but with verse 14.--'He is our peace, and having come into the world he preached peace.' But this breaks the concatenation of the ideas. The reconciliation is represented as preceding the annunciation of it. Having died, he came and preached. The preaching is, therefore, the annunciation of the favour of God, made by Christ, either in person, or through his apostles and his Spirit. Having come, *elthon*, is not redundant, nor does it refer to his coming into the world, but to that reappearing which took place after his resurrection, which was temporarily in person and continuous in his Spirit. He is with the church always, even to the end of the world; and it is his annunciation of peace which is made, by the word and Spirit, through the church. The peace meant, according to one interpretation, is peace between Jews and Gentiles, according to another, peace with God. The decision between the two depends on the view taken of the context. If the interpretation given above of the preceding verses be correct, then the peace here mentioned can only be peace with God. The dative *umin* does not depend immediately on the verb, and point out the object to which the

preaching was directed. It indicates those for whose benefit this peace has been procured. Christ announced that peace with God had, by the cross, been secured for those afar off, viz. the Gentiles. as well as for the Jews, or those who were nigh.

V. 18. The proof that peace has thus been obtained for both is, that both have equally free access to God. The $\rho\omicron\tau\iota$ at the beginning of the verse is not to be rendered that, as indicating the nature of the peace; but since, as introducing the evidence that such peace was procured. That evidence is found in the fact that we have access to God. Had not his wrath been removed, Rom. 5, 10, the enmity been slain, we could have no access to the divine presence. And since Gentiles have as free access to God as the Jews, and upon the same terms and in the same way, it follows that the peace procured by the death of Christ, was designed for the one class as well as for the other.

Access is not mere liberty of approach; it is prosagoge, introduction. Christ did not die simply to open the way of access to God, but actually to introduce us into his presence and favour. This all Scripture teaches, and this the context demands. Those for whom the death of Christ has procured peace, are declared in what follows to be fellow-citizens of the saints; members of the family of God, constituent parts of that temple in which God dwells by his Spirit. It is a real not a mere potential redemption and reconciliation which the blood of Christ effects. He died, the just for the unjust, to bring us nigh unto God. This introduction into a state of grace, Rom. 5, 3, is not identical with the peace procured by Christ, but the effect or sequence of it. Having made propitiation, or secured peace, he introduces us as our mediator and advocate into the divine presence. As to this access we are taught that it is --1. To the Father. 2. It is through Christ. 3. It is by the Spirit. The doctrine of the Trinity as

involved in the whole scheme of redemption, evidently underlies the representation contained in this passage. In the plan of salvation as revealed in Scripture, the Father represents the Godhead, or God absolutely. He gave a people to the Son, sent the Son for their redemption, and the Spirit to apply to them that redemption. Hence, in the beginning of this epistle, it is said that God as the God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, hath blessed us with all spiritual blessings, chose us before the foundation of the world to be holy, having predestinated us to be his children. He, therefore, has made us acceptable in the Beloved, in whom we have redemption through his blood. It is the Father, therefore, as the apostle says, who has made known to us his purpose to reconcile all things unto himself by Jesus Christ. Thus also in Col. 1, 19. 20, it is said it pleased the Father that in him all fulness should dwell, and having made peace through the blood of the cross by him to reconcile all things unto himself. In 1 Cor. 8, 6, it is said there is to us one God even the Father, by whom are all things, and we in him; and one Lord, Jesus Christ, through whom are all things, and we by him. This representation will be recognized as pervading the Scripture. It is the Father as representing the Godhead, to whom we are said to be reconciled, to be brought near, into whose family we are adopted, and of whose glory we are heirs. Secondly, this access is through Christ. This means, 1st, as explained in the context, by his blood, his flesh, his cross. That is, it is by his vicarious death. It is by his dying, the just for the unjust, that he brings us near to God. 2. It is by his intercession, for he has not only died for us, but he has passed through the heavens there to appear before God for us. It is, therefore, through him, as our mediator, intercessor, introducer, forerunner, that we draw near to God. This is a truth so plainly impressed on the Scriptures and so graven on the

hearts of believers, that it gives form to all our modes of approach to the throne of God. It is in the name of Christ, all our praises, thanksgivings, confessions, and prayers are offered, and for his sake alone do we hope to find them accepted.

Thirdly, this access to the Father is by the Spirit. The inward change by which we are enabled to believe in Christ, the feelings of desire, reverence, filial confidence which are essential to our communion with God, are the fruits of the Spirit. Hence we are said to be drawn or led by the Spirit, and the Spirit also as well as Christ is called our advocate, or paraclete; and God, it is said, because we are sons, hath sent forth the Spirit of his Son into our hearts, crying, Abba, Father, Gal. 4, 6. The words *en eni` pneu'mati*, by one spirit, are not to be understood as expressing the inward concord or fellowship of the Jews and Gentiles in drawing near to God, nor simply that we are influenced by a common spirit of life, but the words are to be understood of the Holy Ghost.--1. Because the word *pneuma*, without as well as with the article so generally refers to the Spirit in the New Testament. 2.

Because the obvious reference to the Trinity in the passage, ("to the Father, through Christ, by the Spirit,") demands this interpretation.

And 3. Because the same office is elsewhere characteristically referred to the Spirit. The other interpretations are included in this. If Jews and Gentiles are led by the Spirit to draw near to God, it follows that they come with one heart; and are animated by one principle of life.

The preposition *en* may be taken instrumentally, and rendered by, as in the following verse. Or it may mean in communion with. The Holy Ghost is designated here as one Spirit, in opposition to the two classes, Jews and Gentiles. Both have access by one and the same Spirit. The two, therefore, are not only one body as stated in verse 16, but they are inhabited and controlled by one Spirit. Thus in 1 Cor. 12, 11, "one

and the self-same Spirit," is said to divide to every man severally as he will; and in verse 12, it is, "By one Spirit we are all baptized into one body." Thus has the divine purpose of which the apostle spoke in the first chapter--his purpose to unite all his people in one harmonious body--been consummated. Christ by his cross has reconciled them, both Jews and Gentiles, unto God; the distinction between the two classes is abolished; united in one body, filled and guided by one Spirit, they draw near to God as his common children.

V. 19. The consequences of this reconciliation are that the Gentiles are now fellow-citizens of the saints, members of the family of God, and part of that temple in which God dwells by his Spirit. Formerly they were *xe'noi*, strangers, now they are *sumpolitai*, fellow-citizens. Formerly the Gentiles stood in the same relation to the theocracy or commonwealth of Israel, that we do to a foreign State. They had no share in its privileges, no participation in its blessings. Now they are "fellow-citizens of the saints." By saints are not to be understood the Jews, nor the ancient patriarchs, but the people of God. Christians have become, under the new dispensation, what the Jews once were, viz. saints, men selected and separated from the world, and consecrated to God as his peculiar people. They now constitute the theocracy--which is no longer confined to any one people or country, but embraces all in every country who have access to God by Christ Jesus. In this spiritual kingdom the Gentiles have now the right of citizenship. They are on terms of perfect equality with all other members of that kingdom. And that kingdom is the kingdom of heaven. The same terms of admission are required, and neither more nor less, for membership in that kingdom, and for admission into heaven; all who enter the one enter the other; the one is but the infancy of the other; we are now, says Paul, the citizens of heaven. It is not, therefore, to the participation of the

privileges of the old, external, visible theocracy, nor simply to the pale of the visible Christian church, that the apostle here welcomes his Gentile brethren, but to the spiritual Israel, the communion of saints; to citizenship in that kingdom of which Christ is king, and membership in that body of which he is the head. It is only a change of illustration without any essential change of sense, when the apostle adds, they are no longer *pa'roikoi* but *oikeioi*. The family is a much more intimate brotherhood than the State. The relation to a father is much more sacred and tender than that which we bear to a civil ruler; and therefore, there is an advance in this clause beyond what is said in the former. If in the former we are said to be fellow-citizens with the saints, here we are said to be the children of God; whose character and privileges belong to all those in whom God dwells by his Spirit.

V. 20. As *oikos* means both a family and a house, the apostle passes from the one figure to the other. The Gentiles are members of the family of God, and they are parts of his house. They are built, *epi` ton themeli'ō ton aposto'lon kai` propheton*, on the foundation of the apostles and prophets, Christ himself being the chief corner-stone. That the prophets here mentioned are those of the new dispensation, is evident--first from the position of the terms. It would more naturally be prophets and apostles if the Old Testament prophets had been intended. As God has set in the church, first apostles, and second, prophets,' it is obvious that these are the classes of teachers here referred to. 2. The statement here made that the apostles and prophets are, or have laid, the foundation of that house of which the Gentiles are a part, is more obviously true of the New, than of the Old Testament prophets. 3. The passage in ch. 3, 5, in which it is said, "The mystery of Christ is now revealed to holy apostles and prophets by the Spirit," is also strongly in favour of this interpretation.

On account of the omission of the article before propheton some render the clause thus: The apostle-prophets--or apostles who are prophets.' But this is unnecessary, because the repetition of the article is often dispensed with, when the connected nouns belong to one category, and constitute one class. Both apostles and prophets belong to the class of Christian teachers. This interpretation is not only unnecessary, it is also improbable; because apostles and prophets were not identical. There were many prophets who were not apostles. The latter were the immediate messengers of Christ, invested with infallible authority as teachers, and supreme power as rulers in his church. The prophets were a class of teachers who spoke by inspiration as the Spirit from time to time directed.

The principal difference of opinion as to the interpretation of this clause, is whether "the foundation of the apostles and prophets" means the foundation which they constitute--or, which they laid. In favour of the latter view, it is urged that Christ, and not the apostles, is the foundation of the church; that Paul, 1 Cor. 3, 10, speaks of himself as having laid the foundation, and not as being part of it; and that it is derogatory to Christ to associate him with the apostles on terms of such apparent equality, he being one part and they another of the foundation. On the other hand, however, it may be said, that there is a true and obvious sense in which the apostles are the foundation of the church; secondly, they are expressly so called in Scripture--as in Rev. 21, 14, besides the disputed passage, Matt. 16, 18; and thirdly, the figure here demands this interpretation. In this particular passage Christ is the corner stone, the apostles the foundation, believers the edifice. The corner stone is distinguished from the foundation. To express the idea that the church rests on Christ, he is sometimes called the foundation and sometimes the corner stone of the building;

but where he is called the one, he is not represented as the other.

This representation no more implies the equality of Christ and the apostles, than believers being represented as constituting with him one building, implies their equality with him.

As the corner stone of a building is that which unites and sustains two walls, many suppose that the union and common dependence on Christ of the Jews and Gentiles, are intended in the application of this term to the Redeemer. But as the same figure is used where no such reference can be assumed, it is more natural to understand the apostle as expressing the general idea that the whole church rests on Christ. This Isaiah predicted should be the case, when he represents Jehovah as saying: "Behold I lay in Zion for a foundation, a stone, a tried stone, a precious corner stone, a sure foundation; he that believeth shall not make haste." Isaiah 28, 16. Ps. 118, 22. Matt. 21, 42. Acts 4, 11. 1 Cor. 3, 11. 1 Pet. 2, 6-8.

V. 21. Christ being the corner stone, every thing depends on union with him. Therefore the apostle adds, "In whom all the building fitly framed together groweth unto a holy temple in the Lord." Christ is the principle at once of support and of growth. He not only sustains the building, but carries it on to its consummation. The words *en* are not to be rendered, on which, referring to the foundation, but, in whom, referring to Christ. Union with him is the sole essential condition of our being parts of that living temple of which he is the corner stone. The words *pasa he oikodome*, even without the article, which, because wanting in the oldest manuscripts, many critics omit, must here mean "the whole," and not "every building." It would destroy the whole consistency of the figure to represent "every congregation," as a temple by itself resting on Christ as the corner stone. Christ has but one body, and there is but one temple composed of Jews and Gentiles, in

which God dwells by his Spirit.

All the parts of this temple are "fitly framed together,"
sunarmologoume'ne. Intimate union by faith with Christ is the necessary
condition of the increase spoken of immediately afterwards. The
building however is not only thus united with the corner stone, but the
several parts one with another, so as to constitute a well compacted
whole. This union, as appears from the nature of the building, is not
external and visible, as a worldly kingdom under one visible head, but
spiritual.

"Groweth unto a holy temple," au'xei eis nao`n a'gion, i. e. increases
so as to become a holy temple. A temple is a building in which God
dwells. Such a temple is holy, as sacred to him. It belongs to him, is
consecrated to his use, and can neither be appropriated by any other,
nor used for any thing but his service, without profanation. This is
true of the church as a whole, and of all its constituent members. The
money-changers of the world cannot, with impunity, make the church a
place of traffic, or employ it in any way to answer their sordid or
secular ends. The church does not belong to the state, and cannot
lawfully be controlled by it. It is "sacred," set apart for God. It is
his house in which he alone has any authority.

The words en Kuri'o, in the Lord, at the end of this verse, admit of
different constructions. They may be connected with the word temple
immediately preceding, and be taken as equivalent to the genitive
Temple in the Lord,' for Temple of the Lord.' But as the word Lord must
refer to Christ, and as the temple is the house of God, this
explanation produces confusion. They may be connected with the word
holy; holy in the Lord,' i. e. holy in virtue of union with the Lord,
which gives a very good sense. Or they may be referred to the verb,
Grows by,' or better, in union with the Lord.' This has in its favour

the parallel passage, 4, 16. The church compacted together in him, grows in him, in virtue of that union, into a holy temple.

V. 22. What was said of the whole body of believers, is here affirmed of the Ephesian Christians. "In whom ye also are builded together for an habitation of God through the Spirit." Builded together, sunoikodomeisthe, may mean either, you together with other believers; or, you severally are all united in this building.' The former appears more consistent with the context. Habitation of God, katoikete'rion tou theou, is only an equivalent expression to the phrase "holy temple" of the preceding verse. There seems to be no sufficient reason, for considering that the katoikete'rion of this verse refers to individual believers, and naos a'gios in the preceding, to the united body. So that the sense were, God, by dwelling in each of you by his Spirit, makes you collectively his temple.' This confuses the whole figure. The two verses are parallel. The whole building grows to a holy temple. And you Ephesians are builded together with other believers so as to form with them this habitation of God.

The words en pneu'mati, at the end of the verse, are variously explained. Some make them qualify adjectively the preceding word.' Habitation in the Spirit,' for Spiritual habitation.' Others express the sense paraphrastically, thus: Habitation of God in virtue of the indwelling of the Spirit.' This is in accordance with other passages in which the church is called the temple of God because he dwells therein by the Spirit. The Spirit being a divine person, his presence is the presence of God. Finally, the words may be connected with the verb, and the preposition have an instrumental force. Ye are builded by the Spirit into an habitation of God.' This is perhaps the best explanation. The church increases in the Lord, v. 21, and is builded by the Spirit, v. 22. It is in union with the one, and by the agency of

the other this glorious work is carried on.

[4] Dicit mortuos fuisse: et simul exprimit mortis causam; nempe peccata.--CALVIN.

[5] "The word *amarti'ai*," says HARLESS, "has, according to the metonymical use of the plurals of abstract nouns, a different sense from the singular; viz. manifestations of sin, undetermined however, whether by word or deed or some other way. The assertion of David Schulz that *amarti'a* never expresses a condition, but always an act, deserves no refutation, as such refutation may be found in any grammar."

[6] In this interpretation commentators of all classes agree. RUECKERT, one of the ablest and most untrammelled of the recent German commentators, says: "It is perfectly evident from Rom. 5, 12-20, that Paul was far from being opposed to the view expressed in Ps. 51, 7, that men are born sinners; and as we interpret for no system, so we will not attempt to deny that the thought, 'we were born children of wrath,' i. e. such as we were from our birth we were exposed to the divine wrath, is the true sense of the words." HARLESS, a commentator of higher order, says: "Unless we choose to explain the word *phu'sei* in a senseless and inconsistent manner, we can account for its use only by admitting that Paul proceeds on the assumption of an enmity to God at present natural and indwelling. And since such a native condition is not a fatuity, we can properly acknowledge no other explanation of the fact here incidentally mentioned, than that which in perfect consistency with the whole apostolic system of doctrine, is given in Rom. 5th."

[7] The Rabbins said: *Quicumque gentilem appropinquare facit, et proselytum facit, idem est ac si ipsum creasset.* WETSTEIN.

[8] The repetition of eirenen before tois engus, has in its favour many of the oldest MSS. and versions, and is adopted by Lachmann, Meyer, and others.

CHAPTER III: THE NATURE AND DESIGN OF PAUL'S COMMISSION, VS. 1-13 --HIS PRAYER FOR

THE NATURE AND DESIGN OF PAUL'S COMMISSION, VS. 1-13 --HIS PRAYER FOR
THE EPHESIANS, VS. 14-21.

SECTION I.--Vs. 1-13.

1. For this cause, I Paul, the prisoner of Jesus Christ for you Gentiles,
2. if ye have heard of the dispensation of the grace of God which is given me to you-ward:
3. how that by revelation he made known unto me the mystery, as I wrote afore in few words;
4. whereby, when ye read, ye may understand my knowledge in the mystery of Christ,
5. which in other ages was not made known unto the sons of men, as it is now revealed unto his holy apostles and prophets by the Spirit;
6. that the Gentiles should be fellow-heirs, and of the same body, and partakers of his promise in Christ by the gospel:
7. whereof I was made a minister, according to the gift of the grace of God given unto me by the effectual working of his power.
8. Unto me, who am less than the least of all saints, is this grace given, that I should preach among the Gentiles the unsearchable riches of Christ;
9. and to make all men see what is the fellowship of the mystery, which from the beginning of the world hath been hid in God, who created all things by Jesus Christ:
10. to the intent that now unto the principalities and powers in heavenly places might be known by the church the manifold wisdom of

God,

11. according to the eternal purpose which he purposed in Christ Jesus our Lord:

12. in whom we have boldness and access with confidence by the faith of him.

13. Wherefore I desire that ye faint not at my tribulations for you, which is your glory.

ANALYSIS.

The office which Paul had received was that of an apostle to the Gentiles, vs. 1-2. For this office he was qualified by direct revelation from Jesus Christ, concerning the purpose of redemption, of his knowledge of which the preceding portions of his epistle, were sufficient evidence, vs. 3, 4. The special truth, now more plainly revealed than ever before, was the union of the Gentiles with the Jews as joint partakers of the promise of redemption, by means of the gospel, vs. 5, 6. As the gospel is the means of bringing the Gentiles to this fellowship with the saints, Paul was, by the special grace and almighty power of God, converted and made a minister of the gospel, vs. 7, 8. The object of his ministry was to make known the unsearchable riches of Christ, and enlighten men as to the purpose of redemption which had from eternity been hid in the divine mind, v. 9. And the object or design of redemption itself is the manifestation of the wisdom of God to principalities and powers in heaven, v. 10. This glorious purpose has been executed in Christ, in whom we as redeemed have free access to God. Afflictions endured in such a cause were no ground of depression, but rather of glory, vs. 11-13.

COMMENTARY.

V. 1. For this cause, i. e. because you Gentiles are fellow-citizens of the saints, and specially because you Ephesians are included in the

temple of God.

As there is no verb of which the words, ego` Paulos, I Paul, are the nominative, there is great diversity of opinion as to the proper construction of the passage. The most common view is that the sentence here begun is recommenced and finished in v. 14, where the words, "For this cause" are repeated. The apostle intended saying at the beginning of the chapter what he says in v. 14. "For this cause, I Paul, bow my knees," i. e. because you Ephesians have been brought to God, I pray for your confirmation and growth in grace.'

Others supply simply the substantive verb (eimi). For this cause I am the prisoner of Jesus Christ.' But in this case to say the least, the article (o de'smios) before the predicate is unnecessary. Others make the clause, the prisoner of Christ, to be in apposition to I Paul, and supply the predicate I am a prisoner. The sense would then be, I Paul, the prisoner of Jesus Christ, am a prisoner, and in bonds for you Gentiles.' This is better than any of the various modes of explanation which have been proposed, except the one first mentioned, which gives a far better sense. It is far more elevated and more in keeping with Paul's character, for him to say, Because you are now part of God's spiritual temple, I pray for your confirmation and growth;' than, Because you are introduced into the communion of saints, I am a prisoner of Jesus Christ.'

The expression, o de'smios tou Christou, the prisoner of Christ, does not mean prisoner on account of Christ. Those for whom he suffered bonds are immediately afterwards said to be the Gentiles. It means Christ's prisoner. As he was Christ's servant, apostle, and minister, so he was Christ's prisoner. In all his relations he belonged to Christ. He was a prisoner, upe`r umon ton ethnon, for you Gentiles. It was preaching the Gospel to the Gentiles which brought down upon him

the hatred of his countrymen, and led them to accuse him before the Roman magistrates, and to his being sent a prisoner to Rome.

V. 2. This verse is connected with the immediately preceding words.--My apostolic mission is to the Gentiles; I am a prisoner for your sake, since ye have heard of the office which God has given me for your benefit.' The word *ei'ge* rendered in our version by *if*, does not necessarily express doubt. Paul knew that the Ephesians were aware that he was an apostle to the Gentiles. The word is often used where the thing spoken of is taken for granted. Eph. 4, 21. 2 Cor. 5, 3. In such cases, it may properly be rendered, *since, inasmuch as*. It is only a more refined or delicate form of assertion. It is unnecessary, therefore, to assume either that this epistle was not addressed to the Ephesians particularly; or that *akouei9n* is to be taken in the sense of *bene intelligere* (if so be ye have well understood); or that Paul, when preaching at Ephesus, had preserved silence on his apostleship. He speaks of himself as a prisoner for their sake, inasmuch as they had heard he was the apostle to the Gentiles. The expression, *dispensation of the grace given unto me*, is the designation of his office. It was an *oikonomi'a*, a stewardship. A stewardship of the grace given, *tes cha'ritos tes dothei'ses*, means either a stewardship which is a grace, or favour, or which flows from grace, i. e. was graciously conferred. Compare verse 8, in which he says, "To me was this grace given." Not unfrequently the office itself is called *charis*, a grace or favour. Rom. 12, 3. 15, 15. 1 Cor. 3, 10. Gal. 2, 9. Paul esteemed the office of a messenger of Christ as a manifestation of the undeserved kindness of God towards him, and he always speaks of it with gratitude and humility. It was not its honours, nor its authority, much less any emolument connected with it, which gave it value in his eyes; but the privilege which it involved of preaching the unsearchable riches of

Christ.

Instead of understanding oikonomi'a in the sense above given, of office, it may refer to the act of God, and be rendered, dispensation.

If, or since, ye have heard how God dispensed the grace given unto me,'

i. e. if ye understand the nature of the gift I have received. In Col.

1, 25, Paul speaks of the oikonomi'a as given; here it is charis which

is said to be given. In both cases the general idea is the same, the

form alone is different. His office and the grace therewith connected,

including all the gifts ordinary and extraordinary, which went to make

him an apostle, were both an oikonomi'a and a charis. The apostleship

was not a mere office like that of a prelate or prince, conferring

certain rights and powers; it was an inward grace, including plenary

and infallible knowledge. You could no more appoint a man an apostle,

than you could appoint him a saint. Neither inspiration nor holiness

come by appointment. An apostle without inspiration is as much a

solecism as a saint without holiness. Rome, here as every where,

retains the semblance without the reality; the form without the power.

She has apostles without inspiration, the office without the grace of

which the office was but the expression. Thus she feeds herself and her

children upon ashes.

To you-ward. Paul's mission was to the Gentiles. It was in special

reference to them that he had received his commission and the gifts

therewith connected. When Christ appeared to him on his journey to

Damascus, he said to him, "I have appeared unto thee for this purpose,

to make thee a minister and witness both of these things which thou

hast seen, and of those things in the which I will appear unto thee;

delivering thee from the people and from the Gentiles, unto whom now I

send thee, to open their eyes, and to turn them from darkness to light,

and from the power of Satan unto God, that they may receive forgiveness

of sins, and inheritance among them which are sanctified by faith that is in me." Acts 26, 16-18. Here we have an authentic account of Paul's mission. He was appointed a witness of what had been and of what should be made known to him by revelation, He was sent to the Gentiles, to turn them from Satan to God in order that they might be saved.

V. 3. How that by revelation was made known unto me, &c. This clause is connected with what precedes and explains it.--Ye have heard of the grace which I have received, i. e. ye have heard how that by revelation was made known to me.' Kata` apoka'lupsin, after the manner of a revelation, i. e. di' apokalypseos, Gal. 1, 12. He was not indebted for his knowledge of the Gospel to the instructions of others, as he proves in his epistle to the Galatians by a long induction of facts in his history. This was one of the indispensable qualifications for the apostleship. As the apostles were witnesses, their knowledge must be direct and not founded on hearsay. The thing made known was a "mystery;" i. e. a secret, something undiscoverable by human reason, the knowledge of which could only be attained by revelation. This revelation was a grace or favour conferred on the apostle himself. The mystery of which he here speaks is that of which the preceding chapters treat, viz. the union of the Gentiles with the Jews. Of that subject he had just written briefly; en oli'go, with little, i. e. few words.

V. 4. By reading what he had written, they could judge of his knowledge of the mystery of Christ. pro`s o`, according to which. What he had written might be taken as the standard or evidence of his knowledge. Mystery of Christ, may mean the mystery or revelation concerning Christ; or of which he is the author (i. e. of the secret purpose of redemption), or which is Christ. Christ himself is the great mystery of godliness, God manifest in the flesh. He is the revelation of the

mysterion or secret purpose of God, which had been hid for ages. Thus the apostle in writing to the Colossians says: "God would make known the riches of the glory of the mystery among the Gentiles; which (i. e. the mystery) is Christ in you, the hope of glory." Col. 1, 27.

What Paul had written respecting the calling of the Gentiles in the preceding chapter, was an indication of his knowledge of the whole plan of salvation--here designated as "the mystery of Christ," which includes far more than the truth that the Gentiles were fellow-citizens of the saints. It has the same extensive meaning in Col. 4, 3, where Paul prays that God would open a door of utterance for him "to speak the mystery of Christ." This verse is, therefore, virtually a parenthesis, in so far as the relative ho at the beginning of the next verse refers to the word mysterion in v. 3; or if referred to that word as used in v. 4, it is to it as including the more limited idea expressed in v. 3.

V. 5. God by revelation had made known to Paul a mystery, or purpose, which was not revealed as it now was to the apostles. That the Gentiles were to partake of the blessings of the Messiah's reign, and to be united as one body with the Jews in his kingdom, is not only frequently predicted by the ancient prophets, but Paul himself repeatedly and at length quotes their declarations on this point to prove that what he taught was in accordance with the Old Testament; see Rom. 9, 25-33. The emphasis must, therefore, be laid on the word as. This doctrine was not formerly revealed as, i. e. not so fully or so clearly as under the Gospel.

The common text reads en ete'rais geneais, in other generations. But most editors, on the authority of the older MSS., omit the preposition. Still the great majority of commentators interpret the above phrase as determining the time, and render it, during other ages. To this,

however, it is objected that *genea* never means, an age in the sense of period of time, but always a generation, the men of any age, those living in any one period. If this objection is valid *geneais* must be taken as the simple dative, and *uiois ton anthro'pon* be regarded as explanatory. The passage would then read, "Which was not made known to other generations, i. e. to the sons of men," &c. But in Acts 14, 16, 15, 21, and especially in Col. 1, 26 (*apo` ton aio'non kai` apo` ton geneon*), *genea* is most naturally taken in the sense of age, or period of duration. In the same sense it is used in the Septuagint, Ps. 72, 5, 102, 25. Is. 51, 8.

As it is now revealed to his holy apostles and to the prophets by the Spirit, *os nun apokalu'phthe . . . en pneu'mati*. The apostles and prophets of the new dispensation were the only classes of inspired men; the former being the permanent, the latter the occasional organs of the Spirit. They therefore were the only recipients of direct revelations. They are here called holy in the sense of sacred, consecrated. They were men set apart for the peculiar service of God. In the same sense the prophets of the old economy are called holy. Luke 1, 70. 2 Peter 1, 21. The pronoun *his* in connection with apostles may refer to God as the author of the revelation spoken of, or to Christ whose messengers the apostles were. My knowledge of the mystery of Christ, which, in former ages, was not made known, as it is now revealed to his apostles,' &c. By the Spirit, i. e. revealed by the Spirit. *Pneu'mati*, though without the article, refers to the Holy Spirit, the immediate author of these divine communications. It follows from the scriptural doctrine of the Trinity, which teaches the identity as to substance of the Father, Son, and Spirit, that the act of the one is the act of the others. Paul, therefore, refers the revelations which he received sometimes to God, as in verse 3; sometimes to Christ as in Gal. 1, 12; sometimes to the

Spirit.

V. 6. The mystery made known to the apostles and prophets of the new dispensation, was einai ta` e'thne sunklerono'ma, ktl., i. e. that the Gentiles are, in point of right and fact, fellow-heirs, of the same body, and partakers of this promise. The form in which the calling of the Gentiles was predicted in the Old Testament led to the general impression that they were to partake of the blessings of the Messiah's reign by becoming Jews, by being as proselytes merged into the old theocracy, which was to remain in all its peculiarities. It seems never to have entered into any human mind until the day of Pentecost, that the theocracy itself was to be abolished, and a new form of religion was to be introduced, designed and adapted equally for all mankind, under which the distinction between Jew and Gentile was to be done away. It was this catholicity of the Gospel which was the expanding and elevating revelation made to the apostles, and which raised them from sectarians to Christians.

The Gentiles are fellow-heirs. They have the same right to the inheritance as the Jews. The inheritance is all the benefits of the covenant of grace; the knowledge of the truth, all church privileges, justification, adoption, and sanctification; the indwelling of the Spirit, and life everlasting; an inheritance so great that simply to comprehend it requires divine assistance, and elevates the soul to the confines of heaven. Hence Paul prays (1, 17. 18), that God would give the Ephesians the Spirit of revelation that they might know what is the riches of the glory of the inheritance to which they had been called. They are su'ssoma; i. e. they are constituent portions of the body of Christ; as nearly related to him, and as much partakers of his life as their Jewish brethren. The hand is not in the body by permission of the eye, nor the eye by permission of the hand. Neither is the Gentile in

the church by courtesy of the Jews, nor the Jew by courtesy of the Gentiles. They are one body.

What in the preceding terms is presented figuratively is expressed literally, when it is added, they are partakers of his (God's) promise.

The promise is the promise of redemption; the promise made to our first parents, repeated to Abraham, and which forms the burden of all the Old Testament predictions. Gal. 3, 14. 19. 22, 29.

The only essential and indispensable condition of participation in the benefits of redemption is union with Christ. The Gentiles are fellow-heirs, and of the same body and partakers of the promise, says the apostle, in Christ, i. e. in virtue of their union with him. And this union is effected or brought about, by the Gospel. It is not by birth nor by any outward rite, nor by union with any external body, but by the Gospel, received and appropriated by faith, that we are united to Christ, and thus made heirs of God. This verse teaches therefore--1. The nature of the blessings of which the Gentiles are partakers, viz. the inheritance promised to the people of God. 2. The condition on which that participation is suspended, viz. union with Christ; and 3. The means by which that union is effected, viz. the Gospel. Hence the apostle enlarges on the dignity and importance of preaching the Gospel. This is the subject of the verses which follow.

V. 7. Of which (Gospel) I was made a minister; a dia'konos, a runner, servant, minister. Minister of the Gospel, means one whose business it is to preach the Gospel. This is his service; the work for which he is engaged, and to which he is bound to devote himself. There are two things which Paul here and in the verse following says in reference to his introduction into the ministry; first, it was a great favour; and secondly, it involved the exercise of divine power.

He was made a minister, kata` te`n dorea`n tes cha'ritos tou Theou,

according to the gift of the grace of God given to him. According to the common text (dorea`n--dotheisan), the gift was given. "The gift of the grace of God," may mean the gracious gift, i. e. the gift due to the grace of God; or, the gift which is the grace of God; so that the charis, grace, as Paul often calls his apostleship, is the thing given. In either way the gift referred to was his vocation to be an apostle. That he who was a persecutor and blasphemer should be called to be an apostle, was in his view a wonderful display of the grace of God. The gift in question was given, kata` te`n ene'rgeian tes duna'meos autou, by the effectual working of his (God's) power. Paul's vocation as an apostle involved his conversion, and his conversion was the effect of the power of God. This refers to the nature of the work, and not to its mere circumstances. It was not the blinding light, nor the fearful voice, which he refers to the power of God, but the inward change, by which he, a malignant opposer of Christ, was instantly converted into an obedient servant. The regeneration of the soul is classed among the mighty works of God, due to the exceeding greatness of his power. See ch. 1, 19.

V. 8. To me, adds the apostle, who am less than the least of all saints, is this grace given, that I should preach among the Gentiles, the unsearchable riches of Christ.

By the word saints is to be understood not the apostles, but the people of God, who are "called to be saints," 1 Cor. 1, 7. Rom. 1, 7. Less than the least, elachistote'ros, a comparative formed from a superlative. It was not merely the sense of his sinfulness in general, which weighed so heavily on the apostle's conscience. It was the sin of persecuting Christ, which he could never forgive himself. As soon as God revealed his Son in him, and he apprehended the infinite excellence and love of Christ, the sin of rejecting and blaspheming such a Saviour

appeared so great that all other sins seemed as comparatively nothing. Paul's experience in this matter is the type of the experience of other Christians. It is the sin of unbelief; the sin of rejecting Christ, of which, agreeably to our Saviour's own declaration, the Holy Spirit is sent to convince the world. John 16, 9.

To one thus guilty it was a great favour to be allowed to preach Christ. The expression τῶν ἀνεκχρησίων πλουτοῦ τοῦ Χριστοῦ, unsearchable riches of Christ; riches which cannot be traced; past finding out, may mean either the riches or blessings which Christ bestows, or the riches which he possesses. Both ideas may be included, though the latter is doubtless the more prominent. The unsearchable riches of Christ, are the fulness of the Godhead, the plenitude of all divine glories and perfections which dwell in him; the fulness of grace to pardon, to sanctify and save; every thing in short, which renders him the satisfying portion of the soul.

V. 9. It was Paul's first duty to preach the unsearchable riches of Christ among the Gentiles, for he was especially the "apostle of the Gentiles." But his duty was not confined to them. He was commissioned both to preach to the Gentiles, and to make all see, &c. This is the common interpretation of the passage. Others, however, insist that the all is here limited by the context to the Gentiles. But the force of and, which marks the accession of a new idea, is thus in a great measure lost. And the following verse favours the widest latitude that can be given to the words in question.

The word φωτίζειν properly means, to shine, as any luminous body does, and then to illuminate, to impart light to, as a candle does to those on whom it shines, and as God does to the minds of men, and as the Gospel does, which is as a light shining in a dark place, and hence the apostle, 2 Cor. 4, 4, speaks of the φωτισμὸς τοῦ εὐαγγελίου. Utitur

apta similitudine, says Calvin, quum dicit, photisai pantas, quasi plena luce effulgeat Dei gratia in suo apostolatu. The Church is compared to a candlestick, and ministers to stars. Their office is to dispense light. The light imparted by the Gospel was knowledge, and hence to illuminate is, in fact, to teach; which is the idea the word is intended here to express.

The thing taught was, e oikonomi'a tou musteri'ou tou apokekrumme'nou, the economy of the mystery which from the beginning of the world hath been hid in God. The common text in this clause reads konomi'a, fellowship, but all the corrected editions of the New Testament, on the authority of the ancient MSS., read oikonomi'a, plan, or, economy. The mystery or secret, is not the simple purpose to call the Gentiles into the church, but the mystery of redemption. This mystery, apo` ton aio'non, from ages, from the beginning of time, had been hid in God.

Compare Rom. 16, 25, "The mystery which was kept secret since the world began." 1 Cor. 2, 7, "The wisdom of God in a mystery, the hidden wisdom, which God ordained before the world." Col. 1, 26, "The mystery which hath been hid from ages and from generations." In all these places the mystery spoken of is God's purpose of redemption, formed in the counsels of eternity, impenetrably hidden from the view of men until revealed in his own time. It was this plan of redemption thus formed, thus long concealed, but now made known through the Gospel, that Paul was sent to bear as a guiding and saving light to all men.

Who created all things by Jesus Christ. The words dia Iesou Christou, (by Jesus Christ,) being wanting in the great majority of oldest MSS., are generally regarded as spurious. The all things here referred to are by some restricted to every thing pertaining to the Gospel dispensation. For this interpretation there is no necessity in the context; and it is contrary to the common usage and force of the terms.

There must be some stringent necessity to justify making "creator of all things," mean "author of the new dispensation." Others restrict the terms to all men: He who created all men now calls all.' [9] This however is arbitrary and uncalled for. The words are to be taken in their natural sense, as referring to the universe. It was in the bosom of the Creator of all things that this purpose of redemption so long lay hid. The reference to God as creator in this connection, may be accounted for as merely an expression of reverence. We often call God the Infinite, the Almighty, the Creator, &c., without intending any special reference of the titles to the subject about which we may be speaking. So Paul often calls God, blessed, without any special reason for the appellation. Some however think that in the present case the apostle uses this expression in confirmation of his declaration that the plan of redemption was from ages hid in God--for he who created all things must be supposed to have included redemption in his original purpose. Others suppose the association of the ideas is--he who created, redeems--the same God who made the universe has formed the plan of redemption. None but the creator can be a redeemer.

V. 10. To the intent that now might be made known, ?i'na gnoristhe nun. If this clause depend on the immediately preceding, then the apostle teaches that creation is in order to redemption. God created all things in order that by the church might be made known his manifold wisdom. This is the supralapsarian view of the order of the divine purposes, and as it is the only passage in Scripture which is adduced as directly asserting that theory, its proper interpretation is of special interest. It is objected to the construction just mentioned--1. That the passage would then teach a doctrine foreign to the New Testament, viz. that God created the universe in order to display his glory in the salvation and perdition of men; which supposes the decree to save to

precede the decree to create, and the decree to permit the fall of men.

2. Apart from the doctrinal objections to this theory, this connection of the clauses is unnatural, because the words who created all things,' is entirely subordinate and unessential, and therefore not the proper point of connection for the main idea in the whole context. That clause might be omitted without materially affecting the sense of the passage.

3. The apostle is speaking of his conversion and call to the apostleship. To him was the grace given to preach the unsearchable riches of Christ, and teach all men the economy of redemption, in order that through the church might be made known the manifold wisdom of God.

It is only thus that the connection of this verse with the main idea of the context is preserved. It is not the design of creation, but the

design of the revelation of the mystery of redemption of which he is

here speaking. 4. This interpretation is further sustained by the force of the particle now as here used. Now stands opposed to hid from ages.'

God sent Paul to preach the Gospel, in order that what had been so long hid might now be made known. It was the design of preaching the Gospel,

and not the design of creation of which the apostle had occasion to speak. The natural connection of ?i'na, therefore, is with the verbs

euangelisasthai and photisai, which express the main idea in the

context. "Paul," says Olshausen, "contrasts the greatness of his

vocation with his personal nothingness, and he therefore traces the

design of his mission through different steps. First, he says, he had

to preach to the heathen; then, to enlighten all men concerning the

mystery of redemption, and both, in order to manifest even to angels

the infinite wisdom of God."

The Bible clearly teaches not only that the angels take a deep interest in the work of redemption, but that their knowledge and blessedness are increased by the exhibition of the glory of God in the salvation of

men.

The expression, *e polupoi'kilos sophi'a*, "manifold wisdom," refers to the various aspects under which the wisdom of God is displayed in redemption; in reconciling justice and mercy; in exalting the unworthy while it effectually humbles them; in the person of the Redeemer, in his work; in the operations of the Holy Spirit; in the varied dispensations of the old and new economy, and in the whole conduct of the work of mercy and in its glorious consummation. It is by the church redeemed by the blood of Christ and sanctified by his Spirit, that to all orders of intelligent beings is to be made, through all coming ages, the brightest display of the divine perfections. It is *tais archais kai` tais exousi'ais en tois epourani'ois* that this exhibition of the manifold wisdom of God is to be made *dia` tes ekklesi'as*. This gives us our highest conception of the dignity of the church. The works of God manifest his glory by being what they are. It is because the universe is so vast, the heavens so glorious, the earth so beautiful and teeming, that they reveal the boundless affluence of their maker. If then it is through the church God designs specially to manifest to the highest order of intelligence, his infinite power, grace and wisdom, the church in her consummation must be the most glorious of his works. Hence preaching the Gospel, the appointed means to this consummate end, was regarded by Paul as so great a favour. To me, less than the least, was this grace given.

V. 11. This exhibition of the manifold wisdom of God was contemplated in the original conception of the plan of redemption; for the apostle adds, it was according to the eternal purpose which he purposed in Christ Jesus our Lord. *Pro'thesin ton aio'non*, purpose formed in eternity--which existed through all past ages--not, purpose concerning the ages, or different periods of the world. Compare 2 Tim. 1, 9,

pro'thesin--pro` chro'non aioni'on. The words hen epoi'ese may be rendered either, as by our translators, which he purposed, or, which he executed. The latter method is preferred by the majority of commentators, as better suited to the context, and especially to the words in Christ Jesus our Lord, as the title Christ Jesus always refers to the historical Christ, the incarnate Son of God. The purpose of God to make provision for the redemption of men has been fulfilled in the incarnation and death of his Son.

V. 12. Hence, as the consequence of this accomplished work, we have, in him, te`n parrhesi'an kai` prosagoge`n en pepoi'the'sei, boldness and access with confidence, i. e. free and unrestricted access to God, as children to a father. We come with the assurance of being accepted, because our confidence does not rest on our own merit, but on the infinite merit of an infinite Saviour. It is in Him we have this liberty. We have this free access to God; we believers; not any particular class, a priesthood among Christians to whom alone access is permitted, but all believers without any priestly intervention, other than that of one great High Priest who has passed through the heavens, Jesus the Son of God. Parrhesi'a as used in Scripture, is not merely freespokenness, nor yet simple frankness, but fearlessness, freedom from apprehension of rejection or of evil. It is this Christ has procured for us. Even the vilest may, in Christ, approach the infinitely holy, who is a consuming fire, with fearlessness. Nothing short of an infinite Saviour could effect such a redemption. The accumulation of substantives in this sentence, boldness, access, confidence, shows that there was no word which could express what Paul felt in view of the complete reconciliation of men to God through Jesus Christ.

We have this free access to God with full confidence of acceptance

through faith of Him, i. e. by faith in Christ. This is explanatory of the first clause of the verse, *ἔν ᾧ ἡμεῖς πιστεύομεν αὐτῷ*, in whom, i. e. by faith of Him; faith of which he is the object. Comp. 2, 13. It is the discovery of the dignity of his person, confidence in the efficacy of his blood, and assurance of his love, all of which are included, more or less consciously, in faith, that enables us joyfully to draw near to God. This is the great question which every sinner needs to have answered.--How may I come to God with the assurance of acceptance? The answer given by the apostle and confirmed by the experience of the saints of all ages is, 'By faith in Jesus Christ.' It is because men rely on some other means of access, either bringing some worthless bribe in their hands, or trusting to some other mediator, priestly or saintly, that so many fail who seek to enter God's presence.

V. 13. Wherefore, i. e. because we have this access to God, the sum of all good, we ought to be superior to all the afflictions of this life, and maintain habitually a joyful spirit. Being the subjects of such a redemption and having this liberty of access to God, believers ought not to be discouraged by all the apparently adverse circumstances attending the propagation of the Gospel. As neither the object of the verb *aitoumai*, nor the subject of the verb *ekkakein* is expressed, this verse admits of different explanations. It may mean, 'I pray you that you faint not;' or, 'I pray God that I faint not;' or, 'I pray God that ye faint not.' Whether the object of the verb be "God," or "you," it is hard to decide; as it would be alike appropriate and agreeable to usage to say, 'I pray God,' or, 'I pray you,' i. e. I beseech you not to be discouraged. The latter is on the whole to be preferred, as there is nothing in the context to suggest God as the object of address, and as the verb *aitein*, though properly signifying simply to ask, whether of

God or man, is often used in a stronger sense, to require, or demand, Luke 23, 23. Acts 25, 3. 15. Paul might well require of the Ephesians, in view of the glories of the redemption of which they had become partakers, not to be discouraged. As to the second point, viz. the subject of the verb ekkakein, there is less room to doubt. It is far more in keeping with the whole tone of the passage, that Paul should refer to their fainting than to his own. There was far more danger of the former than of the latter. And what follows ("which is your glory"), is a motive by which his exhortation to them is enforced. The relative e'tis, in the next clause, admits of a twofold reference. It may relate thli'psesi, afflictions; or to me` ekkakein, not fainting. In the one case the sense would be: The afflictions which I suffer for you instead of being a ground of discouragement are a glory to you.' In the other: Not fainting is an honour to you.' The latter is flat, it amounts to nothing in such a context. It is perfectly in keeping with the heroic character of the apostle, who himself gloried in his afflictions, and with the elevated tone of feeling pervading the context, that he should represent the afflictions which he endured for the Gentiles as an honour and not as a disgrace and a cause of despondency.

SECTION II.--Vs. 14-21.

14. For this cause I bow my knees unto the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ,
15. of whom the whole family in heaven and earth is named,
16. that he would grant you, according to the riches of his glory, to be strengthened with might by his Spirit in the inner man;
17. that Christ may dwell in your hearts by faith: that ye, being rooted and grounded in love,
18. may be able to comprehend with all saints what is the breadth, and

length, and depth, and height;

19. and to know the love of Christ, which passeth knowledge, that ye might be filled with all the fulness of God.

20. Now unto him that is able to do exceeding abundantly above all that we ask or think, according to the power that worketh in us,

21. unto him be glory in the church by Christ Jesus throughout all ages, world without end. Amen.

ANALYSIS.

The prayer of the apostle is addressed to the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, who is also in him our Father. He offers but one petition, viz. that his readers might be strengthened by the Holy Ghost in the inner man; or that Christ might dwell in their hearts by faith. The consequence of this would be, that they would be confirmed in love, and thus enabled in some measure to comprehend the infinite love of Christ, which would enlarge their capacity unto the fulness of God; that is, ultimately render them, in their measure, as full of holiness and blessedness, as God is in his.

COMMENTARY.

V. 14. This verse resumes the connection interrupted in verse 1st. The prayer which the apostle there commenced, he here begins anew. For this cause, *tou'tou cha'rin*, repeated from v. 1, and therefore the connection is the same here as there, i. e. because you Ephesians are made partakers of the redemption purchased by Christ. I bow my knees. The posture of prayer, for prayer itself. Unto the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ. [10] The peculiar Christian designation of God, as expressing the covenant relation in which he stands to believers. It is because he is the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, our incarnate God and Saviour, that he is our Father, and accessible to us in prayer. We can approach him acceptably in no other character than as the God who

sent the Lord Jesus to be our propitiation and mediator. It is therefore by faith in him as reconciled, that we address him as the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ.

V. 15. Of whom the whole family in heaven and earth is named. The word patria is a collective term for the descendants of the same father, immediate or remote. In Luke 2, 4, we read of the house and family of David, and in Acts 3, 25, of all the families of the earth. The most important question here is, whether *pasa patria* is to be rendered every family, or, the whole family. In favour of the latter are the considerations that the omission of the article, which usage doubtless demands, is not unfrequent where either the substantive has acquired the character of a proper name, or where the context is so clear as to prevent mistake. (See Winer's Gram. p. 131.) And secondly, the sense is better suited to the whole context. If Paul intended to refer to the various orders of angels, and the various classes of men, as must be his meaning if *pasa patria* is rendered every family, then he contemplates God as the universal Father, and all rational creatures as his children. But the whole drift of the passage shows that it is not God in his relation as creator, but God in his relation as a spiritual father--who is here contemplated. He is addressed as the "Father of our Lord Jesus Christ," and therefore our Father. It is plain therefore that those who are here contemplated as children, are those who are by Jesus Christ brought into this relation to God. Consequently the word patria cannot include any but the subjects of redemption. The whole family in heaven therefore cannot mean the angels, but the redeemed already saved, and the family on earth, the company of believers still living.

As children derive their name from their father and their relation to him is thereby determined, so the apostle says, the whole family of God

derive their name from him and are known and recognized as his children.

V. 16. This verse contains the apostle's prayer in behalf of the Ephesians. He prays that God, according to the riches of his glory, would strengthen them with might by his Spirit in the inner man. The riches of his glory, *ploutos tes do'xes*, means the plenitude of divine perfection. It is not his power to the exclusion of his mercy, nor his mercy to the exclusion of his power, but it is every thing in God that renders him glorious, the proper object of adoration. The apostle prays that God would deal with his people according to that plenitude of grace and power, which constitutes his glory and makes him to his creatures the source of all good.

duna'mei krataiothenai. *Duna'mei* may be rendered adverbially, "powerfully strengthened," or it may be rendered as to power, indicating the principle which was to be confirmed or strengthened; or, "with power," as expressing the gift to be communicated. They were to receive power communicated through the Holy Spirit. This is to be preferred, because the subject of this invigorating influence is not any one principle, but the whole "inner man."

There are two interpretations of the phrase *krataiothenai eis to`n e'so a'nthropon*, to be strengthened as to the inner man, the choice between which must depend on the analogy of Scripture. According to one theory of human nature, the higher powers of the soul, the reason, the mind, the spirit, the inner man, retain their integrity since the fall, but in themselves are too weak to gain the victory over the animal or lower principles of our nature, designated as the flesh, or outward man.

There is a perpetual struggle, even before regeneration, between the good and evil principles in man, between the reason, or *pneuma*, and the flesh, or *sarx*. The former being the weaker needs to be strengthened by

the divine Spirit. "The inner man," says Meyer, " is the nous, the rational moral Ego, the rational soul of man which harmonizes with the divine will, but needs to be strengthened by the Spirit of God (duna'mei krataiothe?nai dia` tou pneu'matos), in order not to be overcome by the sinful lusts of the sarx, whose animating or life principle is the psuche, the animal soul." This is the theory of semi-Pelagianism, embodied and developed in the theology of the church of Rome. The opposite, or Augustinian theory, adopted by the Lutheran and Reformed churches, is that of total depravity, i. e. that the whole soul, the higher, as well as lower powers of our nature, are the seat and subject of original sin, and that the natural man is thereby disabled and made opposite to all spiritual good. Consequently the conflict of which the Scriptures speak is not between the higher and lower powers of our nature,--but between nature and what is not nature, between the old and new man. The new principle is something supernatural communicated by the Spirit of God. The classical passages of Scripture relating to this subject, are Rom. 7, 14-25. 1 Cor. 2, 14. 15. Gal. 5, 17-26. In none of these passages does pneuma designate the reason as opposed to the sensual principle, but the Spirit of God as dwelling in the renewed soul and giving it its own character, and therefore also its own name. It is the soul as the subject of divine influence, or as the dwelling place of the Holy Ghost, that is called Spirit. By the "inner man," therefore, in this passage is not to be understood the soul as opposed to the body, or the rational, as distinguished from the sensual principle; but the interior principle of spiritual life, the product of the almighty power of the Spirit of God--as is clearly taught in ch. 1, 19 of this epistle. Even in 2 Cor. 4, 16, where the apostle says: "Though our outward man perish, our inward man is renewed day by day," the meaning is the same. That

language could not be used of an unrenewed man. It does not mean simply that though the body was wasted, the mind was constantly refreshed. The inner man that was renewed day by day was the renewed or spiritual man; the soul as the organ and temple of the Spirit of God.

V. 17. That Christ may dwell in yours hearts by faith, katoikesai to`n Christo`n dia` tes pi'steos en tais kardi'ais umon. Christ dwells in his people--he dwells in their hearts; he dwells in them through faith. These are the truths contained in this passage.

As to the first, viz. the indwelling of Christ, it does not differ from what is expressed in the preceding verse, further than as indicating the source or nature of that spiritual strength of which that verse speaks. When Paul prayed that his readers might be strengthened in the inner man, he prayed that Christ might dwell in. them. The omnipresent and infinite God is said to dwell wherever he specially and permanently manifests his presence. Thus he is said to dwell in heaven, Ps. 123, 1; to dwell among the children of Israel, Numb. 35, 34; in Zion, Ps. 9, 11; with him that is of an humble and contrite spirit, Is. 57, 11; and in his people, 2 Cor. 6, 16. Sometimes it is God who is said to dwell in the hearts of his people, sometimes the Spirit of God, sometimes, as in Rom. 8, 9, it is the Spirit of Christ; and sometimes, as Rom. 8, 10, and in the passage before us, it is Christ himself. These varying modes of expression find their solution in the doctrine of the Trinity. In virtue of the unity of the divine substance, he that had seen the Son, hath seen the Father also; he that hath the Son hath the Father; where the Spirit of God is, there God is; and where the Spirit of Christ is, there Christ is. The passage in Rom. 8, 9. 10 is specially instructive. The apostle there says, "The Spirit of God dwelleth in you. Now, if any man have not the Spirit of Christ, he is none of his; and if Christ be in you, &c." From this it is plain that Christ's being in us, means

that we have his Spirit; and to have his Spirit means that the Spirit of God dwells in us. When, therefore, the apostle speaks of Christ dwelling in our hearts, he refers to the indwelling of the Holy Ghost, for Christ dwells in his people by his Spirit. They thus become partakers of his life, so that it is Christ that liveth in them, Gal.

2, 20. This is the true and abiding source of spiritual strength and of all other manifestations of the divine life.

Christ is said to dwell in en tais kardi'ais, the hearts of his people.

The two common figurative senses of the word heart in Scripture, are, the feelings as distinguished from the understanding, and the whole soul, including the intellect and affections. It is in this latter sense the Scriptures speak of an understanding heart, 1 Kings 3, 9. 12. Prov. 8, 5; and of the thoughts, devices and counsels of the heart. Judges 5, 15. Prov. 19, 21; 20, 5. According to the Bible religion is not a form of feeling to the exclusion of the intellect, nor a form of knowledge to the exclusion of the feelings. Christ dwells in the heart, in the comprehensive sense of the word. He is the source of spiritual life to the whole soul; of spiritual knowledge as well as of spiritual affections.

By faith, dia` tes pi'steos, by means of faith. There are two essential conditions of this indwelling of Christ; a rational nature, and, so far as adults are concerned, faith. The former is necessarily presupposed in all communion with God. But it is not with every rational nature that God enters into fellowship. The indwelling of Christ includes more than the communion of spirit with spirit. It implies congeniality. This faith produces or involves; because it includes spiritual apprehension--the perception of the truth and excellence of "the things of the Spirit;" and because it works by love; it manifests itself in the exercise of complacency, desire and delight. The most beautiful

object might be in the apartment of a blind man, and he not be sensible of its presence; or if by any means made aware of its nearness, he could have no delight in its beauty. Christ dwells in us by faith, because it is by faith we perceive his presence, his excellence, and his glory, and because it is by faith we appropriate and reciprocate the manifestations of his love. Faith is to this spiritual communion, what esteem and affection are to the fellowships of domestic life.

V. 18. The construction of the clause, *en aga'pe errizome'noi kai` tethemeliome'noi i'na, ktl.*, is a matter of doubt. By many of the older and later commentators, it is connected with the preceding clause. The sense would then be: That thus Christ may dwell in the hearts of you, *en tais kardi'ais umon, errizome'noi*, rooted and grounded in love.' This supposes the grammatical construction to be irregular, as *erriz* does not agree with *umon*. The only reason urged for this interpretation is, that as Paul contemplates his readers as regenerated, he could not pray that Christ should dwell in their hearts, for such indwelling is inseparable from the new-birth which they already enjoyed. To pray for the indwelling of Christ would be to pray for their regeneration. The inward sense, therefore, despite the grammatical form of the words, requires such a construction as shall harmonize with that idea. Paul prays, not that Christ may dwell in their hearts, but that he may dwell in their hearts as confirmed in love. It is not, therefore, for the indwelling of Christ, but for their confirmation in love, for which he prays. There does not seem to be much force in this reasoning. The indwelling of Christ, is a thing of degrees. God manifests himself more fully and uniformly in the hearts of his people at one time than at another. Any Christian may pray for the presence of God, and what is his indwelling but the manifestation of his presence? The majority of commentators, therefore, assuming merely a trajection of the particle

?i'na (comp. Acts 19, 4. Gal. 2, 10. 2 Thess. 2, 7), connect the clause in question with what follows; in order that, being rooted and grounded in love, ye may understand, &c. The effect of the inward strengthening by the Spirit, or of the indwelling of Christ, is this confirmation of love; and the effect of the confirmation of love, is ability to comprehend (in our measure) the love of Christ.

The love in which we are to be rooted is not the love of God or of Christ toward us, but either brotherly love or love as a Christian grace without determining its object. It is that love which flows from faith, and of which both God and the brethren are the objects. It is for the increase and ascendancy of this grace through the indwelling of Christ, till it sustains and strengthens the whole inner man, so that the believer may stand as a well-rooted tree or as a well-founded building, that the apostle here prays.

exischu'sete katalabe'sthai, may be fully able (as the ek is intensive) to comprehend. Without being strengthened by the Spirit in the inner man, without the indwelling of Christ, without being rooted and grounded in love, it is impossible to have any adequate apprehension of the gospel or of the love of Christ therein revealed. The apostle therefore prays that his readers may be thus strengthened, in order that, with all saints, they may be able to comprehend the truth of which he speaks. The knowledge in question is peculiar to the holy, i. e. the saints. It is a spiritual knowledge, both because of its origin and of its nature. It is derived from the Spirit, and it consists in those views which none but the spiritual can experience. The object of this knowledge is infinite. "It is high as heaven; what canst thou do? deeper than hell; what canst thou know? The measure thereof is longer than the earth, and broader than the sea?" Job 11, 8. 9. This language is used to express the infinitude of God. The apostle employs a similar

mode of representation to indicate the boundless nature of the object of the believer's knowledge. To know what is infinite, and which therefore passes knowledge, can only mean to have some due appreciation of its nature, and of the fact that it is infinite. It is only thus that we can know space, immensity, eternity or God. Paul therefore would have us understand that the subject of which he speaks has a length and breadth, a depth and height, which pass all understanding. But what is this immeasurable theme? The answers given to this question are too numerous to be detailed. The main point is, whether the additional particular indicated by *te*, in the phrase *gnonai te*, is to be sought in the difference between *katalabe'sthai* and *gnonai* (between comprehending and knowing), or in the difference of the objects. In the former case, the sense of the passage would be: 'That ye may comprehend and know the length and breadth, the depth and height of the love of Christ which passes knowledge.' Just as we would say, 'That ye may know and feel.' In knowing, according to Scriptural usage, the idea of experimental knowledge, or knowledge united with appropriate feeling, may well be included. This is the simpler explanation and gives a very good sense. According to the other view, the meaning is: 'That ye may comprehend the length and breadth, the depth and height of--and also know the love of Christ;' something different from the love of Christ, being the object intended in the first clause. The great body of commentators, who adopt this view, suppose the reference is to the economy of redemption spoken of in v. 9. Paul prays that his hearers may comprehend the immensity of that plan of mercy, and know the love of Christ. Others refer to the manifold wisdom displayed in the salvation of men. Others to the unsearchable riches of Christ. All these subjects are indeed spoken of in the preceding context; but not in the prayer. At v. 14, there is such a change of the subject and in

the progress of the discourse, as to make it harsh to go back of that verse to seek for an object. It is more natural to look for it in the following clause, where one is found which makes further search unnecessary. It is the love of Christ, i. e. his love to us which passes knowledge. It is infinite; not only because it inheres in an infinite subject, but because the condescension and sufferings to which it led, and the blessings which it secures for its objects, are beyond our comprehension. This love of Christ, though it surpasses the power of our understanding to comprehend, is still a subject of experimental knowledge. We may know how excellent, how wonderful, how free, how disinterested, how long-suffering, how manifold and constant, it is, and that it is infinite. And this is the highest and most sanctifying of all knowledge. Those who thus know the love of Christ towards them, purify themselves even as he is pure.

That ye might be filled with all the fulness of God. The words, eis pan to` ple'roma tou Theou, are not properly translated, with all the fulness of God; but unto the complete fulness of God. That is the standard which is to be reached. Ple'roma may have its ordinary signification, that by which any thing is filled,'--or its secondary meaning, abundance, as we would say, the fulness of a stream.' If the latter sense of the word be retained, Theou is the genitive of the object,'--and the fulness of God' is that fulness, or plenitude which flows from him, and which he communicates. If the former and ordinary sense be adhered to, then Theou is the genitive of the subject, and the fulness of God' is that fulness of which God is full. It is the plenitude of the divine perfection, as in Col. 2, 9, where the fulness of the Godhead is said to dwell in Christ bodily. The majority of commentators take the phrase here in the same general sense. The fulness of God is that excellence, says Chrysostom, of which God

himself is full. The expression is then parallel to that in Matt. 5, 48, "Be ye perfect even as your Father which is in heaven is perfect." And the truth presented is the same substantially as that in Eph. 4, 13, "Until we all come--unto a perfect man, unto the measure of the stature of the fulness of Christ;" and 1 Cor. 13, 12, "Then shall I know even as also I am known." Absolute perfection is the standard to which the believer is to attain. He is predestinated to be conformed to the image of the Son of God, Rom. 8, 29. He is to be perfect as man, as God is perfect as God; and the perfection of man consists in his being full of God; God dwelling in him so as absolutely to control all his cognitions, feelings, and outward actions. This is expressed in Theodoret's interpretation of the phrase in question: ?i'na teleios auton enoikon dexesthe.

If, however, the other view be adopted the result is nearly the same. "The fulness of God," is then the abundance of gifts and grace which flows from God; and the meaning of the whole clause is: That ye may be filled until the whole plenitude of the divine beneficence has passed over to you.' The end contemplated is the reception of the donorum plenitudo, or the donorum Dei perfectio. "He who has Christ," says Calvin, "" has every thing that is required to our perfection in God, for this is what is meant by the fulness of God."

In favour, however, of the former view is the ordinary meaning of the word pleroma, the meaning of the phrase fulness of God, in other passages, the analogy of Scripture as exhibited in the parallel passages above quoted, and the simplicity of the interpretation, no paraphrase being necessary to bring out the sense. We are to grow to the stature of Christ; to be perfect as our Father is perfect; to be filled unto the measure of the fulness of God. When we are thus filled the distance between us and God will still be infinite. This is the

culminating point of the apostle's prayer. He prays that they may be strengthened in order to comprehend the infinite love of Christ; and that they might comprehend the love of Christ, in order that they might be filled unto the measure of God's fulness.

Vs. 20, 21. Paul's prayer had apparently reached a height beyond which neither faith, nor hope, nor even imagination could go, and yet he is not satisfied. An immensity still lay beyond. God was able to do not only what he had asked, but infinitely more than he knew how either to ask or think. Having exhausted all the forms of prayer, he casts himself on the infinitude of God, in full confidence that he can and will do all that omnipotence itself can effect. His power, not our prayers nor our highest conceptions, is the measure of the apostle's anticipations and desires. This idea he weaves into a doxology, which has in it more of heaven than of earth.

There are two forms of expression here united; Paul says, *to upe`r pa`nta poiesai du`nameno*, to him who is able to do more than, all things; and as though this were not enough, he adds, *uper ekperissou hon aitou`metha e` nooumen*, exceeding abundantly above all we ask or think. God is not only unlimited in himself, but is unrestricted by our prayers or knowledge. No definite bounds, therefore, can be set to what they may expect in whom, Christ dwells, and who are the objects of his infinite love.

Kata` te`n du`namin te`n energoume`nen en emin, according to the power that worketh in us. The infinite power of God from which so much may be expected, is the same of which we are now the subjects. It is that power which wrought in Christ when it raised him from the dead, and set him at the right hand of God, ch. 1, 19-20; and which has wrought an analogous change in the believer in raising him from the death of sin, and making him to sit in heavenly places in Christ Jesus; and which

still sustains and carries on the work of salvation in the soul. The past is a foretaste and pledge of the future. Those who have been raised from the dead, who have been transformed by the renewing of their minds, translated from the kingdom of darkness into the kingdom of God's dear Son, and in whom God himself dwells by his Spirit, having already experienced a change which nothing but omnipotence could effect, may well join in the doxology to Him who is able to do exceeding abundantly above all we can ask or think.

The glory; e do'xa is either the glory that is due, or the glory which God has. To give glory to God, is either to praise him, or to reveal his glory, i. e. cause it to be seen and acknowledged. Thus the doxology, To Him be glory--may mean either, Let Him be praised;' or, Let His glory be acknowledged.'

In the church by Christ Jesus. [11] The original is, en te ekklesi'a kai` en Christo Iesou, which Luther renders, in the church which is in Christ, i. e. the Christian church. This interpretation is adopted by several modern commentators. But in that case the article te before en Christo. ought not to be omitted. Besides, as the Christian church is the only church which could be thought of, the addition of the words in Christ would be unnecessary. The ordinary interpretation, therefore, is to be preferred. Glory is to be rendered to God in the church, and in and through Christ Jesus, as her head and representative. The church is the company of the redeemed here and in heaven; which constitutes one body through which God is to manifest his manifold wisdom, and which is through all ages to ascribe unto him glory, honour, and dominion.

The idea of eternity or of endless duration is variously expressed in Scripture. Sometimes eternity is conceived of as one, and the singular aion is used; sometimes as an endless succession of periods or ages, and then the plural aiones is used. Thus eis ton aiona, to eternity,

and eis tous aionas, or eis tous aionas ton aionon, to the ages indefinitely, i. e. endless ages, alike mean, for ever. So basileus tou aionos, king of eternity, and basileus ton aionon, king of endless ages, both mean the king eternal. The peculiarity of the case before us is, that the apostle combines these two forms: eis pa'sas ta's genea's tou aionos ton aio'non, to all the generations of an eternity of ages. This is in keeping with the cumulative character of the whole context. Finding no ordinary forms of expression suited to his demands, the apostle heaps together terms of the largest import to give some vent to thoughts and aspirations which he felt to be unutterable. These things belong to the stenagmoi alaletoi of which he speaks in Rom. 8, 26.

[9] Unus Deus omnes populos condidit, sic etiam nunc omnes ad se vocat.
BEZA.

[10] The MSS. A. B. C. 17. 67, the Coptic-Ethiopic, and Vulgate versions, and many of the Fathers omit the words tou Kuriou hemon Iesou Christou. As however important external authorities and the context are in their favour, the majority of recent editions and commentators retain them.

[11] The Text here varies considerably. The Uncial MSS., A and C, several of the later ones, the Coptic and Vulgate, Jerome and Pelagius read, en te ekklesi'a kai` en Christo Iesou; D, F, G invert the order and read, en Christo Iesou kai en te ekklesi'a. The majority of editors retain the common Text.

CHAPTER IV: AN EXHORTATION TO UNITY, VS. 1-16.--AN EXHORTATION TO HOLINESS AND TO SPECIFIC

AN EXHORTATION TO UNITY, VS. 1-16.--AN EXHORTATION TO HOLINESS AND TO SPECIFIC

VIRTUES, VS. 17-32.

SECTION I.--Vs. 1-16.

1. I therefore, the prisoner of the Lord, beseech you that ye walk worthy of the vocation wherewith ye are called,
2. with all lowliness and meekness, with long-suffering, forbearing one another in love;
3. endeavouring to keep the unity of the Spirit in the bond of peace.
4. There is one body, and one Spirit, even as ye are called in one hope of your calling;
5. one Lord, one faith, one baptism,
6. one God and Father of all, who is above 7. all, and through all, and in you all.
7. But unto every one of us is given grace according to the measure of the gift of Christ.
8. Wherefore he saith, When he ascended up on high, he led captivity captive, and gave gifts unto men.
9. Now that he ascended, what is it but that he also descended first into the lower parts of the earth?
10. He that descended is the same also that ascended up far above all heavens, that he might fill all things.
11. And he gave some, apostles; and some, prophets; and some, evangelists; and some, pastors and teachers;
12. for the perfecting of the saints, for the work of the ministry, for

the edifying of the body of Christ;

13. till we all come in the unity of the faith, and of the knowledge of the Son of God, unto a perfect man, unto the measure of the stature of the fulness of Christ:

14. that we henceforth be no more children, tossed to and fro, and carried about with every wind of doctrine, by the sleight of men, and cunning craftiness, whereby they lie in wait to deceive:

15. but speaking the truth in love, may grow up into him in all things, which is the head, even Christ:

16. from whom the whole body fitly joined together and compacted by that which every joint supplieth, according to the effectual working in the measure of every part, maketh increase of the body unto the edifying of itself in love.

ANALYSIS.

The apostle exhorts his readers to walk worthy of their vocation. Such a walk should be characterized by humility, meekness, long-suffering, and zeal to promote spiritual unity and peace, vs. 1-3. The church is one because it is one body, has one Spirit, one hope, one Lord, one faith, one baptism, and one God and Father who is over, through, and in all its members, vs. 4-6. This unity, however, is consistent with great diversity of gifts, which Christ distributes according to his own will, v. 7. This is confirmed by a passage from the Psalms which speaks of the Messiah as giving gifts to men; which passage it is shown must refer to Christ, since it speaks of a divine person ascending to heaven, which necessarily implies a preceding descent to the earth, vs. 9-10. The gifts which Christ bestows on his church are the various classes of ministers, apostles, prophets, evangelists, and pastors who are teachers. v. 11. The design of the ministry is the edification of the church, and to bring all its members to unity of faith and

knowledge, and to the full stature of Christ; that they should no longer have the instability of children, but be a firm, compact, and growing body in living union with Christ its head, vs. 12-16.

COMMENTARY.

V. 1. Parakalo oun umas ego` o de'smios en Kuri'o. The exhortation is a general one; it flows from the preceding doctrines, and is enforced by the authority, and the sufferings of him who gave it. As you are partakers of the redemption purchased by Christ, "I therefore beseech you." I the prisoner, not of, but in the Lord, en Kuri'o. He was a prisoner because he was in the Lord and for his sake. It was as a Christian and in the cause of Christ he suffered bonds. Compare the frequently occurring expressions, sunergos en Christo, agapetos en Kurio, dokimos en Christo, eklektos en Kurio. He speaks as a prisoner not to excite sympathy, not merely to add weight to his exhortation, but rather as exulting that he was counted worthy to suffer for Christ's sake. This is in accordance with the beautiful remark of Theodoret: *tois dia ton Christon desmois enabrunetai mallon e basileus diademat*, he glories in his chains more than a king in his diadem. I, the martyr Paul, the crowned apostle, exhort you,' &c. All is thus in keeping with the elevated tone of feeling which marks the preceding passage.

The exhortation is, *axi'os peripatesai tes kle'seos hes ekle'thete*, to walk worthy of the vocation wherewith they were called. That vocation was to sonship; ch. 1, 5. This includes three things--holiness, exaltation, and unity. They were called to be conformed to the image of Christ, to share in his exaltation and glory, and to constitute one family as all are the children of God. A conversation becoming such a vocation, therefore, should be characterized by holiness, humility, and mutual forbearance and brotherly love. The apostle, therefore,

immediately adds, with all lowliness and meekness. Undeserved honour always produces these effects upon the ingenuous. To be raised from the depths of degradation and misery and made the sons of God, and thus exalted to an inconceivable elevation and dignity, does and must produce humility and meekness. Where these effects are not found, we may conclude the exaltation has not taken place. Lowliness of mind, tapeinophrosu'nes, includes a low estimate of one's self, founded on the consciousness of guilt and weakness, and a consequent disposition to be low, unnoticed, and unpraised. It stands opposed not only to self-complacency and self-conceit, but also to self-exaltation, and setting one's self up to attract the honour which comes from men. This is taught in Rom. 12, 16, where ta` upsela` phronountes, seeking high things, is opposed to the lowliness of mind here inculcated. There is a natural connection between humility and meekness, and therefore they are here joined together as in so many other places. Praotes is softness, mildness, gentleness, which when united with strength, is one of the loveliest attributes of our nature. The blessed Saviour says of himself, "I am meek (praos) and lowly in heart," Matt. 11, 29; and the apostle speaks of "the gentleness of Christ," 2 Cor. 10, 1. Meekness is that unresisting, uncomplaining disposition of mind, which enables us to bear without irritation or resentment the faults and injuries of others. It is the disposition of which the lamb, dumb before the shearers, is the symbol, and which was one of the most wonderful of all the virtues of the Son of God. The most exalted of all beings was the gentlest.

The third associated virtue which becomes the vocation wherewith we are called, is long-suffering; makrothumi'a, a disposition which leads to the suppression of anger, 2 Cor. 6, 6. Gal. 3, 22. Col. 3, 12; to deferring the infliction of punishment, and is therefore often

attributed to God, Rom. 2, 4; 9, 22. 1 Pet. 3, 10; and to patient forbearance towards our fellow men, 2 Tim. 4, 2. 1 Tim. 1, 16. It is explained by what follows, forbearing one another in love. Or, rather, the three virtues, humility, meekness, and long-suffering, are all illustrated and manifested in this mutual forbearance. Anecho, is to restrain, anechomai to restrain oneself, anecho'menoi alle'lon en aga'pe, therefore, means restraining yourselves in reference to each other in love. Let love induce you to be forbearing towards each other. The construction of the passage adopted by our translators is preferable to either connecting meta` makroth. with anech. "with long-suffering forbearing," or detaching en aga'pe from this clause and connecting it with the following one, so as to read en aga'pe spouda'zontes. The participle spouda'zontes is of course connected with what precedes. They were to walk worthy of their vocation, forbearing one another, endeavouring to keep the unity of the Spirit. Of the phrase unity of the spirit, there are three interpretations. 1. Ecclesiastical unity, so Grotius: unitatem ecclesiae, quod est corpus spirituale. Instead of that discordance manifested in the church of Corinth, for example, not only in their division into parties, but in the conflict of "spirits," or contentions among those endowed with spiritual gifts, the apostle would have the Ephesians manifest in the church that they were animated by one spirit. But this is foreign not only to the simple meaning of the terms, but also to the context. 2. The word spirit is assumed to refer to the human spirit, and the unity of the spirit to mean, concordia animorum, or harmony. 3. The only interpretation in accordance with the ordinary usage of the words and with the context, is that which makes the phrase in question mean that unity of which the Spirit is the author. Every where the indwelling of the Holy Ghost is said to be the principle of unity in the body of

Christ. This unity may be promoted or disturbed. The exhortation is that the greatest zeal should be exercised in its preservation; and the means by which it is to be preserved is the bond of peace. That is, that bond which, is peace. The peace which results from love, humility, meekness, and mutual forbearance, is essential to the union and communion of the members of Christ's body, which is the fruit and evidence of the Spirit's presence. As hatred, pride and contention among Christians cause the Spirit to withdraw from them, so love and peace secure his presence. And as his presence is the condition and source of all good, and his absence the source of all evil, the importance of the duty enjoined cannot be over-estimated. Our Lord said: "Blessed are the peace-makers." Blessed are those who endeavour to preserve among the discordant elements of the church, including as it does men of different nations, manners, names and denominations, that peace which is the condition of the Spirit's presence. The apostle labours in this, as in his other epistles, to bring the Jewish and Gentile Christians to this spirit of mutual forbearance, and to convince them that we are all one in Christ Jesus. [12]

As in Col. 3, 14, love is said to be "the bond of perfectness," many commentators understand "the bond of peace" in this passage to be love. So Bengel: *Vinculum quo pax retinetur est ipse amor*. But as the passages are not really parallel, and as in Colossians love is mentioned and here it is not; and as the sense is simple and good without any deviation from the plain meaning of the words, the great majority of interpreters adopt the view given above.

V. 4. Having urged the duty of preserving unity, the apostle proceeds to state both its nature and grounds. It is a unity which arises from the fact--there is and can be but one body, one Spirit, one hope, one Lord, one faith, one baptism, and one God.

One body, e`n soma. This is not an exhortation, but a declaration. The meaning is not, Let us be united in one body, or in soul and body; but, as the context requires, it is a simple declaration. There is one body, viz. one mystical body of Christ. All believers are in Christ; they are all his members; they constitute not many, much less conflicting bodies, but one. "We, being many, are one body in Christ, and every one members one of another." Rom. 12, 5. 1 Cor. 10, 17; 12, 27. In ch. 1, 23, the church is said "to be his body, the fulness of him that filleth all in all." As all true believers are members of this body, and as all are not included in any one external organization, it is obvious that the one body of which the apostle speaks, is not one outward visible society, but a spiritual body of which Christ is the head and all the renewed are members. The relation, therefore, in which believers stand to each other, is that which subsists between the several members of the human body. A want of sympathy is evidence of want of membership.

One spirit, e`n pneuma. This again does not mean one heart. It is not an exhortation to unanimity of feeling, or a declaration that such unanimity exists, Quasi diceret, nos penitus corpore et anima, non ex parte duntaxat, debere esse unitos. The context and the analogy of Scripture, as a comparison of parallel passages would evince, prove that by spirit is meant the Holy Spirit. As there is one body, so there is one Spirit, which is the life of that body and dwells in all its members. "By one Spirit," says the apostle, "are we all baptized into one body, whether we be Jews or Gentiles, whether we be bond or free; and have all been made to drink into one Spirit." 1 Cor. 12, 13. Of all believers, he says, "The Spirit of God dwelleth in you." 1 Cor. 3, 16; 6, 19. Rom. 8, 9. 11. There is no doctrine of Scripture more plainly revealed than that the Spirit of God dwells in all believers, and that his presence is the ultimate ground of their unity as the body of

Christ. As the human body is one because pervaded by one soul; so the body of Christ is one because it is pervaded by one and the same Spirit, who dwelling in all is a common principle of life. All sins against unity, are, therefore, sins against the Holy Ghost. They dissever that which he binds together. Our relation to Christ as members of his body; and our relation to the Holy Spirit who is our life, demands of us that we love our brethren and live at peace with them.

Even as ye are called in one hope of your calling. *katho` s kai` ekle'thete en mia elpi'di tes kle'seos umon*. Inasmuch as. That is, believers are one body and have one spirit, because they have one hope. The fact that they all have the same high destiny, and are filled with the same expectations, proves that they are one. The unity of their hope is another evidence and element of the communion of saints. The Holy Ghost dwelling in them gives rise to the same aspirations, to the same anticipations of the same glorious inheritance, to a participation of which they had been called. The word hope is sometimes used for the things hoped for, as when the apostle speaks of the hope laid up in heaven. Col. 1, 5. See also Titus 2, 13. Heb. 6, 18. Most frequently of course it has its subjective sense, viz. the expectation of future good. There is no reason for departing from that sense here, though the other is intimately allied with it, and is necessarily implied. It is because the object is the same, that the expectation is the same. Hope of your calling, is the hope which flows from your vocation. The inward, effectual call of the Holy Spirit gives rise to this hope for two reasons. First, because their call is to the inheritance of the saints in light. They naturally hope to obtain what they are invited to receive. They are invited to reconciliation and fellowship with God, and therefore they hope for his salvation; and in the second place, the

nature of this call makes it productive of hope. It is at once an earnest and a foretaste of their future inheritance. See ch. 1, 14, and 1 Cor. 1, 22. It assures the believer of his interest in the blessings of redemption, Rom. 8, 16; and as a drop of water makes the thirsty traveller long for the flowing stream, so the first fruits of the Spirit, his first sanctifying operations on the heart, cause it to thirst after God. Ps. 42, 1. 2. Hope includes both expectation and desire, and therefore the inward work of the Spirit being of the nature both of an earnest and a foretaste, it necessarily produces hope. Another ground of the unity of the church is, that all its members have ONE LORD. Lordship includes the ideas of possession and authority. A lord, in proper sense, is both owner and sovereign. When used in reference to God or Christ, the word expresses these ideas in the highest degree. Christ is THE LORD, i. e. omnium rerum summus dominus et possessor. He is our Lord, i. e. our rightful owner and absolute sovereign. This proprietorship and sovereignty pertain to the soul and to the body. We are not our own, and should glorify him in our body and spirit which are his. Our reason is subject to his teaching, our conscience to his commands, our hearts and lives to his control. We are his slaves. And herein consists our liberty. It is the *felix necessitas boni* of which Augustin speaks. It is analogous to absolute subjection to truth and holiness, only it is to a person who is infinite in knowledge and in excellence. This lordship over us belongs to Christ not merely as God, or as the Logos, but as the Theanthropos. It is founded not simply on his divinity, but also and specially on the work of redemption. We are his because he has bought us with his own most precious blood. 1 Cor. 6, 20. 1 Pet. 1, 1. For this end he both died and rose again, that he might be Lord both of dead and of living. Rom. 14, 9. Such being the nature and the grounds of the sovereignty of

Christ, it necessarily binds together his people. The slaves of one master and the subjects of the same sovereign are intimately united among themselves, although the ownership and authority are merely external. But when, as in our relation to Christ, the proprietorship and sovereignty are absolute, extending to the soul as well as to the body, the union is unspeakably more intimate. Loyalty to a common Lord and master animates with one spirit all the followers of Christ.

One faith. This is the fifth bond of union enumerated by the apostle. Many commentators deny that the word *pi'stis* is ever used for the object of faith, or the things believed; they therefore deny that one faith here means one creed. But as this interpretation is in accordance with the general usage of language, and as there are so many cases in which the objective sense of the word is best suited to the context, there seems to be no sufficient reason for refusing to admit it. In Gal. 1, 23, Paul says, "He preached the faith;" in Acts 6, 7, men, it is said, "were obedient to the faith." The apostle Jude speaks of "the faith once delivered to the saints." In these and in many other instances the objective sense is the natural one. In many cases both senses of the word may be united. It may be said of speculative believers that they have one faith, so far as they profess the same creed, however they may differ in their real convictions. All the members of the Church of England have one faith, because they all profess to adopt the Thirty-Nine Articles, although the greatest diversity of doctrine prevails among them. But true believers have one faith, not only because they profess the same creed, but also because they really and inwardly embrace it. Their union, therefore, is not merely an external union, but inward and spiritual. They have the same faith objectively and subjectively. This unity of faith is not perfect. That, as the apostle tells us in a subsequent part of this chapter, is

the goal towards which the church contends. Perfect unity in faith implies perfect knowledge and perfect holiness. It is only as to fundamental doctrines, those necessary to piety and therefore necessary to salvation, that this unity can be affirmed of the whole church as it now exists on earth. Within these limits all the true people of God are united. They all receive the Scriptures as the word of God, and acknowledge themselves subject to their teachings. They all recognize and worship the Lord Jesus as the Son of God. They all trust to his blood for redemption and to his Spirit for sanctification.

One baptism. Under the old dispensation when a Gentile became a Jew, he professed to accede to the covenant which God had made with his people, and he received the sign of circumcision not only as a badge of discipleship but as the seal of the covenant. All the circumcised therefore were foederati, men bound together by the bonds of a covenant which united them to the same God and to each other. So under the new dispensation the baptized are foederati; men bound together in covenant with Christ and with each other. There is but one baptism. All the baptized make the same profession, accept the same covenant, and are consecrated to the same Lord and Redeemer. They are, therefore, one body. " For as many as have been baptized into Christ, have put on Christ. There is neither Jew nor Greek, there is neither bond nor free, there is neither male nor female, for ye are all one in Christ Jesus."

Gal. 3, 27. 28.

V. 6. One God and Father of all, who is over all, and through all and in us all, *heis Theo`s kai` Pate`r pa'nton, o epi` pa'nton kai` dia` pa'nton kai` en pasin hemin.* As the church is one because pervaded by one Spirit, and because it is owned and governed by one Lord, so it is one because it has one God and Father; one glorious Being to whom it sustains the twofold relation of creature and child. This God is not

merely over us, as afar off, but through all and in us all, i. e. pervading and filling all with his sustaining and life-giving presence. There are many passages to which the doctrine of the Trinity gives a sacred rhythm, though the doctrine itself is not directly asserted. It is so here. There is one Spirit, one Lord, one God and Father. The unity of the church is founded on this doctrine. It is one because there is to us one God the Father, one Lord, one Spirit. It is a truly mystical union; not a mere union of opinion, of interest, or of feeling; but something supernatural arising from a common principle of life. This life is not the natural life which belongs to us as creatures; nor intellectual: which belongs to us as rational beings; but it is spiritual life, called elsewhere the life of God in the soul. And as this life is common, on the one hand, to Christ and all his members--and on the other, to Christ and God, this union of the church is not only with Christ, but with the Triune God. Therefore in Scripture it is said that the Spirit dwells in believers, that Christ dwells in them, and that God dwells in them. And, therefore, also our Lord prays for his people, "That they all may be one; as thou, Father, art in me, and I in thee, that they also may be one in us." John 17, 21.

It is obvious from the whole connection that the word *pa'nton* ("of all," and "through all"), is not neuter. The apostle does not refer to the dominion of God over the universe, or to his providential agency throughout all nature. Neither is the reference to his dominion over rational creatures or over mankind. It is the relation of God to the church, of which the whole passage treats. God as Father is over all its members, through them all and in them all. The church is a habitation of God through the Spirit. It is his temple in which he dwells and which is pervaded in all its parts by his presence. The

preposition *dia*, therefore, does not here express instrumentality, but diffusion. It is not that God operates "through all" (*dia pa'nton*), but that he pervades all and abides in all. This is the climax. To be filled with God; to be pervaded by his presence, and controlled by him, is to attain the summit of all created excellence, blessedness and glory.

V. 7. This unity of the church, although it involves the essential equality of all believers, is still consistent with great diversity as to gifts, influence, and honour. According to the apostle's favourite illustration, it is like the human body, which is composed of many members with different functions. It is not all eye nor all ear. This diversity of gifts is not only consistent with unity, but is essential to it. The body is not one member but many. In every organism a diversity of parts is necessary to the unity of the whole. If all were one member, asks the apostle, where were the body? *Summa praesentis loci est, says Calvin, quod Deus in neininem omnia contulerit; sed quisque certam mensuram receperit; ut alii aliis indigeant et in commune conferendo quod singulis datum est, alii alios mutuo juvent.* The position, moreover, of each member in the body, is not determined by itself, but by God. The eye does not make itself the eye, nor the ear, the ear. It is thus in the church. The different positions, gifts, and functions of its members, are determined not by themselves but by Christ. All this is taught by the apostle when he says, "But (i. e. notwithstanding the unity of the church) unto every one of us is given grace, according to the measure of the gift of Christ." There is this diversity of gifts, and the distribution of these gifts is in the hand of Christ. The grace here spoken of includes the inward spiritual gift, and the influence, function or office, as the case might be, flowing from it. Some were apostles, some prophets, some evangelists. The grace

which made them such, was the inward gift and the outward office. The giver is Christ; he is the source of the spiritual influence conferring power, and the official appointment conferring authority. He, therefore, is God, because the source of the inward life of the church and of its authority and that of its officers. He is sovereign in the distribution of his gifts. They are distributed, *kata` to` me'tron tes doreas tou Christou*, according to the measure of the gift of Christ; that is, as he sees fit to give. The rule is not our merit, or our previous capacity, nor our asking, but his own good pleasure. Paul was made an apostle, who before was a blasphemer and injurious. The duty, as the apostle teaches, which arises from all this is, that every one should be contented with the position assigned him; neither envying those above, nor despising those below him. To refuse to occupy the position assigned us in the church, is to refuse to belong to it at all. If the foot refuses to be the foot, it does not become the hand, but is cut off and perishes. Sympathy is the law of every body having a common life. If one member suffers, all suffer; and if one rejoices, all rejoice. We can tell, therefore, whether we belong to the body of Christ, by ascertaining whether we have this contentment with our lot, and this sympathy with our fellow members.

V. 8. The position which the preceding verse assigns to the Lord Jesus as the source of all life and power in the church, is so exalted, that the apostle interrupts himself to show that this representation is in accordance with what the Scriptures had already taught on this subject. The seventh verse speaks of Christ giving gifts. As this was his office, the Scriptures speak of him as a conqueror laden with spoils, enriched by his victories, and giving gifts to men. That the Psalmist had reference to the Messiah, is evident, because the passage speaks of his ascending. But for a divine person to ascend to heaven, supposes a

previous descent to the earth. It was the Son of God, the Messiah, who descended, and therefore it was the Son of God who ascended, and who is represented by the sacred writer as enriched by his triumphant work on earth, and distributing the fruits of his conquest as he pleased. This seems to be the general sense of the passage in the connection, although it is replete with difficulties. The great truth is, that Christ's exaltation is the reward of his humiliation. By his obedience and sufferings he conquered the Prince of this world, he redeemed his people, and obtained the right to bestow upon them all needed good. He is exalted to give the Holy Ghost, and all his gifts and graces, to grant repentance and remission of sins. This great truth is foreshadowed and foretold in the Old Testament Scriptures. Wherefore he saith, *dio` le'gei*, i. e. God, or the Scriptures. "Having ascended up on high, he led captivity captive, and gave gifts unto men." That is, what I have said respecting Christ being the distributor of spiritual gifts, is in accordance with the prophetic declaration, that the ascended Messiah should give gifts to men. The Messiah is represented by the Psalmist as a conqueror, leading captives in triumph, and laden with spoils which he distributes to his followers. Thus Christ conquered. He destroyed him that hath the power of death, i. e. the devil. He delivered those who through the fear of death were subject to bondage. Heb. 2, 15. Having spoiled principalities and powers, he made a show of them openly, triumphing over them. Col. 2, 15. When a strong man armed keepeth his palace, his goods are in peace; but when a stronger than he cometh upon him, and overcometh him, he taketh from him all his armour wherein he trusted, and divideth his spoil. Luke, 11, 21, 22. Such is the familiar mode of representation respecting the work of Christ. He conquered Satan. He led captivity captive. The abstract is for the concrete--captivity for captives--aichmalosia for

aichmalotai as summachia for summachoi. Compare Judges 5, 12, "Awake, awake, Deborah, awake, awake, utter a song: arise, Barak, and lead thy captivity captive, thou son of Abinoam." These captives thus led in triumph may be either the enemies of Christ, Satan, sin, and death, which is the last enemy which shall be destroyed; or his people, redeemed by his power and subdued by his grace. The former is perhaps the more consistent with the figure, and with the parallel passages quoted above. Both are true; that is, it is true that Christ has conquered Satan, and leads him captive; and it is also true that he redeems his people and subdues them to himself, and leads them as willing captives. They are made willing, in the day of his power.

Calvin, therefore, unites both representations: *Neque enim Satanam modo et peccatum et mortem totosque inferos prostravit, sed ex rebellibus quotidie facit sibi obsequentem populum, quum verbo suo carnis nostræ lasciviam domat; rursus hostes suos, h. e. impios omnes quasi ferreis catenis continet constrictos, dum illorum furorem cohibet sua virtute, ne plus valeant, quam illis concedit.* This clause of the quotation is, however, entirely subordinate. The stress lies on the last clause, "He gave gifts to men."

There are two serious difficulties connected with this citation. The first is, that the quotation does not agree with the original. In the Ps. 68, 18, the passage is, "Thou hast received gifts among men." Paul has it, "He gave gifts to man." To get over this difficulty some have supposed that the apostle does not quote the Psalm, but some Hymn which the Ephesians were in the habit of using. But this is not only contrary to the uniform usage of the New Testament writers, but also to the whole context, for the apostle argues from the passage quoted as of divine authority. Others have assumed an error in the Hebrew text. Rationalists say it is a misquotation from failure of memory. Others

argue that the word *lqch*, used by the Psalmist, means to give as well as to take. Or, at least, it often means to bring; and therefore, the original passage may be translated, "Thou hast brought gifts among men;" the sense of which is, 'Thou hast given gifts to men.' The difference is thus reduced to a mere verbal alteration, the sense remaining the same. It is a strong confirmation of this view that the Chaldee Paraphrase expresses the same sense: *dedisti dona filiis hominum*. Dr. Addison Alexander in his comment on Ps. 68, 18 remarks, "To receive gifts on the one hand and bestow gifts on the other are correlative ideas and expressions, so that Paul, in applying this description of a theocratic triumph to the conquests of our Saviour, substitutes one of these expressions for the other." This is perhaps the most natural solution. The divine writers of the New Testament, filled with the same Spirit, which moved the ancient prophets, are not tied to the mere form, but frequently give the general sense of the passages which they quote. A conqueror always distributes the spoils he takes. He receives to give. And, therefore, in depicting the Messiah as a conqueror, it is perfectly immaterial whether it is said, He received gifts, or, He gave gifts. The sense is the same. He is a conqueror laden with spoils, and able to enrich his followers.

The second difficulty connected with this quotation is that Ps. 68 is not Messianic. It does not refer to the Messiah, but to the triumphs of God over his enemies. Yet the apostle not only applies it to Christ, but argues to prove that it must refer to him. This difficulty finds its solution in three principles which are applicable not only to this, but also to many similar passages. The first is the typical character of the old dispensation. It was a shadow of good things to come. There was not only a striking analogy between the experience of the ancient people of God, in their descent into Egypt, their deliverance from the

house of bond. age, their journey through the wilderness, and their entrance into Canaan, and the experience of the church, but this analogy was a designed prefiguration--God's dealings as the head of the ancient theocracy, were typical of his dealings with the church. His delivering his people, his conquering their enemies, and his enriching his followers with their spoil, were all adumbrations of the higher work of Christ. As the passover was both commemorative of the deliverance out of Egypt and typical of the redemption effected by Christ; so, many of the descriptions of the works and triumphs of God under the old economy are both historical and prophetic. Thus the Psalm quoted by the apostle is a history of the conquests of God over the enemies of his ancient people, and a prophecy of the conquests of the Messiah.

The second principle applicable to this and similar cases, is the identity of the Logos or Son manifested in the flesh under the new dispensation with the manifested Jehovah of the old economy. Hence what is said of the one, is properly assumed to be said of the other.

Therefore, as Moses says Jehovah led his people through the wilderness, Paul says Christ led them. 1 Cor. 10, 4. As Isaiah saw the glory of Jehovah in the temple, John says he saw the glory of Christ. John 12, 41. As it is written in the prophets, "As I live, saith Jehovah, every knee shall bow to me, and every tongue shall confess to God," Is. 45, 23, Paul says, this proves that we must all stand before the judgment seat of Christ. Rom. 14, 10. 11. What in Ps. 102, 25, &c., is said of God as creator, and as eternal and immutable, is in Hebrews 1, 10, applied to Christ. On the same principle what is said in Ps. 68, 18, of Jehovah as ascending to heaven and leading captivity captive, is here said to refer to Christ.

There is still a third principle to be taken into consideration. Many

of the historical and prophetic descriptions of the Old Testament are not exhausted by any one application or fulfilment. The promise that Japheth should dwell in the tents of Shem, was fulfilled every time the descendants of the former were made to share in the blessings temporal or spiritual of the latter. The predictions of Isaiah of the redemption of Israel were not exhausted by the deliverance of the people of God from the Babylonish captivity, but had a direct reference to the higher redemption to be effected by Christ. The glowing descriptions of the blessings consequent on the advent of the Messiah, relate not merely to the consequences of his first advent, but to all that is to follow his coming the second time without sin unto salvation. The prediction that every knee shall bow to God and every tongue confess to him, is a prediction not only of the universal prevalence of the true religion; but also, as the apostle teaches, of a general judgment at the last day. In like manner, what the Old Testament says of Jehovah descending and ascending, of his conquering his enemies and enriching his people, is not exhausted by his figurative descending to manifest his power, nor by such conspicuous theophanies as occurred on Sinai and in the Temple, or in the triumphs recorded in the Hebrew Scriptures, but refer also to his personal advent in the flesh, to his ascension and his spiritual triumphs. It is, therefore, in perfect accordance with the whole analogy of Scripture, that the apostle applies what is said of Jehovah in Ps. 68 as a conqueror, to the work of the Lord Jesus, who, as God manifested in the flesh, ascended on high leading captivity captive and giving gifts unto men.

Vs. 9. 10. Now that he ascended, what is it but that he also descended first into the lower parts of the earth? He that descended is the same also that ascended up far above all heavens, that he might fill all things.

The obvious design of these verses is to show that the passage quoted from the Psalmist refers to Christ. The proof lies in the fact that ascension in the case of a divine person, a giver of spiritual gifts to men, implies a previous descent. It was Christ who descended, and therefore, it is Christ who ascended. It is true the Old Testament often speaks of God's descending, and therefore, they may speak of his ascending. But according to the apostle, the divine person intended in those representations was the Son, and no previous descent or ascent, no previous triumph over his enemies, included all that the Spirit of prophecy intended by such representations. And, therefore, the Psalmist must be understood as having included in the scope of his language the most conspicuous and illustrious of God's condescensions and exaltations. All other comings were but typical of his coming in the flesh, and all ascensions were typical of his ascension from the grave. The apostle, therefore, here teaches that God, the subject of the sixty-eighth Psalm, descended "into the lower parts of the earth;" that "he ascended up above all heavens," and that this was with the design "that he might fill all things."

The Hebrew phrase *tchtyvt 'rts* to which the apostle's *ta katotera mere tes ges*, (the lower parts of the earth,) answers, is used for the earth in opposition to heaven, Is. 44, 23; probably for the grave in Ps. 63, 10; as a poetical designation for the womb in Ps. 139, 15; and for Hades or the invisible world, Ez. 32, 24. Perhaps the majority of commentators take this last to be the meaning of the passage before us. They suppose the reference is to the *desensus ad inferos*, or to Christ's "descending into hell." But in the first place this idea is entirely foreign to the meaning of the passage in the Psalm on which the apostle is commenting. In the second place, there as here, the only descent of which the context speaks is opposed to the ascending to

heaven. He that ascended to heaven is he who first descended to earth.' In the third place, this is the opposition so often expressed in other places and in other forms of expression, as in John 3, 13, "No man hath ascended up to heaven, but he that came down from heaven, even the Son of Man who is in heaven." John 6, 38, "I came down from heaven." John 8, 14, "I know whence I came and whither I go." John 16, 28, "I came forth from the Father, and am come into the world; again, I leave the world, and go to the Father." The expression of the apostle therefore means, "the lower parts, viz. the earth." The genitive *tes ges* is the common genitive of apposition. Compare Acts 2, 19, where the heaven above is opposed to the earth beneath; and John 8, 23.

He that descended to earth, who assumed our nature, is the same also that ascended up far above all heavens. *Hupera'no, longe supra*, expressing the highest exaltation. As the Hebrew word for heaven is in the plural form, the New Testament writers often use the plural even when the heavens are considered as one, as in the phrase *basileia ton ouranon*. But often there is a reference to a plurality of heavens, as when the expression "all heavens" is used. The Jews reckoned seven heavens, and Paul, 2 Cor. 12, 2, speaks of the third heavens; the atmosphere, the region of the stars, and above all the abode of God. Above all heavens plainly means above the whole universe; above all that is created visible and invisible; above thrones, principalities, and powers. All things, all created things, are subject to the ascended Redeemer.

He is thus exalted, *i'na plero'se ta` pa'nta*, that he might fill all things. As the word *pleroo* signifies to fill, to fulfil, to render perfect, and to accomplish, these words may mean--1. That he might fill all things, i. e. the universe with his presence and power. 2. That he might fulfil all the predictions and promises of God respecting his

kingdom. 3. That he might render all perfect, replete with grace and goodness. 4. That he might accomplish all things necessary to the consummation of his work. The first interpretation is greatly to be preferred. Ta panta properly means the universe; and if taken to mean any thing else, it must be because the context demands it, which is not the case here. Secondly, this passage is evidently parallel with ch. 1, 21, where also it is said of Christ as exalted, that "he fills the universe in all its parts." Thirdly, the analogy of Scripture is in favour of this interpretation. The omnipresence and universal dominion of God are elsewhere expressed in a similar way. "Do I not fill heaven and earth, saith the Lord." Jer. 23, 24. The same grand idea is expressed in Matt. 28, 18, "All power is given unto me in heaven and upon earth;" and in Phil. 2, 9, 10, and in many other places. It is not of the ubiquity of Christ's body of which the apostle speaks, as the Lutherans contend, but of the universal presence and power of the ascended Son of God. It is God clothed in our nature, who now exercises this universal dominion; and, therefore, the apostle may well say of Christ, as the incarnate God, that he gives gifts unto men.

V. 11. Kai` auto`s e'doke, and He gave. He, the ascended Saviour, to whom all power and all resources have been given--he gave, some, apostles; and some, prophets; and some, evangelists; and some, pastors and teachers. These were among the gifts which Christ gave his church; which, though implying diversity of grace and office, were necessary to its unity as an organized whole. These offices are mentioned in the order of their importance. First the apostles the immediate messengers of Christ, the witnesses for him, of his doctrines, his miracles, and of his resurrection; infallible as teachers and absolute as rulers in virtue of the gift of inspiration and of their commission. No man, therefore, could be an apostle unless--1. He was immediately appointed

by Christ. 2. Unless he had seen him after his resurrection and had received the knowledge of the Gospel by immediate revelation. 3. Unless he was rendered infallible by the gift of inspiration. These things constituted the office and were essential to its authority. Those who without these gifts and qualifications claimed the office, are called "false apostles."

2. Prophets. A prophet is one who speaks for another, spokesman, as Aaron was the prophet of Moses. Those whom God made his organs in speaking to men were prophets, whether their communications were doctrinal, preceptive, or prophetic in the restricted sense of the term. Every one who spoke by inspiration, was a prophet. The prophets of the New Testament differed from the apostles, in that their inspiration was occasional, and therefore their authority as teachers subordinate. The nature of their office is fully taught in 1 Cor. 14, 1-40. As the gift of infallibility was essential to the apostolic office, so the gift of occasional inspiration was essential to the prophetic office. It is inconceivable that God should invest any set of men with the authority claimed and exercised by the apostles and prophets of the New Testament, requiring all men to believe their doctrines and submit to their authority, on the pain of perdition, without giving the inward gifts qualifying them for their work. This is clearly stated by Calvin in his comment on this verse; to a certain difficulty, he says, "Respondeo, quoties a Deo vocati sunt homines, dona necessarie conjuncta esse officiis; neque enim Deus, apostolos aut pastores instituendo, larvam illis duntaxat imponit; sed dotibus etiam instruit, sine quibus rite functionem sibi injunctam obire nequeunt. Quisquis ergo Dei auctoritate constituitur apostolus, non inani et nudo titulo, sed mandato simul et facultate praeditus est."

And some, evangelists. There are two views of the nature of the office

of the evangelists. Some regard them as vicars of the apostles--men commissioned by them for a definite purpose and clothed with special powers for the time being, analogous to the apostolic vicars of the Romanists; or to the temporary superintendents appointed after the Reformation in the Scottish church, clothed for a limited time and for a definite purpose with presbyterial powers, i. e. to a certain extent, with the powers of a presbytery, the power to ordain, install and depose. Evangelists in this sense were temporary officers. This view of the nature of the office prevailed at the time of the Reformation. [13]

According to the other view, the evangelists were itinerant preachers, *hoi peripoiotes ekerutton*, as Theodoret and other early writers describe them. They were properly missionaries sent to preach the Gospel where it had not been previously known. This is the commonly received view, in favour of which may be urged--1. The signification of the word, which in itself means nothing more than preacher of the Gospel. 2. Philip was an evangelist, but was in no sense a vicar of the apostles; and when Timothy was exhorted to do the work of an evangelist, the exhortation was simply to be a faithful preacher of the Gospel. Acts 21, 8; Eph. 4, 11; and 2 Tim. 4, 5, are the only passages in which the word occurs, and in no one of them does the connection or any other consideration demand any other meaning than the one commonly assigned to it. 3. *Euangelisthai* and *didaskain* are both used to express the act of making known the Gospel; but when as here, the *euangelistes* is distinguished from the *didaskalos*, the only point of distinction implied or admissible is between one who makes known the Gospel where it had not been heard, and an instructor of those already Christians. The use of *euangelisthai* in such passages as Acts 8, 4; 14, 7; 1 Cor. 1, 17, and 2 Cor. 10, 16, serves to confirm the commonly received opinion that an evangelist is one who makes known the Gospel. That

Timothy and Titus were in some sense apostolic vicars, i. e. men clothed with special powers for a special purpose and for a limited time, may be admitted, but this does not determine the nature of the office of an evangelist. They exercised these powers not as evangelists, but as delegates or commissioners.

And some pastors and teachers, *tau's de' poime' nas kai' didaska'lous*. According to one interpretation we have here two distinct offices--that of pastor and that of teacher. The latter, says Calvin, "had nothing to do with discipline, nor with the administration of the sacraments, nor with admonitions or exhortations, but simply with the interpretation of Scripture." Institutes IV, 3, 4. All this is inferred from the meaning of the word teacher. There is no evidence from Scripture that there was a set of men authorized to teach but not authorized to exhort. The thing is well nigh impossible. The one function includes the other. The man who teaches duty and the grounds of it, does at the same time admonish and exhort. It was however on the ground of this unnatural interpretation that the Westminster Directory made teachers a distinct and permanent class of *jure divino* officers in the church. The Puritans in New England endeavoured to reduce the theory to practice, and appointed doctors as distinct from preachers. But the attempt proved to be a failure. The two functions could not be kept separate. The whole theory rested on a false interpretation of Scripture. The absence of the article before *didaska'lous* proves that the apostle intended to designate the same persons as at once pastors and teachers. The former term designates them as *episkopoi*, overseers, the latter as instructors. Every pastor or bishop was required to be apt to teach. This interpretation is given by Augustin and Jerome; the latter of whom says: *Non enim ait: alios autem pastores et alios magistros, sed alios pastores et magistros, ut qui pastor est, esse debeat et magister*. In

this interpretation the modern commentators almost without exception concur. It is true the article is at times omitted between two substantives referring to different classes, where the two constitute one order--as in Mark 15, 1, meta` ton presbute'ron kai` grammate'on, because the elders and scribes formed one body. But in such an enumeration as that contained in this verse, tous men apostolous, tous de prophetas, tou de euangelistas, tous de poimenas, the laws of the language require tous de didaskalous, had the apostle intended to distinguish the didaskaloi from the poimenes. Pastors and teachers, therefore, must be taken as a two-fold designation of the same officers, who were at once the guides and instructors of the people.

V. 12. Having mentioned the officers Christ gave his church, the apostle states the end for which this gift was conferred--it was pro`s tou`n katartismo`n ton agi'on, eis e'rgon diakoni'as, eis oikodome`n tou so'matos tou Christou, for the perfecting of the saints, for the work of the ministry, for the edifying of the body of Christ.

Both the meaning of the words and the relation of the several clauses in this verse, are doubtful. The word katartismos, rendered perfecting, admits of different interpretations. The root aro, means to unite or bind together. Hence artios signifies united, complete, perfect; and the verb katartizo is literally to mend, Matt. 4, 21; to reduce to order, to render complete, or perfect, Luke 6, 40; 2 Cor. 13, 11; to prepare or render fit for use, Heb. 10, 5; 13, 21. The substantive may express the action of the verb in the various modifications of its meaning. Hence it has been rendered here-1. To the completion of the saints, i. e. of their number. 2. To their renewing or restoration. 3. To their reduction to order and union as one body. 4. To their preparation (for service). 5. To their perfecting. This last is to be preferred because agreeable to the frequent use of the verb by this

apostle, and because it gives the sense best suited to the context.

The word diakonia, service, may express that service which one man renders to another--Luke 10, 40, "with much serving;" or specially the service rendered to Christians, 1 Cor. 16, 15, "addicted themselves to the ministry of the saints;" or the official service of the ministry.

Hence the phrase eis e'rgon diakoni'as may mean to the work of mutual service or kind offices,' or to the work of the ministry--in the official sense. The latter is the common interpretation, and is to be preferred not only on account of the more frequent use of the word in that sense, but also on account of the connection, as here the apostle is speaking of the different classes of ministers of the word.

The principal difficulty connected with this verse concerns the relation of its several clauses. 1. Some propose to invert the first and second so that the sense would be, Christ appointed the apostles, &c., for the work of the ministry, the design of which is the perfecting of the saints and the edifying of the body of Christ.' But although the sense is thus good and pertinent, the transposition is arbitrary. 2. Others regard the clauses as coordinate. These officers were given for the perfecting of the saints, for the work of the ministry, for the edifying the body of Christ.' To this is objected the change in the prepositions (pros, eis--eis), and the incongruity of the thoughts--the expressions not being parallel. 3. The two latter clauses may be made subordinate to the first. Christ has appointed the ministry with the view of preparing the saints, for the work of serving one another,' (compare eis diakonian tois hagiois, 1 Cor. 16, 15,) and for the edification of his body. This however assumes diakonia to have a sense unsuited to the context. 4. Others make the two clauses with eis explanatory of the first clause, Christ appointed these officers for the preparation of the saints, some for the work of the ministry, and

some for the edifying of his body.' But this is inconsistent with the structure of the passage. It would require the introduction of tous men--tous de, 'some, for this, and some, for that.' 5. Others again, give the sense thus, For the sake of perfecting the saints, Christ appointed these officers to the work of the ministry, to the edification of his body.' The first clause pros kat. expresses the remote, eis--eis the immediate end of the appointment in question. The "work of the ministry" is that work which the ministry perform, viz. the edifying of the body of Christ. This last view is perhaps the best. "He could not," says Calvin, "exalt more highly the ministry of the Word, than by attributing to it this effect. For what higher work can there be than to build up the church that it may reach its perfection? They therefore are insane, who neglecting this means hope to be perfect in Christ, as is the case with fanatics, who pretend to secret revelations of the Spirit; and the proud, who content themselves with the private reading of the Scripture, and imagine they do not need the ministry of the church." If Christ has appointed the ministry for the edification of his body, it is in vain to expect that end to be accomplished in any other way.

V. 13. The ministry is not a temporary institution, it is to continue until the church has reached the goal of its high calling. This does not prove that all the offices mentioned above are permanent. By common consent the prophets were temporary officers. It is the ministry and not those particular offices, that is to continue. The goal of the church is here described in three equivalent forms--1. Unity of faith and knowledge of the Son of God. 2. A perfect man. 3. The measure of the stature of the fulness of Christ.

1. Till we all come to the unity, &c., me'chri katante'somen oi pa'ntes. The all here mentioned is not all men, but all the people of

Christ. The reference is not to the confluence of nations from all parts of the earth, but to the body of Christ, the company of saints of which the context speaks. The church is tending to the goal indicated.

[14] Our version has in unity, but the Greek is *eis te`n eno'teta*, and therefore should be rendered, to or unto, just as in the following clauses, *eis a'hndra te'leion* and *eis me'tron*, ktl. The unity of faith is the end to which all are to attain. The genitive *uiou tou Theou* belongs equally to *pistis* and *epignosis*. The Son of God is the object both of the faith and of the knowledge here spoken of. Many commentators understand knowledge and faith as equivalent, and therefore make the latter member of the clause explanatory of the former: to the unity of the faith, that is, to the knowledge of the Son of God.' But this overlooks the *kai*. The apostle says, "faith and knowledge." Thus distinguishing the one from the other. And they are in fact different, however intimately related, and however often the one term may be used for the other. Faith is a form of knowledge, and therefore may be expressed by that word. But knowledge is not a form of faith, and therefore cannot be expressed by it. Knowledge is an element of faith; but faith, in its distinctive sense, is not an element of knowledge. The Greek word here used is not *gnosis* but *epignosis*. We have no word to express the distinction as the Germans have in their *Kennen* and *Erkennen*. It is not merely cognition but recognition. Faith and knowledge, *pistis* and *epignosis*, express or comprehend all the elements of that state of mind of which the Son of God, God manifested in the flesh, who loved us and gave himself for us, who died on Calvary and is now enthroned in heaven, is the object. A state of mind which includes the apprehension of his glory, the appropriation of his love, as well as confidence and devotion. This state of mind is in itself eternal life. It includes excellence, blessedness, and the highest form

of activity, i. e. the highest exercise of our highest powers. We are like him when we see him. Perfect knowledge is perfect holiness. Therefore when the whole church has come to this perfect knowledge which excludes all diversity, then it has reached the end. Then it will bear the image of the heavenly.

The object of faith and knowledge is the Son of God. This designation of our Lord declares him to be of the same nature with the Father, possessing the same attributes and entitled to the same honour. Were this not the case the knowledge of Christ as the Son of God, could not be eternal life; it could not fill, enlarge, sanctify, and render blessed the soul; nor constitute the goal of our high calling; the full perfection of our nature.

It has excited surprise that the apostle should here present unity of faith as the goal of perfection, whereas in ver. 6, Christians are said now to have "one faith," as they have one Lord and one baptism. Some endeavour to get over this difficulty by laying the emphasis upon all.

The progress of the church consists in bringing all to this state of unity. But Paul includes all in his assertion in ver. 6. And if the "one faith" of that verse, and "unity of faith" here are the same, then the starting-point and the goal of the church are identical. Others say that "the unity of faith and knowledge" means not that all should be united in faith and knowledge, but that all should attain that state in which faith and knowledge are identified--faith is to be lost in knowledge. The unity, therefore, here intended, is unity between faith and knowledge, and not the unity of believers. But this is evidently unnatural. "We all come to unity," can only mean, "we are all united."

There is no real difficulty in the case. Unity is a matter of degrees. The church is now and ever has been one body, but how imperfect is their union! Our Lord's praying that his people may be one, does not

prove that they are not now one. It is here as in other cases. Holiness is the beginning and holiness is the end. We must be holy to belong to the church, and yet holiness is the ultimate perfection of the church.

The unity of faith is now confined to the first principles; the unity of faith contemplated in this place is that perfect unity which implies perfect knowledge and perfect holiness.

Unto a perfect man, eis a'ndra te'leion. This clause is explanatory of the former and determines its meaning. Perfection is the end; perfect manhood. Te'leios signifies ad finem perductus; when used of a man, it means an adult, one who has reached the end of his development as a man. When applied to a Christian it means one who has reached the end of his development as a Christian, Heb. 12, 23; and the church is perfect when it has reached the end of its development and stands complete in glory. In 1 Cor. 13, 10, to te'leion stands opposed to to ek merous, and there as here indicates the state which is to be attained hereafter when we shall know even as we are known. The standard of perfection for the church is complete conformity to Christ. It is to attain eis me'tron eliki'as tou plero'matos tou Christou.

These words are explanatory of the preceding. The church becomes adult, a perfect man, when it reaches the fulness of Christ. However these words may be explained in detail, this is the general idea. Whether eliki'a means stature or age depends upon the context. Most commentators prefer the latter signification here, because te'leios in the preceding clause means adult, in reference to age rather than to stature, and ne'pios in the following verse means a child as to age and not as to size.

If the phrase "fulness of Christ," be explained according to the analogy of the phrases "fulness of God," "fulness of the Godhead," &c., it must mean the plenitude of excellence which Christ possesses or

which he bestows. And the "age of the fulness of Christ," means the age at which the fulness of Christ is attained. Compare 3, 19, where believers are said to be filled unto the fulness of God.

If, however, reference is had to the analogy of such expressions as "fulness of the blessing of the Gospel," Rom. 15, 29, which means the full or abundant blessing,' then the passage before us means the full age (or stature) of Christ.' The church is to become a perfect man, i. e. it is to attain the measure of the full maturity of Christ. In other words, it is to be completely conformed to him, perfect as he is perfect. This interpretation, which supposes pleromatos to qualify adjectively elikias, is in accordance with a familiar characteristic of Paul's style, who frequently connects three genitives in this way, the one governing the others, where one is to be taken adjectively. See Col. 1, 13, eis basileian tou uiou tes agapes autou, "Son of his love," for his beloved Son;' "age of fulness," for full age.' Col. 2, 2. 18. 2 Thess. 1, 9.

Commentators are much divided on the question whether the goal, the terminus ad quem of the church's progress here spoken of, is to be attained in this world or the next. Those who say it is to be attained here, rely principally on the following verse: We are to become men in order that we should be no longer children,' &c. To determine this question it would seem to be enough to state what the contemplated consummation is. It is perfection, and perfection of the whole church. We are to become perfect men, we are to attain complete conformity to Christ; and we are all to reach this high standard. The Bible, however, never represents the consummation of the church as occurring in this life. Christ gave himself for the church that he might present it to himself a glorious church without spot or wrinkle, but this presentation is not to take place until he comes a second time to be

glorified in the saints and admired in all them that believe. The context instead of forbidding, demands this view of the apostle's meaning. It would be incongruous to say we must reach perfection in order to grow. But it is not incongruous to say that perfection is made the goal in order that we may constantly strive after it.

V. 14. What has been said may be sufficient to indicate the connection between this and the preceding verses, as indicated by i'na (in order that). This and the following verses are not subordinate to the 13th, as though the sense were, we are to reach perfection in order to grow,'--but they are coördinate--all relating to the design of the ministry mentioned in v. 12. Between the full maturity aimed at, and our present state is the period of growth--and Christ appointed the ministry to bring the church to that end, in order that we should be no longer children but make constant progress. This intermediate design is expressed negatively in this verse and affirmatively in the 15th and 16th. We are not to continue children, v. 13, but constantly to advance toward maturity, vs. 15. 16. The characteristic of children here presented is their instability and their liability to be deceived and led astray. The former is expressed by comparing them to a ship without a rudder, tossed to and fro by the waves, and driven about by every wind--kludonizo'menoi kai` periphero'menoi panti` ane'mo--or to two unstable things, a restless wave, and something driven by the wind. In the use of much the same figure the apostle in Heb. 13, 9 exhorts believers not "to be carried away with diverse and strange doctrines." And the apostle James compares the unstable to "a wave of the sea driven with the wind and tossed," 1, 6. One of the principal elements of the perfection spoken of in v. 13, is stability in the truth; and, therefore, the state of imperfection as contrasted with it is described as one of instability and liability to be driven about by every wind of

doctrine.

Children are not only unstable but easily deceived. They are an easy prey to the artful and designing. The apostle therefore adds: *en te kubei'a ton anthro'pon*, through (*en* being instrumental) the artifice of men. *Kubeia* from *kubos* (cube, die) means dice-playing; in which there are many arts of deception, and therefore the word is used for craft or deceit. It is explained by the following phrase, *en panourgi'a pro's te`n methodei'an tes pla'nes*, which, according to Luther's version, means *Tauscherei damit sie uns erschleichen zu verfuhrer*, the cunning with which they track us to mislead. The artifice (*kubeia*) is that craft which is used by seducers or errorists. The preposition *pros* may mean according to. Cunning according to the craft which error uses; or which is characteristic of error.' Or it may agreeably to its common force indicate direction or tendency. The cunning which is directed to the craft of error, i. e. that craft which is designed to seduce.' The sense is the same. The word *methodei'a* occurs only here and in 6, 11--where in the plural form it is rendered *wiles*; "the wiles of the devil." It is derived from *methodeuo* (*meta hodos*), to follow any one, to track him, as a wild animal its prey. Hence the substantive means the cunning or craft used by those who wish to entrap or capture. There are two things in this connection which can hardly escape notice. The one is the high estimate the apostle places on truth; and the other is the evil of error. Holiness without the knowledge and belief of the truth, is impossible; perfect holiness implies, as v. 13 teaches, perfect knowledge. Error, therefore, is evil. Religious error springs from moral evil and produces it. "False teachers" are in Scripture always spoken of as bad, as selfish, malignant, or deceitful. This principle furnishes incidentally one of the surest of the criteria of truth. Those doctrines which the good hold, which are dear to the

spiritual, to the humble and the holy, and true. This is the only real authority which belongs to tradition. In this passage the apostle attributes departure from the truth to the cunning and deceit which are characteristic of error, or of false teachers. In Rom. 16,17. 18; 2 Cor. 2, 17; 11, 13; Gal. 2, 4; Col. 2, 8. 18, the same character is given of those who seduce men from the faith. Error, therefore, can never be harmless, nor false teachers innocent. Two considerations however should secure moderation and meekness in applying these principles. The one is, that though error implies sin, orthodoxy does not always imply holiness. It is possible "to hold the truth in unrighteousness;" to have speculative faith without love. The character most offensive to God and man is that of a malignant zealot for the truth. The other consideration is, that men are often much better than their creed. That is, the doctrines on which they live are much nearer the truth, than those which they profess. They deceive themselves by attaching wrong meaning to words, and seem to reject truth when in fact they only reject their own misconceptions. It is a common remark that men's prayers are more orthodox than their creeds.

V. 15. These remarks are not foreign to the subject; for the apostle, while condemning all instability with regard to faith, and while denouncing the craft of false teachers, immediately adds the injunction to adhere to the truth in love. It is not mere stability in sound doctrine, but faith as combined with love that he requires. The only saving, salutary faith is such as works by love and purifies the heart. Aletheu'ontes de` en aga'pe our version renders "but speaking the truth in love." But this does not suit the context. This clause stands opposed to what is said in verse 14. We are not to be children driven about by every wind of doctrine, but we are to be steadfast in professing and believing the truth. This interpretation which is

demanded by the connection is justified by the usage of the word *aletheu'ein*, which means not only to speak the truth, but also to be *alethes* in the sense of being open, upright, truthful, adhering to the truth. And the truth here contemplated is the truth of God, the truth of the Gospel, which we are to profess and abide by. The words *en aga'pe* are commonly and properly connected with *aletheu'ontes*, "professing the truth in love." They may however be connected with the following word, so as to give the sense, "let us increase in love." But this leaves the participle too naked, and is not indicated by the position of the words. Besides, in the next verse, which is part of the same sentence, we have *au'xessin poieitai eis oikodome`n, en aga'pe*, which would be a needless repetition of the same idea.

We are "to grow up into (rather unto) him," *eis auton*. This is to be explained by a reference to the expressions *eis a'ndra te'leion*, *eis me'tron eliki'as*, *ktl.* in v. 13. These are different forms of expressing the idea that conformity to Christ is the end to be attained. We are to grow so as to be conformed to him, *ta panta*, as to all things. Him, "who is the head, viz. Christ." We are to be conformed to our head--because he is our head, i. e. because of the intimate union between him and us. The slight confusion in the metaphor which presents Christ as the model to which we are to be conformed, and the head with whose life we are to be pervaded, is no serious objection to this interpretation, which is demanded by the context.

V. 16. From whom the whole body fitly joined together, and compacted by that which every joint supplieth, according to the effectual working in the measure of every part, maketh increase of the body to the edifying of itself in love. The church is Christ's body; he is the head. The body grows. Concerning this growth the apostle says--1. It is from him, (*ex hou*). He is the causal source, from whom all life and power are

derived. 2. It depends on the intimate union of all the parts of the body with the head by means of appropriate bonds. 3. It is symmetrical. 4. It is a growth in love. Such is the general meaning of this passage; though there is much diversity of opinion as to the meaning of some of the terms employed, and as to the relation of the several clauses.

First as to the meaning of the words: *Sunarmologeio* (*harmos* and *lego*) to bind together the several parts of any thing. It is used of a building 2, 21, and of the human body. In both cases there is a union of parts fitted to each other. It is peculiarly appropriate here, as the church is compared to the body composed of many members intimately connected.

Sumbibazo, to bring together, to convene, to join; figuratively, to combine mentally. It is properly used of bringing persons together, so as to reconcile them, or to unite them in friendship. It therefore serves to explain the preceding term. The church is figuratively a body composed of many joints or members; and literally, it is a company of believers intimately united with each other. Hence the apostle uses both terms in reference to it. *Haphe* (*hapto*) properly means touch, the sense of touch. Hence metonymically feeling. Therefore *dia` pa'ses aphes epichoregi'as* may mean, by every feeling, or experience of aid.'

The word however is sometimes used in the sense of band or joint. The parallel passage in Col. 2, 19, *dia` ton aphon kai` sunde'smon*, by joints and bands, seems to be decisive for that sense here. The word *epichoregi'a* (*choregeo*, *choros*, *ago*), supply, aid, has no difficulty in itself. The only question is what aid or contribution is meant, and what is the force of the genitive. The word may refer to the mutual assistance furnished each other by the constituent members of the body.

Thus Luther, who paraphrases the clause in question,--*durch alle Gelenke, dadurch eins dem andern Handreichung thut*--by every joint whereby one member aids another. Or it may refer to the supplies of

vital influence received from Christ the head. "Through every joint of supply," then means, through every joint or band which is the means of supply. The parallel passage in Col. 2, 19, is in favour of the latter view. There it is said: *to` soma dia` ton aphon epichoregou'menon*, the body receiving nourishment or supplies through the joints or bands. The nourishing and sustaining influence, the *epichoregia*, is certainly in this case that which flows from Christ, and therefore the same interpretation should be given to the passage before us. As to the force of the case, it is by some taken as the genitive of apposition. "Joint or band of supply," would then mean, the band which is a supply. The divine influence furnished by Christ is the bond by which the members of his body are united. This is true, but in Col. 2, 19, which, being the plainer passage, must be our guide in interpreting this, the supply is said to be *dia` ton aphon*, through the joints. Here, therefore, the parallel phrase, *dia` pases aphas tes epichoregias*, must mean, through every joint for supply; that is, which is the means or channel of the divine influence. There is an obvious distinction between "the bands" and "the aid" here spoken of. The latter is the divine life or Holy Spirit communicated to all parts of the church; the former (the *haphaa*) are the various spiritual gifts and offices which are made the channels or means of this divine communication. The second point to be considered is the relation of the several clauses in this passage. The clause *dia` pases aphas*, *ktl.* may be connected with the last clause of the verse, *au'xessin poieitai*. The sense would then be, 'The body by means of every joint of supply makes increase of itself.' This sense is correct and suited to the context. This however is not the most natural construction. The relative position of the members of the sentence is in favour of referring this clause to the preceding participles. The body joined together and

united by means of every joint of supply.' The parallel passage in Colossians determines this to be the apostle's meaning. He there refers the union of the body, and not its growth, to the bands (haphai) of which he speaks. He describes the body as *sumbibazo'menon dia` ton aphog*, and therefore here *sumbib. dia` passes apses*, which are in juxtaposition, should go together.

The clause, "according to the effectual working in the measure of every part," admits of three constructions. It may be connected with the preceding participles--"joined together by every joint of supply according to the working, &c., *sumbib. dia--kata*. Or it may be connected with the preceding words, *epichoregi'as kat' ene'rgeian*,--the supply is according to the working of each particular part.' Or thirdly, it may be connected with *au'xesis poieitai*; the increase is according to the working, &c. It is hard to decide between these two latter methods. In favour of the second is the position of the words--and also the congruity of the figure. It is more natural to say that the divine influence is according to the working of every part, i. e. according to its capacity and function; than to say, "the growth is according to the working, &c." The increase of the body is due to the living influence which pervades it, and not to the efficiency of the several members. In either case, however, the idea of symmetrical development is included.

The body--maketh increase of the body, i. e. of itself. The substantive is repeated on account of the length of the sentence. This increase is an edification in love, i. e. connected with love. That is the element in which the progress of the church to its consummation is effected.

As then the human body, bound together by the vital influence derived from the head through appropriate channels and distributed to every member and organ according to its function, constantly advances to

maturity; so the church, united as one body by the divine influence flowing from Christ its head through appropriate channels, and distributed to every member according to his peculiar capacity and function, continually advances towards perfection. And as in the human body no one member, whether hand or foot, can live and grow unless in union with the body; so union with the mystical body of Christ is the indispensable condition of growth in every individual believer.

Faltitur ergo si quis seorsum crescere appetit.--CALVIN. And further, as in the human body there are certain channels through which the vital influence flows from the head to the members, and which are necessary to its communication; so also there are certain divinely appointed means for the distribution of the Holy Spirit from Christ to the several members of his body. What these channels of divine influence are, by which the church is sustained and carried forward, is clearly stated in v. 11, where the apostle says, "Christ gave some, apostles; and some, prophets; and some evangelists; and some, pastors and teachers, for the perfecting of the saints." It is, therefore, through the ministry of the word that the divine influence flows from Christ the head to all the members of his body, so that where that ministry fails the divine influence fails. This does not mean that the ministry as men or as officers are the channels of the Spirit to the members of the church, so that without their ministerial intervention no man is made a partaker of the Holy Ghost. But it means that the ministry as dispensers of the truth are thus the channels of divine communication. By the gifts of revelation and inspiration, Christ constituted some apostles and some prophets for the communication and record of his truth; and by the inward call of his Spirit he makes some evangelists and some pastors for its constant proclamation and inculcation. And it is only (so far as adults are concerned) in connection with the truth,

as thus revealed and preached, that the Holy Ghost is communicated. The ministry, therefore, apostles, prophets, evangelists and teachers, were given for the edification of the church, by the communication of that truth in connection with which alone the Holy Ghost is given.

All this Rome perverts. She says that prelates, whom she calls apostles, are the channels of the Holy Spirit, first to the priests and then to the people; and that this communication, is not by the truth, but tactual, by the laying on of hands. No one therefore can be united to Christ except through them, or live except as in communion with them. Thus error is always the caricature of truth.

SECTION II.--Vs. 17-32.--C. V. 1-2.

17. This I say therefore, and testify in the Lord, that ye henceforth walk not as other Gentiles walk, in the vanity of their mind,
18 having the understanding darkened, being alienated from the life of God through the ignorance that is in them, because of the blindness of their heart:

19. who, being past feeling, have given themselves over unto lasciviousness, to work all uncleanness with greediness.

20. But ye have not so learned Christ;

21. if so be that ye have heard him, and have been taught by him, as the truth is in Jesus:

22. that ye put off concerning the former conversation the old man, which is corrupt according to the deceitful lusts;

23. and be renewed in the spirit of your mind;

24. and that ye put on the new man, which after God is created in righteousness and true holiness.

25. Wherefore putting away lying, speak every man truth with his neighbour: for we are members one of another.

26. Be ye angry, and sin not: let not the sun go down upon your wrath:

27. neither give place to the devil.

28. Let him that stole, steal no more: but rather let him labour, working with his hands the thing which is, good, that he may have to give to him that needeth.

29. Let no corrupt communication proceed out of your mouth, but that which is good to the use of edifying, that it may minister grace unto the hearers.

30. And grieve not the Holy Spirit of God, whereby ye are sealed unto the day of redemption.

31. Let all bitterness, and wrath, and anger, and clamour, and evil-speaking, be put away from you, with all malice:

32. and be ye kind one to another, tender-hearted, forgiving one another, even as God for Christ's sake hath forgiven you.

CH. V. 1. Be ye therefore followers of God as dear children;

2. and walk in love, as Christ also hath loved us, and hath given himself for us an offering and a sacrifice to God for a sweet-smelling savour.

ANALYSIS.

This Section contains first a general exhortation to holiness, vs. 17-24; and secondly, injunctions in respect to specific duties, vs. 25-ch. V. 2. The exhortation to holiness is, agreeably to the apostle's manner, first in the negative form not to walk as the heathen do, vs. 17-19, and secondly, positive, to walk as Christ had taught them, vs. 20-24. The heathen walk in the vanity of their mind, i. e. in a state of moral and spiritual fatuity, not knowing what they are about, nor whither they are going, v. 17; because they are in mental darkness, and are alienated from the life of God through the ignorance that is in them, and through the hardness of their hearts, v. 18; as is evinced by their giving themselves up to uncleanness and avarice, v. 19. The

Christian walk is the opposite of this--because believers have been taught. Instead of ignorance, truth dwells in them, enlightening and purifying. Hence they are led to put off the old man--and to put on the new man, which is more and more conformed to the image of God, vs. 20-24. Therefore, they must avoid lying and speak the truth, v. 25; abstain from anger and guard against giving Satan any advantage, vs. 26. 27. Avoid theft, and be diligent and liberal, v. 28. Avoid all corrupting language, but let their conversation be edifying, so as not to grieve the Holy Spirit, vs. 29. 30. Instead of malicious feelings, they should exercise and manifest such as are mild, benevolent, and forgiving, being in this matter the followers of God, vs. 31--ch. V. 2. COMMENTARY.

V. 17. The apostle, having in the preceding section taught that Christ had destined his church to perfect conformity to himself, and made provision for that end, as a natural consequence, solemnly enjoins on those who profess to be Christians to live in accordance with this high vocation. "This therefore I say and testify in the Lord, that he henceforth walk not as the other Gentiles walk, in the vanity of their mind." To testify, in this case, is solemnly to enjoin, as a man does who calls upon God to bear witness to the truth and importance of what he says. Martureo is to act as a witness, and martu'romai to invoke as a witness. The latter is the word here used. In the Lord, means in communion with the Lord. Paul speaks as one who had access to the mind of Christ, knew his will, and could therefore speak in his name. The exhortation is, not to walk as the Gentiles do. To walk, in Scripture language, includes all the manifestations of life, inward and outward, seen and unseen. It does not express merely the outward, visible deportment. Men are said to walk with God, which refers to the secret fellowship of the soul with its Maker, more than to the outward life.

So here the walk, which the apostle enjoins us to avoid, is not only the visible deportment characteristic of the Gentiles, but also the inward life of which the outward deportment is the manifestation. They walk "in the vanity of their mind." The language of the New Testament being the language of Jews, is more or less modified by Hebrew usage. And the usage of Hebrew words is of course modified by the philosophy and theology of the people who employed them. There are two principles which have had an obvious influence on the meaning of a large class of Hebrew words, and therefore on the meaning of the Greek terms which answer to them. The one is the unity of the soul which forbids any such marked distinction between its cognitive and emotional faculties, i. e. between the understanding and the heart, as is assumed in our philosophy, and therefore is impressed on our language. In Hebrew the same word designates what we commonly distinguish as separate faculties. The Scriptures speak of an "understanding heart," and of "the desires of the understanding," as well as of "the thoughts of the heart." They recognize that there is an element of feeling in our cognitions and an element of intelligence in our feelings. The idea that the heart may be depraved and the intellect unaffected is, according to the anthropology of the Bible, as incongruous, as that one part of the soul should be happy and another miserable, one faculty saved and another lost.

Another principle nearly allied to the former is the moral and spiritual excellence of truth. Truth is not merely speculative, the object of cognition. It has moral beauty. In scriptural language, therefore, knowledge includes love; wisdom includes goodness; folly includes sin; the wise are holy, fools are wicked. Truth and holiness are united as light and heat in the same ray. There cannot be the one without the other. To know God is eternal life; to be without the

knowledge of God is to be utterly depraved. Saints are the children of light; the wicked are the children of darkness. To be enlightened is to be renewed; to be blinded is to be reprobated. Such is the constant representation of Scripture.

The nous, mind, therefore, in the passage before us, does not refer to the intellect to the exclusion of the feelings, nor to the feelings to the exclusion of the intellect. It includes both; the reason, the understanding, the conscience, the affections are all comprehended by the term. Sometimes one and sometimes another of these modes of spiritual activity is specially referred to, but in the present case the whole soul is intended. The word mataio'tes, vanity, according to the scriptural usage just referred to, includes moral as well as intellectual worthlessness, or fatuity. It is of all that is comprehended under the word nous, the understanding and the heart, that this vanity is predicated. Every thing included in the following verses respecting the blindness and depravity of the heathen is therefore comprehended in the word vanity.

V. 18. Having the understanding darkened, being alienated from the life of God through the ignorance that is in them, because of the blindness of their heart. This verse at once explains and confirms the preceding statement. The heathen walk in vanity, i. e. in intellectual and moral darkness, because their understanding is darkened, and because they are alienated from the life of God.

The word dianoi'a, understanding, in the first clause, means a thinking through; the mind (quatenus intelligit, appetit et sentit) as opposed to the body; an act of the mind, a thought, purpose, or disposition; the intelligence as opposed to the feelings. We are required to love God, en hole te dianoi'a, with the whole mind; men are said to be enemies, te dianoi'a, Col. 1, 21, as to their state of mind, and proud

te dianoi'a tes kardi'as auton. The apostle Peter exhorts us "to gird up the loins of the mind;" and speaks of our "pure mind." And the apostle John says: " God has given us dianoi'an that we may know." The word is opposed sarx in Eph. 2, 3, and to kardi'a in Matt. 22, 37, Heb. 8, 10 and elsewhere. It depends therefore on the connection whether the word is to be understood of the whole soul, or of the intelligence, or of the disposition. In this case it means the intelligence; because it is distinguished from nous in the preceding verse, and from kardi'a in the last clause of this one.

"Alienated from the life of God," means strangers to that life. "The life of God," means the life of which God is the author. It is spiritual life. That is, the life of which the indwelling Spirit is the principle or source. "Vitam Dei," says Beza, "appellat vitam illam, qua Deus vivit in suis." Comp. 3, 16, 17, and the remarks on that passage. In the last clause of the verse porosis is rendered blindness, it more properly means hardness. It does not come from poros, blind, but from poros a peculiar kind of stone, and then any thing hard or callous. The verb poroo is rendered to harden, Mark 6, 52; 8, 17; John 12, 40, and in all these passages it is used of the heart. So in Rom. 11, 7, "the rest were hardened." The noun is rendered "hardness" in Mark 3, 5, and "blindness" in Rom. 11, 25. This is easily accounted for, as the verb is often used in reference to the eyes when covered with an opaque hardened film, and hence peporotai is the same at times with tetuphlotai. The phrase, therefore, po'rosin tes kardi'as, may be rendered either blindness or hardness of the heart. The latter is the proper meaning, unless the other be required by the context, which is not the case in the present instance.

The principal difficulty in this verse concerns the relation of its several clauses. First, the participle o'ntes may be connected with the

second clause, so as to read, "Dark as to the understanding, being (o'ntes) alienated from the life of God." This is the view taken by our translators, which supposes that the first clause merely expresses a characteristic of the heathen, for which the second assigns the reason. They are darkened, because alienated.' But this is not consistent with the relation of this verse to the preceding. The heathen walk in vanity because darkened,' &c. Besides, according to the apostle, the heathen are not in darkness because alienated from the life of God, but they are alienated from that life because of their ignorance. Secondly, the four clauses included in the verse may be considered as so related that the first is connected with the third, and the second with the fourth. The passage would then read, Having the understanding darkened on account of the ignorance that is in them; alienated from the life of God on account of the hardness of their hearts.' But this unnaturally dissociates the clauses, contrary to one of the most marked peculiarities of the apostle's style; whose sentences are like the links of a chain, one depending on another in regular succession. This mode of construction also makes ignorance the cause of the darkness, whereas it is the effect. A man's being enveloped in darkness is the cause of his not seeing, but his not seeing is not the cause of the darkness. Idiocy is the cause of ignorance and not the reverse. The apostle conceives of the heathen as men whose minds are impaired or darkened, and therefore they are ignorant. Thirdly, the clauses may be taken as they stand, o'ntes being connected with the first clause. The heathen walk in vanity, being (i. e. because they are) darkened as to the understanding, alienated from the life of God through the ignorance that is in them, through the hardness of their heart.' Darkness of mind is the cause of ignorance, ignorance and consequent obduracy of heart are the cause of alienation from God. This is both the logical and

theological order of sequence. The soul in its natural state cannot discern the things of God--therefore it does not know them, therefore the heart is hard and therefore it is destitute of holiness. This is what the apostle teaches in 1 Cor. 2, 14-16. The blind cannot see; therefore they are ignorant of the beauty of creation, therefore they are destitute of delight in its glories. You cannot heal them by light. The eye must first be opened. Then comes vision, and then joy and love. This view of the passage is in accordance with the analogy of Scripture; which constantly represents regeneration as necessary to spiritual discernment, and spiritual discernment as necessary to holy affections. Therefore the apostle says of the heathen that their understanding is darkened, a film is over their eyes, and they are alienated from God because of the ignorance consequent on their mental blindness.

V. 19. Who, not the simple relative, but ?oi'tines, such as who. The practical proof of their being in the state described is to be found in the fact that being without feeling they give themselves over to the sins mentioned. Apelgeko'tes, no longer susceptible of pain. Conscience ceases to upbraid or to restrain them. They, therefore, give themselves up to excess, to practise all kinds of uncleanness, en pleonexi'a, with greediness, i. e. insatiably. The parallel passage, 2 Pet. 2, 14, "Having eyes full of adultery, and that cannot cease from sin," would favour this interpretation so far as the idea is concerned. But the word pleonexi'a always elsewhere means, covetousness; a desire to have more. And as this gives a good sense it is not right to depart from the established meaning. En pleonexi'a, therefore, means with, i. e. together with, covetousness. The heathen give themselves up to uncleanness and covetousness. These two vices are elsewhere thus associated, as in ch. 5, 3. 5, "Let not uncleanness or covetousness be

named among you." "No unclean person, nor covetous man, &c." See also Col. 3, 5. Rom. 1, 29. 1 Cor. 5, 10. Here as in Rom. 1, 24, immorality is connected with impiety as its inevitable consequence. Men in their folly think that morality may be preserved without religion, and even that morality is religion; but reason, experience and Scripture all prove that if men do not love and fear God they give themselves up to vice in some form, and commonly either to uncleanness or avarice. There is a two-fold reason for this; one is the nature of the soul which has no independent source of goodness in itself, so that if it turns from God it sinks into pollution, and the other is the punitive justice of God. He abandons those who abandon him. In Rom. 1, 24 and elsewhere, it is said God gives the impious up to uncleanness;' here it is said, they give themselves up. These are only different forms of the same truth. Men are restrained from evil by the hand of God, if he relaxes his hold they rush spontaneously to destruction. All systems of education, all projects of reform in social or political life, not founded in religion, are, according to the doctrine of this passage and of all Scripture, sure to lead to destruction.

V. 20. But ye have not so learned Christ. That is, your knowledge of Christ has not led you to live as the heathen. As we are said to learn a thing, but never to learn a person, the expression *manthanein to'n Christo'n*, is without example. But as the Scriptures speak of preaching Christ, which does not mean merely to preach his doctrines, but to preach Christ himself, to set him forth as the object of supreme love and confidence, so "to learn Christ" does not mean merely, to learn his doctrines, but to attain the knowledge of Christ as the Son of God, God in our nature, the Holy one of God, the Saviour from sin, whom to know is holiness and life. Any one who has thus learned Christ cannot live in darkness and sin. Such knowledge is in its very nature light. Where

it enters, the mind is irradiated, refined, and purified. Nihil ergo de Christo didicit qui nihil vita ab infidelibus differt; neque enim a mortificatione carnis separari potest Christi cognitio.--CALVIN.

V. 21. If so be ye have heard him. "To hear him does not mean to hear about him. This the apostle in writing to Christians could not express in a hypothetical form. He knew that the Ephesian Christians had heard about Christ. To hear, in this connection, implies intelligence and obedience, as in the frequently occurring phrase, "He that hath ears to hear, let him hear;" and "To-day if ye will hear his voice, &c.," and in a multitude of other cases. To hear the voice of God or of Christ, therefore, is not merely to perceive with the outward ear but to receive with the understanding and the heart. The particle *ei'ge*, if indeed, does not express doubt; but if, as I take for granted.' The apostle assumes that they were obedient to the truth. Ye have not so learned Christ as to allow of your living as do the Gentiles, if, as I take for granted, you have really heard his voice and have been taught by him. *En auto*, however, does not properly mean by him, but in communion with him.' Ye have been taught in him, inasmuch as truth is in Jesus, to put off the old man.' The knowledge of Christ, hearing him, union with him, his inward teaching, are necessarily connected with the mortification of sin.

The clause *katho's estin ale'theia en to Iesou*, rendered in our version as the truth is in Jesus, is variously explained. The interpretation intimated above supposes *katho's*, to have its frequent causal sense; since, inasmuch as; and truth to mean moral truth, or excellence. This sense it very often has. It frequently means true religion, and is used antithetically to unrighteousness, as in Rom. 2, 8. The principle here involved is, that knowledge of God is inconsistent with a life of sin, because knowledge implies love, and God is holy. To know him,

therefore, is to love holiness. The apostle's argument is: If you know Christ you will forsake sin, because he is holy--truth, i. e. moral excellence is in him. If you have been taught any thing in virtue of your communion with him, you have been taught to put off the old man.'

Another interpretation supposes *katho's* to mean as, expressing the manner. If ye have been taught as the truth is in Jesus,' i. e. correctly taught. But this requires the article even in English--the truth, meaning the definite system of truth which Jesus taught. In the Greek, however, the article necessary to give colour to this interpretation is wanting. Besides, the expression "the truth is in Jesus" is obscure and unscriptural, if truth be taken to mean true doctrine. And more than this, this interpretation supposes there may be a true and false teaching by, or in communion with, Christ. This cannot be. The apostle's hypothesis is, not whether Christ has taught them correctly, but whether he has taught them at all.

A third interpretation makes the following infinitive the subject of the sentence; Truth in Jesus is, to put off the old man.' The meaning of the whole passage would then be, If you know Christ ye cannot live as the heathen, for truth in Jesus is to put away sin,' i. e. true fellowship with Christ is to put off, &c. But this violates the natural construction of the passage, according to which the infinitive *apothesthai* depends on *edidachthete*, Ye have been taught to put off, &c.' And the expression, It is truth in Jesus to put away sin' is in itself awkward and obscure. The first mentioned interpretation, therefore, is on the whole to be preferred.

V. 22. Sanctification includes dying to sin, or mortification of the flesh, and living to righteousness; or as it is here expressed, putting off the old man and putting on the new man. The obvious allusion is to a change of clothing. To put off, is to renounce, to remove from us, as

garments which are laid aside. To put on, is to adopt, to make our own. We are called upon to put off the works of darkness, Rom. 13, 12, to put away lying, Eph. 4, 25; to put off anger, wrath, malice, &c., Col. 3, 8; to lay aside all filthiness, James 1, 21. On the other hand, we are called upon to put on the Lord Jesus Christ, Rom. 13, 14, Gal. 3, 27; the armour of light, Rom. 13, 12; bowels of mercy, Col. 3, 12; and men are said to be clothed with power from on high, Luke 24, 49; with immortality or incorruption, &c., 1 Cor. 15, 53. As a man's clothes are what strike the eye--so these expressions are used in reference to the whole phenomenal life--all those acts and attributes by which the interior life of the soul is manifested;--and not only that, but also the inherent principle itself whence these acts flow. For here we are said to put off the old man, that is, our corrupt nature, which is old or original as opposed to the new man or principle of spiritual life. Comp. Col. 3, 9, "Lie not one to another, seeing you have put off the old man with his deeds." Rom. 6, 6, "Knowing this, that our old man is crucified with him." What is here called "the old man " Paul elsewhere calls himself, as in Rom. 7, 14, "I am carnal," "In me there dwelleth no good thing," v. 18; or, "law in the members," v. 23; or "the flesh" as opposed to the spirit, as in Gal. 5, 16. 17. This evil principle or nature is called old because it precedes what is new, and because it is corrupt. And it is called "man," because it is ourselves. We are to be changed--and not merely our acts. We are to crucify ourselves. This original principle of evil is not destroyed in regeneration, but is to be daily mortified, in the conflicts of a whole life.

The connection, as intimated above, is with the former clause of v. 21, edida'chthete--?apothesthai umas. When the subject of the infinitive in such construction is the same with that of the governing verb, it is usually not expressed. The presence of umas therefore in

the text is urged as a fatal objection to this construction. A reference, however, to Luke 20, 20, Rom. 2, 19, Phil. 3, 13, will show that this rule has its exceptions.

The intervening clause, kata` te`n prote'ran anastrophe`n, concerning the former conversation, belongs to the verb and not to the following noun. The meaning is not, the old man as to the former conversation,' (which would require ton kata` te`n prot. ktl.); but, put away as concerns the former conversation the old man.' It is not the old nature as to its former manifestations only that is to be put away, but the old principle entirely. And as that was formerly dominant, the apostle says, as to your former manner of life, put off the old man.

"Which is corrupt," phtheiro'menon; "which tends to destruction." This latter rendering is to be preferred, because the epithet old includes the idea of corruption. It would be, therefore, tautological to say, the corrupt man which is corrupt.' It is the old man or corrupt nature which tends to perdition (qui tendit ad exitium.--GROTIUS), which is to be laid aside, or continually mortified.

It tends to destruction, kata` ta`s epithumi'as tes apa'tes, according to the deceitful lusts, or as apa'tes has the article and therefore is not so properly a mere qualifying genitive--the lusts which deceit has.

The apostle says, Rom. 7, 11, sin deceived him, and Heb. 3, 11, speaks of "the deceitfulness of sin." It is indwelling sin itself which deceives by means of those desires which tend to destruction.

V. 23. In this and the following verse we have the positive part of sanctification which is expressed by "renewing" and "putting on the new man." The verb ?ananeousthai, to be made new, is passive. This renewal is always represented as the work of God. "We are his workmanship created in Christ Jesus unto good works," ch. 2, 10. It is therefore called "a renewing of the Holy Ghost." Titus 3, 5. Both these phrases

"to be renewed" and "to put on the new man" may express either the instantaneous act of regeneration, or the gradual work of sanctification. Thus in Rom. 12, 2, we are exhorted "not to be conformed to the world, but to be transformed by the renewing of the mind." So in this place, and in the parallel passage in Col. 3, 9, 10, these terms express the whole process by which the soul is restored to the image of God. It is a process of renewal from the beginning to the end. The apostle says, "his inner man is renewed day by day." 2 Cor. 4, 16.

The distinction between *neos*, young, new as to origin; and *kainos*, fresh, bright, unused, new as to nature or character, is generally preserved in the New Testament. Thus in Matt 9, 17, *oinon ne'on eis askou's kainous*, recent, or newly made wine into fresh bottles. *Mnemeion kainou*, new sepulchre, i. e. one which had not been used, however long it may have been prepared. Hence *kainos*, is an epithet of excellence. In the passage "Until I drink it new with you in the kingdom of God," Mark 14, 25, the word is *kainon*, not *neon*. The same idea is implied in all the expressions, new creature, new heavens, new commandment, new name, new Jerusalem, &c., &c. In all these cases the word is *kainos*. The same distinction properly belongs to the derivatives of these words; *ananeoo* is to make *neos*, and *anakainizo*, *anakainoo*, is to make *kainos*. Hence when reference is had to the renewal of the soul, which is a change for the better, the words used are always the derivatives of *kainos*, except in this passage. See Rom. 12, 2; 2 Cor. 4, 16; Col. 3, 10; Tit. 3, 5. Still as what is *neos* is also *kainos*; as freshness, vigour and beauty are the attributes of youth, the same thing may be designated by either term. The soul as renewed is, therefore, called in this passage *kainou's a'nthropos* and *neos a'nthropos* in Col. 3, 10; and the spiritual change which in Col.

3, 10, is expressed by anakainoo, and in Rom. 12, 2, and Tit. 3, 5, by anakainosis, is here expressed by ananeo.

The subject of this renewal, that as to which men are to be made new, is expressed in the clause to pneu'mati tou noo's umon, i. e. as to the spirit of your mind. This combination is unexampled. Grotius says: Spiritus mentis est ipsa mens; as Augustin before him had said: Spiritum mentis dicere voluit eum spiritum, quae mens vocatur. But here spirit and mind are distinguished. The spirit of a man is not that spirit which is a man; but which man has. Others take the word spirit here to be temper, disposition. "Renewed as to the temper of your mind." This is a very unusual, if not doubtful meaning of the word in the New Testament. Others, again, say that the word spirit means the Holy Spirit, and that the passage should be rendered, "by the Spirit which is in your mind." But this is impossible. The "spirit of the mind" is here as plainly distinguished from the Spirit of God as in Rom. 8, 16, where the Spirit of God is said to bear witness with our spirit.

It may be remarked in reference to this phrase:--1. That although the passage in Rom. 12, 2, "renewal of your mind," obviously expresses the same general idea as is here expressed by saying, "renewed as to the spirit of the mind," it does not follow that "mind" and "spirit of the mind," mean exactly the same thing. The one expression is general, the other precise and definite. 2. The words pneuma, nous, kardia, psuche, spirit, mind, heart, soul, are used in Scripture both for the whole immaterial and immortal element of our nature, that in which our personality resides; and also for that element under some one of its modes of manifestation, sometimes for one mode and sometimes for another; as nous sometimes designates the soul as intelligent and sometimes the soul as feeling. 3. Though this is true, yet

predominantly one of these terms designates one, and another a different mode of manifestation; as nous the understanding, kardia the feelings, psuche the seat of sensation. 4. Of these terms pneuma is the highest. It means breath, wind, invisible power, life. The idea of power cannot be separated from the term; to` pneuma' estin to` zoopoion. John 6, 63. It is, therefore, applied to God, to the Holy Ghost, to angels, to Satan, to demons, to the soul of man. The "spirit of the world," 1 Cor. 2, 12, is the controlling, animating principle of the world, that which makes it what it is. The spirit of the mind therefore is its interior life; that of which the nous, kardia, psuche are the modes of manifestation. That, therefore, which needs to be renewed, is not merely outward habits or modes of life; not merely transient tempers or dispositions, but the interior principle of life which lies back of all that is outward, phenomenal, or transient.

V. 24. ?Kai` endu'sasthai to`n kaino`n a'nthropon, and that ye put on the new man. As we are called to put off our corrupt nature as a ragged and filthy garment, so we are required to put on our new nature as a garment of light. And as the former was personified as an old man, decrepit, deformed, and tending to corruption, so the latter is personified as a new man, fresh, beautiful, and vigorous, like God, for it is to`n kata` Theo`n ktisthe'nta, ktl., after God created in righteousness and holiness of the truth. In the parallel passage it is said to be renewed "after the image of God," Col. 3, 10. "After God," therefore, means after his image. That in which this image consists is said to be righteousness and holiness. The former of these words, dikaiosune, when it stands alone often includes all the forms of moral excellence; but when associated with osio'tes, the one means rectitude, the being or doing right; and the other, holiness. The one renders us just to our neighbours; the other, pious towards God. The two

substantives are united in Luke 1, 75; the adjectives, just and holy, in Tit. 1, 8; and the adverbs, holily and justly, in 1 Thess. 2, 10.

The Greeks made the same distinction, *pros theous hosition kai pros anthropous dikaion esti*. In our version this clause is rendered, "in righteousness and true holiness;" but the word *aletheias* stands in the same relation to both nouns, and if taken as a mere qualifying genitive the translation should be, "in true righteousness and holiness." Most modern commentators, however, consider "the truth" here as opposed to "the deceit" spoken of in verse 22. "Righteousness and holiness of the truth" would then mean that righteousness and holiness which the truth has, or which the truth produces. If the principle of indwelling sin is there personified as *apate*, deceit, producing and exercising those lusts which lead to destruction; the principle of spiritual life is here personified as *aletheia*, truth, which produces righteousness and holiness. Truth is spiritual knowledge, that knowledge which is eternal life, which not only illuminates the understanding but sanctifies the heart. The Holy Ghost is called the Spirit of truth as the author of this divine illumination which irradiates the whole soul. This truth came by Jesus Christ, John 1, 17. He is the truth and the life, John 14, 6. We are made free by the truth, and sanctified by the truth. The Gospel is called the word of truth, as the objective revelation of that divine knowledge which subjectively is the principle of spiritual life. Taking the word in this sense, the passage is brought into nearer coincidence with the parallel passage in Col. 3, 10. Here the image of God is said to consist in righteousness and holiness of the truth; there it is said to consist in knowledge. "The new man is renewed unto knowledge after the image of him that created him." These passages differ only in that the one is more concise than the other. Knowledge (the *epignosis tou Theou*) includes righteousness, holiness, and truth.

Nothing, therefore, can be more contrary to Scripture than to undervalue divine truth, and to regard doctrines as matters pertaining merely to the speculative understanding. Righteousness and holiness, morality and religion, are the products of the truth, without which they cannot exist.

This passage is of special doctrinal importance, as teaching us the true nature of the image of God in which man was originally created. That image did not consist merely in man's rational nature, nor in his immortality, nor in his dominion, but specially in that righteousness and holiness, that rectitude in all his principles, and that susceptibility of devout affections which are inseparable from the possession of the truth, or true knowledge of God. This is the scriptural view of the original state of man, or of original righteousness, as opposed, on the one hand, to the Pelagian theory that man was created without moral character; and on the other, to the Romish doctrine, that original righteousness was a supernatural endowment not belonging to man's nature. Knowledge, and consequently righteousness and holiness, were immanent or concreated in the first man, in the same sense as were his sense of beauty and susceptibility of impression from the external world. He opened his eyes and saw what was visible, and perceived its beauty; he turned his mind on God, perceived his glory, and was filled with all holy affections.

V. 25. Having enforced the general duty of holiness, or of being conformed to the image of God, the apostle insists on specific duties.

It will be observed that in almost every case there is first a negative, then a positive statement of the duty, and then a motive.

Thus here: lie not, but speak truth, for ye are members one of another.

Wherefore, i. e. on the ground of the general obligation to be conformed to the divine image, putting away lying, as one part of the

filthy garments belonging to the old man; speak every man truth with his neighbour. A neighbour, ho plesi'on, the Scripture teaches us, is any one near to us, a fellow man of any creed or nation; and to all such we are bound to speak the truth. But the context shows that Paul is here speaking to Christians, and the motive by which the duty is enforced shows that by neighbour he here means a fellow-Christian, as in Rom. 15, 2. The motive in question is the intimate relation in which believers stand to each other. They are all members of the same body intimately united, as he taught in verse 16, with each other and with Christ their common head. As it would be unnatural and absurd for the hand to deceive the foot, or the eye the ear, so there is a violation of the very law of their union for one Christian to deceive another. It is characteristic of the apostle and of the Scriptures generally, to enforce moral duties by religious considerations. This method, while it presents the higher and peculiar ground of obligation, is not intended to exclude other grounds. The obligation of veracity rests on the intrinsic excellence of truth, on the command of God, and on the rights of our fellow men. They have the same right that we should not deceive them as that we should not defraud them. But all this does not hinder that the duty should be enforced by a reference to the peculiar relation of believers as united by the indwelling of the Holy Spirit into the mystical body of Christ.

Vs. 26. 27. His next exhortation has reference to anger; with regard to which he teaches --1. Not to allow anger to be an occasion of sin. 2. Not to cherish it. 3. Not to give Satan any advantage over us when we are angry. The words ?orgi'zesthe kai` me` amarta'nete, be ye angry and sin not, are borrowed from the Septuagint version of Ps. 4, 5, and admit of different interpretations. 1. As the original text in Ps. 4, 5, admits of being rendered Rage and sin not, i. e. do not sin by

raging [15] --so the words of the apostle may mean, do not commit the sin of being angry. To this it is objected, that it makes the negative qualify both verbs, while it belongs really only to the latter. It is not necessary to assume that the apostle uses these words in the precise sense of the original text; for the New Testament writers often give the sense of an Old Testament passage with a modification of the words, or they use the same words with a modification of the sense. This is not properly a quotation; it is not cited as something the Psalmist said, but the words are used to express Paul's own idea. In Rom. 10, 18, "Their sound is gone into all the earth," we have the language of the 19th Ps. but not an expression of the sense of the Psalmist. 2. Others make the first imperative in this clause permissive and the second commanding, Be angry and (but) do not sin.' 3. Or the first is conditional, if angry, sin not.' That is, sin not in anger; let not your anger be an occasion of sin. Repress it and bring it under control that it may not hurry you into the commission of sin. The meaning is the same as would be expressed by saying, ?orgi'zomenoi` me` amarta'nete, being angry sin not. This is perhaps the most satisfactory view of the passage. It is indeed objected that the apostle is here speaking of sins, and that in v. 31, he forbids all anger, and therefore any interpretation which assumes that anger is not itself a sin is inadmissible. But it is certain that all anger is not sinful. Christ himself, it is said, regarded the perverse Jews "with anger." Mark 3, 5. The same generic feeling, if mingled with holy affections, or in a holy mind, is virtuous; if mingled with malice it is sinful. Both feelings, or both combinations of feeling, are expressed in Scripture by the term anger. Nothing in itself sinful can be attributed to God, but anger is attributed to him. Verse 31 is not inconsistent with this interpretation, for there the context shows the apostle

speaks of malicious anger--just as "all hatred" means all malice, and not the hatred of evil.

Let not the sun go down upon your wrath. The word is here parorgismos, paroxysm or excitement. Anger even when justifiable is not to be cherished. The wise man says: "Anger resteth in the bosom of fools." Eccl. 7, 9.

Neither give place to the devil.--"So give place to" is to get out of the way of, to allow free scope to; and therefore to give an occasion or advantage to any one. We are neither to cherish anger, nor are we to allow Satan to take advantage of our being angry. Anger when cherished gives the Tempter great power over us, as it furnishes a motive to yield to his evil suggestions. The word diabolos is rendered by Luther, Lasterer, slanderer. It is used as an adjective in that sense in 1 Tim. 3, 11; 2 Tim. 3, 3, and Tit. 2, 3, but with the article (ho diabolos) it always means Satan--the great accuser--the prince of the demons or fallen angels, who is the great opposer of God and seducer of men against whose wiles we are commanded to be constantly on our guard. V. 28. The next exhortation relates to theft--we are not to steal--but to labour, that we may not only honestly support ourselves, but be able also to give to those who need.

The word *klepton* does not mean one who stole, but one who steals, the thief. But how, it is asked, could the apostle assume that there were thieves in the Ephesian church, especially as he is addressing those who had been renewed, and whom he is exhorting to live agreeably to their new nature? To get over this difficulty Calvin says, Paul does not refer merely to such thefts as the civil law punishes, but to all unjust acquisition. And Jerome says, *Ephesios monet, ne sub occasione emolumentum furti crimen incurrant, furtum nominans, omne quod alterius damno quaeritur.* This enlargement of the idea of theft, though it

transcends the limits assigned the offence in human laws, does not go beyond the law of God. As the command, "Thou shalt do no murder," includes the prohibition of malice; so the command, "Thou shalt not steal," forbids every thing that doth or may unjustly hinder our neighbour's wealth or outward estate. It is very certain that many things tolerated by the customs of men; many modes of getting the property of others into our own possession practised even by those professing to be Christians, are in the light of the divine law only different forms of theft, and will be revealed as such in the judgment of the last day. The spirit of the apostle's command no doubt includes all the forms of dishonesty. Still it may be questioned if this principle gives the true explanation of the passage. Others say, that as in the Corinthian church fornication and even incest was tolerated, See 1 Cor. 6, 1-6,--it is not incredible that theft should be disregarded in the church of Ephesus, or at least not visited with discipline. It is however probable that our version, which agrees with the Vulgate and with Luther's translation, expresses the true sense. Not that *ho kle'pton* means the same with *ho klepsas*, but as "murderer" means one guilty of murder, however penitent, so "thief" may mean one guilty of theft. Certain inmates of the prisons are called thieves because of their past, and not because of their present conduct. The positive part of the apostle's injunction is, instead of sustaining himself unjustly on the labour of others, let him labour, working with his hands the thing that is good. As he used his hands to steal, let him use them in doing what is right--i. e. in honest labour. Paul elsewhere lays down the general principle, "if any would not work neither should he eat." 2 Thess. 3, 10. No one is entitled to be supported by others, who is able to support himself. This is one great principle of scriptural economics. Another, however, no less important

is, that those who cannot work are entitled to aid--and therefore the apostle adds as a motive why the strong should labour--that they may have to contribute to him that hath need. No man liveth for himself; and no man should labour for himself alone, but with the definite object to be able to assist others. Christian principles, if fairly carried out, would speedily banish pauperism and other cognate evils from our modern civilization.

Vs. 29, 30--Forbid corrupt communication--enjoin profitable discourse, assign as a motive the good of others and reverence for the Holy Spirit.

Let no corrupt communication proceed out of your mouth. Pas lo'gos sapos, any foul word. The word sapos means literally putrid, and then figuratively offensive and injurious. But that which is good to the use of edifying, agatho's pro's oikodome`n, adapted to edification. The words oikodome`n tes chrei'as, edification of the necessity, means the edification the necessity calls for--or which is suited to the occasion. This is the common and satisfactory interpretation. Our version "to the use of edifying"--transposes the words. That it may give grace to the hearers. The phrase cha'rin didonai, to give grace, is one of frequent occurrence, and always means--to confer a favour--i. e. to give pleasure or profit. There is no necessity for departing from this sense here. The meaning is, that it may benefit the hearers.' And grieve not the Holy Spirit of God, i. e. by such corrupt language.

Under the head of pas lo'gos sapos the apostle includes, as appears from Col. 3, 8, all irreligious, malicious and impure language, which not only injures others, but grieves the Holy Spirit. As a temple is sacred, and every thing that profanes it is an offence to God, so the indwelling of the Holy Ghost in the people of God is made the reason why we should treat them with reverence, as this apostle teaches when

he says, "Know ye not that ye are the temple of God, and that the Spirit of God dwelleth in you? If any man defile the temple of God, him will God destroy; for the temple of God is holy, which temple ye are." 1 Cor. 3, 16. 17. To pollute, therefore, the souls of believers by suggesting irreligious or impure thoughts to them, is a profanation of the temple of God and an offence to the Holy Ghost. This is one phase of the truth here presented. Another, and the one more immediately intended in this clause is, that the blessed Spirit who condescends to dwell in our own hearts is grieved and offended whenever we thus sin. Thus in 1 Cor. 6, 19, Paul says, "What! know ye not that your body is the temple of the Holy Ghost, which is in you, which ye have of God, and ye are not your own?" Reverence, therefore, for the Holy Spirit who dwells in others, and for that same Spirit as dwelling in ourselves, should prevent our ever giving utterance to a corrupting thought. The Spirit, says the apostle, is grieved. Not only is his holiness offended, but his love is wounded. If any thing can add to the guilt of such conduct, it is its ingratitude, for it is by him, as the apostle adds, We are sealed unto the day of redemption. His indwelling certifies that we are the children of God, and secures our final salvation. See 1, 13. To grieve Him, therefore, is to wound him on whom our salvation depends. Though he will not finally withdraw from those in whom he dwells, yet when grieved he withholds the manifestations of his presence. And a disregard for those manifestations is proof that we have not the Spirit of Christ and are none of his.

The apostle next exhorts his readers to put away all malicious and revengeful feelings, to be kind and forgiving. This exhortation is enforced by the consideration of the mercy of God, and the great love of Christ, vs. 31-ch. V. 2.

V. 31. Let all bitterness, and wrath, and anger, and clamor, and evil

speaking, be put away from you. These are intimately related evils. Bitterness, a word transferred from the sphere of sensations to that of the mind. The adjective pikros means sharp, as an arrow, then pungent to the taste, disagreeable, and then venomous. The poisonous water given to the woman suspected of adultery, Numbers 5, 18, is called the "bitter water." The word bitterness, therefore, in its figurative sense means what is corroding, as grief, or any thing which acts on the mind as poison does on the body, or on the minds of others as venom does on their bodies. The venom of the serpent lies harmless in his fang; but all evil feelings are poison to the subject of them as well as venom to their object. The command, therefore, to lay aside all bitterness, is a command to lay aside every thing which corrodes our own minds or wounds the feelings of others. Under this head are the particulars which follow, viz. wrath; thumos, (from thuo, to burn,) means the mind itself as the seat of passions and desires--then the mind in the commotion of passion. Orge, anger, is the passion itself, i. e. the manifestation of thumos, as clamor and evil speaking are the outward expression of anger. The context shows that blasphemi'a is neither blasphemy as directed against God, nor merely slander as directed against men; but any form of speech springing from anger, and adapted either to wound or to injure others. With all malice. Kaki'a is a general term for badness or depravity of any kind. Here the context shows that it means malevolence, the desire to injure. We are to lay aside not only wrath and anger but all other forms of malevolent feeling.

V. 32. Exhortation to the opposite virtues. We are required to be chrestoi'. The word properly means useful; then disposed to do good. Thus God is said to be chrestos, kind or benignant, to the unthankful and the evil, Luke 6, 35. Tender-hearted, eu'splanchnoi, which in the parallel passage, Col. 3, 12, is expressed by "bowels of compassion."

That is, pity, compassion towards the suffering. Forgiving one another, *charizo'menoi eautois*. The verb means to give as a matter of favour, then to forgive, to pardon freely. Even as, i. e. because God in Christ hath freely forgiven you. This is the motive which should constrain us to forgive others. God's forgiveness towards us is free; it precedes even our repentance and is the cause of it. It is exercised notwithstanding the number, the enormity and the long continuance of our transgressions. He forgives us far more than we can ever be called upon to. forgive others. God forgives us in Christ. Out of Christ he is, in virtue of his holiness and justice, a consuming fire; but in him, he is long-suffering, abundant in mercy, and ready to forgive.

Vs. 1. 2. As God has placed us under so great obligation, "be ye, therefore, imitators of God." The exhortation is enlarged. We are not only to imitate God in being forgiving, but also as becomes dear children, by walking in love. As God is love, and as we by regeneration and adoption are his children, we are bound to exercise love habitually. Our whole walk should be characterized by it. As Christ also hath loved us. This is the reason why we should love one another. We should be like Christ, which is being like God, for Christ is God. The apostle makes no distinction between our being the objects of God's love and our being the objects of the love of Christ. We are to be imitators of God in love, for Christ hath loved us. And given himself for us. Here as elsewhere the great evidence of divine love is the death of Christ. See ver. 25. ch. 3, 19. John 15, 13. "Greater love hath no man than this, that a man lay down his life for his friends." Gal. 2, 20, "Who loved me and gave himself for me." 1 John 3, 16, "Hereby perceive we the love of God, because he laid down his life for us, and we ought to lay down our lives for the brethren." Christ's death was for us as a sacrifice, and therefore, from the nature of the

transaction, in our place. Whether the idea of substitution be expressed by *upe`r emon* depends on the context rather than on the force of the preposition. To die for any one, may mean either for his benefit or in his stead, as the connection demands. Christ gave himself, as an offering and a sacrifice, *prospora`n kai` thusi'an*; the latter term explains the former. Any thing presented to God was a *prospora*, but *thusi'a* was something slain. The addition of that term, therefore, determines the nature of the offering. This is elsewhere determined by the nature of the thing offered, as in Heb. 10, 10, "the offering of the body of Christ;" or, "himself," Heb. 9, 14. 25; by the effects ascribed to it, viz. expiation of guilt and the propitiation of God, which are the appropriate effects of a sin-offering; see Heb. 2, 17; 10, 10. 14; Rom. 3, 25; 5, 9. 10: by explanatory expressions, "the one offering of Christ" is declared to be *mi'an upe`r amartion thusi'an*, Heb. 10, 12; "a sacrifice for sin," and *prospora` peri` amarti'as*, Heb. 10, 18; *anti'lutron*, and *lu'tron anti` pollon*, as in 1 Tim. 2, 6. Matt. 20, 28; it is called a propitiation, Rom. 3, 25, as well as a ransom. Christ himself, therefore, is called the Lamb of God who bore our sins; his blood is the object of faith or ground of confidence, by which, as the blood of a sacrifice, we are redeemed, 1 Pet. 1, 18. 19. He saves us as a priest does, i. e. by a sacrifice. Every victim ever slain on Pagan altars was a declaration of the necessity for such a sacrifice; all the blood shed on Jewish altars was a prophecy and promise of propitiation by the blood of Christ; and the whole New Testament is the record of the Son of God offering himself up as a sacrifice for the sins of the world. This, according to the faith of the church universal, is the sum of the Gospel--the incarnation and death of the eternal Son of God as a propitiation for sin. There can, therefore, be no doubt as to the sense in which the apostle here

declares Christ to be an offering and a sacrifice.

There is some doubt as to the construction of the words, "to God." They may be connected with what precedes, "He gave himself as a sacrifice to God;" or with the following clause, "For a sweet savour to God," i. e. acceptable to him. The sense of the whole would then be, He gave himself, *pare'doken eauto`n*, (unto death, *eis thanaton*,) an offering and sacrifice well pleasing to God.' The reasons in favour of this construction are--1. That *paradidonai* means properly to deliver up to the power of any one, and is not the suitable or common term to express the idea of presenting as a sacrifice. The word almost always used in such cases is *prosperein*, to bring near to, to offer. 2. With Paul the favourite construction of *paradidonai* is with *eis* and not with the dative. 3. In Hebrew, from which the phrase *eis osme`n euodi'as* here used is borrowed, the expression is *rychnychch lyhvh*, (a sweet smelling savour to Jehovah), which the Septuagint render, *osme euodias to Kurio*. It is not probable in using so familiar a scriptural phrase Paul would depart from the common construction. The Hebrew phrase properly means a savour of rest; that is, one which composes, pacifies, or pleases. The last is what the Greek expresses, and therefore the equivalent expression is *eua'reston to Theo*, well pleasing to God. Rom. 12, 1. Phil. 4, 18. It was in the exercise of the highest conceivable love, which ought to influence all our conduct, that Christ delivered himself unto death, an offering and sacrifice well pleasing unto God.

[12] O si animis nostris insideret haec cogitatio, hanc legem nobis esse propositam, ut non magis dissidere inter se possint filii Dei, quam regnum coelorum dividi, quanto in colenda fraterna benevolentia essemus cautiores? quanto nobis horrore essent omnes simultates, si reputaremus, ut decet, eos omnes se alienare a regno Dei, qui a

fratribus se disjungunt? sed nescio qui fit, ut secure nos esse filios Dei gloriemur, mutuae inter nos fraternitatis obliti. Discamus itaque ex Paulo, ejusdem hereditatis minime esse capaces, nisi qui unum corpus sunt et unus spiritus.--CALVIN.

[13] CALVIN in his comment on this verse, says: Apostolis proximi erant Evangelistae, et munus affine habebant; tantum gradu dignitatis erant dispare; ex quo genere erant Timotheus et similes. Nam quum in salutationibus illum sibi adjungit Paulus, non tamen facit in apostolatu socium, sed nomen hoc peculiariter sibi vindicat. Ergo, secundum Apostolos, istorum subsidiaria opera usus est Dominus.--And in his Institutes IV, 3, 4, he says: Per Evangelistas eos intelligo, qui quum in dignitate apostolis minores, officio tamen proximi erant, adeoque vices eorum gerebant. Quales fuerunt, Lucas, Timotheus, Titus, et reliqui similes.

[14] The ministry is to continue until katante'somen we (all) shall have attained to unity of faith.

[15] See Dr. J. A. Alexander's Commentary on the Psalms.

CHAPTER V: SPECIFIC EXHORTATIONS, VS. 3-20.--RELATIVE DUTIES OF HUSBANDS AND WIVES, vs.

SPECIFIC EXHORTATIONS, VS. 3-20.--RELATIVE DUTIES OF HUSBANDS AND WIVES, vs. 21-33.

SECTION I.--Vs. 3-20.

3. But fornication, and all uncleanness, or covetousness, let it not be once named among you, as becometh saints;

4. neither filthiness, nor foolish talking, nor jesting, which are not convenient: but rather giving of thanks.

5. For this ye know, that no whoremonger, nor unclean person, nor covetous man, who is an idolater, hath any inheritance in the kingdom of Christ and of God.

6. Let no man deceive you with vain words: for because of these things cometh the wrath of God upon the children of disobedience.

7. Be not ye therefore partakers with them.

8. For ye were sometime darkness, but now are ye light in the Lord: walk as children of light;

9. (for the fruit of the Spirit is in all goodness, and righteousness, and truth;)

10. proving what is acceptable unto the Lord.

11. And have no fellowship with the unfruitful works of darkness, but rather reprove them.

12. For it is a shame even to speak of those things which are done of them in secret.

13. But all things that are reprov'd, are made manifest by the light: for whatsoever doth make manifest is light.

14. Wherefore he saith, Awake, thou that sleepest, and arise from the

dead, and Christ shall give thee light.

15. See that ye walk circumspectly; not as fools, but as wise,

16. redeeming the time, because the days are evil.

17. Wherefore be ye not unwise, but understanding what the will of the Lord is.

18. And be not drunk with wine, wherein is excess; but be filled with the Spirit;

19. speaking to yourselves in psalms, and hymns, and spiritual songs, singing and making melody in your heart to the Lord;

20. giving thanks always for all things unto God and the Father in the name of our Lord Jesus Christ.

ANALYSIS.

It becomes saints to avoid not only the sins of uncleanness and covetousness, but also all impropriety of conduct and frivolity of language, vs. 3-4. Because uncleanness and covetousness not only exclude from heaven, but, whatever errorists may say, bring down the wrath of God, vs. 5-6. Christians, therefore, should not participate in those sins, seeing they have been divinely enlightened and made the recipients of that light whose fruits are goodness, righteousness and truth. They are bound to exemplify this in their conduct, avoiding and reproving the deeds of darkness, vs. 7-10. Those deeds are too shameful to be named; still they may be corrected by the power of that light which it is the prerogative of believers to disseminate. Therefore the Scriptures speak of the light which flows from Christ as reaching even to the dead, vs. 12-14. Christians therefore should be wise, making the most of every occasion for good, in the midst of the evils by which they are surrounded, vs. 13-16. They should seek exhilaration not from wine, but from the Holy Spirit, and give expression to their gladness in psalms and hymns, praising and thanking God through Jesus Christ,

vs. 17-20.

COMMENTARY.

V. 3. But fornication and all uncleanness, or covetousness, let it not be once named among you, as becometh saints.

In the preceding section the apostle had spoken of sins against our neighbour; here from v. 3 to v. 20 he dwells principally on sins against ourselves. Not only fornication, but every thing of the same nature, or that leads to it, is to be avoided--and not only avoided, but not even named among believers. The inconsistency of all such sins with the character of Christians, as saints, men selected from the world and consecrated to God, is such as should forbid the very mention of them in a Christian society. With the sins of uncleanness the apostle here, as in the preceding chapter, v. 19, connects pleonexia, covetousness. The word is to be taken in its ordinary sense, as there is nothing in the context to justify any departure from it. The assumption that sins of sensuality are alone mentioned in this and the following verse, leads to very forced interpretations of several of the terms employed.

V. 4. Neither filthiness. The word *aischro'tes*, is not simply obscenity, but whatever is morally hateful. The adjective *aischros* means deformed, revolting, what excites disgust, physical or moral. It is the opposite of *kalos*, which means both beautiful and good; and hence to *kalon kai to aischron*, means virtue and vice, The substantive is equally comprehensive, and includes whatever is vile or disgusting in speech or conduct. Lesser evils are expressed by the words *morologia* and *eutrapelia*, foolish talking and jesting. The former means such talk as is characteristic of fools, i. e. frivolous and senseless. The latter, according to its etymology and early usage, means urbanity, politeness. Naturally enough however the word came to have a bad sense,

as the adjective eutrapelos, what turns easily, as the wind, when applied to language or speech, means not only adroit, skilful, agreeable, witty, but also flippant, satirical, scurrilous. Hence the substantive is used for jesting and scurrility. The former sense is best suited to this passage, because it is connected with foolish talking, and because the apostle says of both simply that they are not convenient, not becoming or suitable. This is too mild a form of expression to be used either of aischro'tes (filthiness) or of eutrapelia in the worse sense of those terms. Paul says, these things (foolish talking and jesting) do not become Christians; ouk anakonta, what does not pertain to any one, or, to his office. Foolish talking and jesting are not the ways in which Christian cheerfulness should express itself, but rather giving of thanks. Religion is the source of joy and gladness, but its joy is expressed in a religious way, in thanksgiving and praise.

V. 5. The apostle reverts to what he said in v. 3. and enforces the exhortation there given. "For this ye know, that no whoremonger, nor unclean person, nor covetous man, who is an idolater, hath any inheritance in the kingdom of Christ and of God." The form of expression is peculiar, i'ste [16] gino'skontes, ye know knowing. Many refer this to the familiar Hebrew idiom, in which the infinitive and finite tense of a verb are thus joined, which in Greek and English is imitated by uniting the participle and verb; as "dying thou shalt die," "multiplying I will multiply," "blessing I will bless," &c. But in all these cases the infinitive and finite tense are different forms of the same verb. Here we have different words. The preferable interpretation is to refer i'ste to what precedes in v. 3, and gino'skontes to what follows: This ye know, viz., that such vices should not be named among you, knowing that no one who indulges in them, &c.'

Covetous man who is an idolater. The words ο's estin eidolola'tres are by many referred to all the preceding nouns, so that the fornicator, the unclean person, and the covetous man, are all alike declared to be idolaters. This is possible so far as the grammatical construction is concerned; but it is not natural, and not consistent with the parallel passage in Col. 3, 5, where the apostle singles out covetousness from a list of sins, and says, 'It is idolatry.' This too has its foundation both in nature and in Scripture. The analogy between this supreme love of riches, this service of Mammon and idolatry, is more obvious and more distinctly recognized in Scripture than between idolatry and any other of the sins mentioned. It is well that this should be understood, that men should know, that the most common of all sins, is the most heinous in the sight of God. For idolatry, which consists in putting the creature in the place of God, is every where in his word denounced as the greatest of all sins in his sight. The fact that it is compatible with outward decorum and with the respect of men, does not alter its nature. It is the permanent and controlling principle of an irreligious heart and life, turning the soul away from God. There is no cure for this destructive love of money, but using it for other than selfish purposes. Riches, therefore, must ruin their possessor, unless he employs them for the good of others and for the glory of God. It is of the covetous man no less than of the fornicator, the apostle says, he has no inheritance in the kingdom of Christ. That is, in that kingdom which Christ came to establish--which consists of all the redeemed, washed in his blood, sanctified by his Spirit, and made perfectly blessed in the full enjoyment of God to all eternity. This kingdom is sometimes called the kingdom of Christ, and sometimes the kingdom of God; for where Christ reigns, God reigns. Here it is designated the basilei'a tou Christou kai` Theou, that is, of him who

is at once Christos and Theos; Christ and God. This is certainly the most natural interpretation. As every one admits that to Theo kai patri means "to him who is at once God and Father." There is no reason why the same rule should not be applied in this case. Compare Titus 2, 13. This view of the passage, which makes it a direct assertion of the divinity of our Lord, is strenuously insisted upon by some of the most eminent of modern interpreters, as Harless and Rückert, the one orthodox and the other rationalistic. Others, however, say that Christ here designates the Redeemer, and God, the divine Being; and that the kingdom is called not only the kingdom of Christ, but also the kingdom of God. This is the view more commonly adopted, though in violation of a general rule of grammar, the article being omitted before Theou. If, in Titus 2, 13, epipha'neian tes do'xes tou mega'lou Theou kai` soterou Iesou Christou, means that Jesus Christ is at once the great God and our Saviour, and Winer admits (Gram. p. 148) that it is for doctrinal reasons only he dissents from that interpretation; then there can be no reasonable doubt in the present case, where the form of expression is so similar, the writer being the same, that the idea is the same. If it were a rare or uncertain thing for Paul to recognize Christ as God, it would be wrong to press rules of grammar to make him teach that doctrine. But since every page almost of his epistles teems with evidence that Christ was his God, it is wrong to depart from those rules in order to prevent his teaching it.

V. 6. It is not only among the heathen, but among the mass of men in all ages and nations, a common thing to extenuate the particular sins to which the apostle here refers. It is urged that they have their origin in the very constitution of our nature; that they are not malignant; that they may co-exist with amiable tempers; and that they are not hurtful to others, that no one is the worse for them if no one

knows them, &c. Paul, therefore, cautions his readers in every age of the church, not to be deceived by such vain words; assuring them that for these things (for fornication and covetousness), the wrath of God cometh on the children of disobedience. With vain words, kenois logois. Kenos means empty. Kenoi logoi, therefore, are empty words; words which contain no truth, and are therefore both false and fallacious, as those will find who trust to them. The wrath of God. This expression is a fearful one, because the wrath of man is the disposition to inflict evil, limited by man's feebleness; whereas the wrath of God is the determination to punish in a being without limit either as to his presence or power. This wrath, the apostle says, cometh on the children of disobedience. The present is either for the certain future, will assuredly come;' or it has its proper force. The wrath of God against these sins is now manifested in his dealings with those who commit them. He withdraws from them his Spirit, and finally gives them up to a reprobate mind. On the phrase "children of disobedience," see ch. 2, 2. V. 7. Such being the determination of God to punish the unclean and the covetous, the apostle says, "Be ye not therefore partakers with them." That is, be not their associates in these sins, which of necessity would expose you to the penalty threatened against them. V. 8. This is enforced by a reference to their conversion from a previous state of sin and misery to one of holiness and blessedness. For ye were sometime darkness. As light stands for knowledge, and as knowledge, in the scriptural sense of the word, produces holiness, and holiness happiness; so darkness stands for ignorance, such ignorance as inevitably produces sin, and sin misery. Therefore, the expression, "ye were darkness," means, ye were ignorant, polluted, and wretched. But now ye are light in the Lord, i. e. in virtue of union with the Lord, ye are enlightened, sanctified, and blessed. Walk as children of the

light, i. e. as the children of holiness and truth. " Children of light," means enlightened; as children of famine,' means the famished;' see ch. 2, 2. The exhortation is that they should walk in a way consistent with their character as men illuminated and sanctified by their union with the Lord Jesus.

V. 9. For the fruit of light, [17] i. e. the fruit or effect of divine illumination is in all, i. e. consists in all the forms of goodness, righteousness, and truth. Goodness, agathosu'ne, is that which makes a man agathos, good; and righteousness, dikaiosune, is that which makes a man dikaios, righteous. These Greek words differ very much as the corresponding English terms do. Goodness is benevolence and beneficence; righteousness is adherence to the rule of right. Yet both are used for moral excellence in general. The evil and the good, included all classes of the vicious and the virtuous. Good works are works of any kind which are morally excellent. When however the words are contrasted as in Rom. 5, 7, or distinguished as in Rom. 7, 12, good means benevolent or beneficent; and righteous, just or upright. Goodness is that quality which adapts a thing to the end for which it was designed, and renders it serviceable. Hence we speak of a good tree, of good soil, as well as of a good man. Righteousness can properly be predicated only of persons or of what is susceptible of moral character; as it means conformity to law; or if predicated of the law itself, it means conformity to the nature of God, the ultimate standard of rectitude. Truth, here means religious or moral truth, or religion itself. The fruits of light, therefore, are all the forms of piety and virtue.

V. 10. Verse 9 is a parenthesis, as the 10th verse is grammatically connected with the 8th. "Walk as children of the light, proving, &c.," peripateite--?dokimazontes. Dokimazein is to try, to put to the test,

to examine; then to judge or estimate; and then to approve. Thus it is said, "The fire shall try every man's work;" God is said "To try the heart;" we are said "To be renewed so as to prove the will of God," Rom. 12, 2, that is, to examine and determine what the will of God is. And so in this passage believers are required to walk as children of light, examining and determining what is acceptable to the Lord. They are to regulate their conduct by a regard to what is well pleasing to Him. That is the ultimate standard of judging whether any thing is right or wrong, worthy or unworthy of those who have been enlightened from above.

The word LORD is in the New Testament so predominantly used to designate the Lord Jesus Christ, that it is always to be referred to him unless the context forbids it. Here the context so far from forbidding, requires such reference. For in the former part of the sentence Lord evidently designates Christ. "Ye are light in the Lord, therefore, walk as children of the light, proving what is acceptable to the Lord." This, therefore, is one of the numerous passages in the New Testament, in which Christ is recognized as the Lord of the conscience, whose will is to us the ultimate standard of right and wrong, and to whom we are responsible for all our inward and outward acts. It is thus that the sacred writers show that Christ was their God, in whose presence they constantly lived, whose favour they constantly sought, and on whom all their religious affections terminated. He was not merely the God of their theology, but of their religion.

V. 11. The apostle having in the previous verse insisted on the duty of Christians of so walking as to show by their works that they were the subjects of divine illumination, adds here a statement of their duty in reference to the sins of those still in darkness. Those sins he calls "the unfruitful works of darkness." By unfruitful is meant not merely

barren or worthless, but positively evil. For in a moral subject the negation of good is evil. Works of darkness are those works which spring from darkness, i. e. from ignorance of God; as "works of light" are those works which light or divine knowledge produces.

The duty of Christians in reference to the works of darkness is twofold; first, to have no communion with them; and secondly, to reprove them. The former is expressed by the words *me` sunkoinoneite*, have not fellowship with them. Those who have things in common; who are congenial; who have the same views, feelings, and interests; and who therefore delight in each other's society, are said to be in fellowship. In this sense believers have fellowship with God and with each other. So we are said to have fellowship in any thing which we delight in and partake of. To have fellowship with the works of darkness, therefore, is to delight in them and to participate in them.

All such association is forbidden as inconsistent with the character of the children of light. Our second duty is to reprove them. *Ele'ncheinis* not simply to reprove in the sense of admonishing or rebuking. It means to convince by evidence. It expresses the effect of illumination by which the true nature of any thing is revealed. When the Spirit is said to reprove men of sin, it means that he sheds such light upon their sins as to reveal their true character, and to produce the consequent consciousness of guilt and pollution. In Paul says the effect of intelligible preaching of the Gospel is conviction--which is explained by saying "the secrets of the heart are revealed." The duty, therefore, here enjoined is to shed light on these works of darkness; to exhibit them in their true nature as vile and destructive. By this method they are corrected; as is more fully taught in the following verses. The ethics as well as the theology of the Bible are founded on the principle, that knowledge and holiness, ignorance and sin, are

inseparable. If you impart knowledge you secure holiness; and if you render ignorant you deprave. This of course is not true of secular knowledge--i. e. of the knowledge of other than religious subjects; nor is it true of mere speculative knowledge of religious truth. It is true only of that knowledge which the Scriptures call spiritual discernment. Of that knowledge, however, intellectual cognition is an essential element. And so far as human agency in the production of the conviction of sin is concerned, it is limited to holding forth the word of life; or letting the light of divine truth shine into the darkened minds of men, and upon their evil deeds.

V. 12. These works of darkness should be thus reproved, "for it is a shame even to speak of those things which are done of them in secret." There are two reasons why sins are called works of darkness. The first and principal one is, as before remarked, because they spring from darkness or ignorance of God; and the second is, because they are committed in darkness. They shun the light. The exceeding turpitude of these sins the apostle gives as the reason why they should be reproved.

V. 13. Vile however as those sins are, they are capable of being corrected. They are not beyond cure. Reprove them. Let in the light of divine truth upon them, and they will be corrected or healed. For the truth is divinely efficacious. It is the organon of God; that through which he exerts his power in the sanctification and salvation of men. Such seems to be the general meaning of this difficult verse.

It is connected with the preceding verse, and is designed to enforce the command, *ele'nchete*, reprove. Reprove the things done in secret by the wicked--for though they are too bad to be even named, yet being reproved, they are made manifest by the light, and thereby corrected, for every thing made manifest, i. e. revealed in its true nature by divine light, becomes light; that is, is reformed.' This interpretation

gives a simple and consistent sense, assumes no unusual signification of the terms employed, nor any forced construction, and is suited to the context. It supposes--1. That ta` pa`nta elencho'mena refers to ta` kruphe gino'mena of v. 12. The things done in secret are the all things, which being reprov'd, are manifested. 2. The words upo` tou photos are not to be connected with elencho'mena, as though the sense were, being reprov'd by the light;' but with phaneroutai, so that the sense is, are made manifest by the light.' This construction is required by the following clause. 3. phanerou'menon, is passive, and not middle with an active sense. The meaning is, Whatever is manifested;' not whatever makes manifest.' As the word phaneroutai just before is passive, it is unnatural to make phanerou'menon active. Besides, the apostle is not speaking of the nature of spiritual light, but of its effects. It illuminates or turns into light all it touches, or wherever it penetrates.

If phanerou'menon be taken as active, as is done by Calvin and many others, and by our translators, the sense would be, Reprove these things; it is your office to do so, for you are light, and light is that which makes manifest.' This however is not what Paul says. He does not say Reprove evil, for you are light,' but, Reprove evil, for evil when reprov'd by light is manifest, and when manifest, it is light,' that is, it is changed into light, or corrected. In v. 8, he had said, "Ye are light;" so here he says, what is illuminated by the truth becomes light. The sense is the same in both cases. The penetration of spiritual light, or divine truth, carries with it such power, that it illuminates and sanctifies all in whom it dwells. Hence the apostle elsewhere prays that the word of God may dwell in the hearts of believers in all wisdom and spiritual understanding. According to the apostle, the relation between truth and holiness is analogous to that

between light and vision. Light cannot create the eye, or give to a blind eye the power of vision. But it is essential to its exercise.

Wherever it penetrates, it dissipates darkness and brings every thing into view--and causes it to produce its appropriate effect. So truth cannot regenerate, or impart the principle of spiritual life. But it is essential to all holy exercises. And wherever the truth penetrates, it dissipates the clouds of error, and brings every thing to view, so that when spiritually discerned it produces its proper effect on the soul.

Truth being thus essential, it is the duty of Christians to bring it to bear upon all those who are ignorant and on all the works of darkness.

V. 14. As light is thus efficacious, and as it is accessible, or may be obtained, therefore the Scriptures call even upon the sleeping and the dead to arise and meet its life-giving beams. Dio` le'gei, scil. he graphe. As this formula of quotation is never used in the New Testament except when citations are made from the Old Testament, it cannot properly be assumed that the apostle here quotes some Christian hymn with which the believers in Ephesus were familiar; or some apocryphal book; or some inspired book no longer extant. We must understand him either as referring to many exhortations of the Old Testament Scriptures, the substance of which he condenses in the few words here used; or as giving the spirit of some one passage, though not its words. Both these methods of explanation may be sustained by appeal to similar passages. The apostles in quoting the Old Testament sometimes combined several passages in the same quotation--and sometimes give as the teaching of the prophets what is nowhere taught or asserted in express terms, but is abundantly or clearly implied in what they say. At other times again, the reference is obviously to some one passage, and yet neither the Hebrew nor Septuagint is accurately followed, but the general idea is reproduced. We without the authority and divine

guidance of the apostles deal in the same way with the word of God, of which almost every sermon would furnish examples. It is generally assumed that Paul here refers to Is. 60, 1, "Arise, shine; for thy light is come, and the glory of the Lord is risen upon thee." Or, as De Wette renders it; "Auf, werde licht, denn es kommt dein Licht, und die Herrlichkeit Jehovah's gehet iiber dir auf." Up, become light; for thy light comes, and the glory of Jehovah riseth over thee. The analogy between this passage and the quotation of the apostle is plain. There are in both--1. The call to those who are asleep or dead to rise. 2. To receive the light. 3. The promise that Jehovah, Lord, or Christ, equivalent terms in the mind of the apostle, would give them light. There can, therefore, be little doubt that it was the language of Isaiah Paul intended in substance to quote. Beza thinks that Is. 26, 19, "Awake and sing, ye that dwell in the dust," &c., is to be included in the reference; and others join Is. 9, 2, "The people that walked in darkness have seen a great light; they that dwell in the land of the shadow of death, upon them hath the light shined." It is true that in these, as well as in other passages, the power of light, i. e. of divine truth, its advent in the person of Christ, and the call to those who are in darkness to accept it, are included. But the probability is that Is. 60, 1, was the passage most distinctly in the apostle's

Those asleep and the dead are in darkness, and therefore those involved in spiritual darkness are addressed as sleeping. The light which comes from Christ has power to reach even the dead--as our Lord, in the use of another figure, says, "The hour is coming, and now is, that the dead shall hear the voice of the Son of God, and they that hear shall live," John 5, 25. This does not mean that the dead must be revived before they hear the voice of the Son of God, but his voice causes them to hear and live. So the passage before us does not mean that those asleep

must arise from the dead and come to Christ for light; but that the light which Christ sheds around him, has power to awake the sleeping dead. Thus the passage is a confirmation of what is said in the preceding verse, viz., that every thing made manifest by the light, is light.

V. 15. If this verse be considered as connected inferentially by our with the preceding, then the association of ideas is: If believers are bound to dispel the darkness from the hearts and lives of others, how careful should they be not to be dark themselves, i. e. they should walk as wise men.' This however seems forced. The exhortation contained in this and the following verse is most naturally connected with that contained in verses 10 and 11. Believers as children of light are required to have no fellowship with the works of darkness, but rather to reprove them; see therefore, i. e. take heed therefore, *pos akribos peripateite*, that ye walk circumspectly. *Pos*, however, does not mean that, though often used where *o'ti* or *hina* might be employed. It here as elsewhere means how, in what manner. "See in what manner ye render your deportment accurate." *Akribos peripatein* is to walk strictly by rule, so as not to deviate by a hair's breadth. Not as unwise, but as wise. Paul often uses the word *sophia* for divine truth. The *sophoi* are those who possess this truth, which he had before called light, and the *a'sophoi* are those who have it not. So that wise and unwise are here equivalent to the enlightened and those in darkness. His exhortation, therefore, is that believers should carefully deport themselves not as the heathen and unrenewed, who have not the divine light of which he had been speaking, but as those who are enlightened from above and are therefore wise.

V. 16. ?*Exagorazo'menoi to`n kairo'n*, redeeming the time. This is one manifestation of wisdom, one method in which their Christian character

as the children of light should be exhibited. The words have been variously explained:--1. Making use of, availing yourselves of the occasion for doing good, not allowing it to pass unimproved. 2. Buying back the time, redeeming it, as it were, from Satan or from the world. 3. Making the most of time, i. e. using it to the best advantage. 4. Adapting yourselves to the occasion, &c. The decision between these different views depends partly on the sense to be given to *exagorazo'menoi*, and partly on the question whether *kairos* is to be taken in its proper sense, opportunity, appropriate time; or in the general sense of *chronos*, time. The words *agorazein* and *exagorazein*, have in common the idea of acquiring by purchase. The latter in virtue of the force of the *ek* properly means to purchase back, or to make free by purchase. But it is also used in the sense of the simple verb, as in Daniel 2, 8, whence the expression in the text is probably derived. There, according to the Septuagint, the king said to the Chaldeans, who declined to interpret his dream until they knew what it was, *oida ego o'ti kairos`n umeis exagora'zete*, "I know you wish to gain time." This sense of the verb suits the passage before us. Then if *kairos* means here what it does in almost every other passage, where it occurs in the New Testament, the most natural interpretation of the clause is, "availing yourselves of the occasion," i. e. improving every opportunity for good. If *kairos* be taken for *chronos*, which is barely admissible, the sense would be, "making the most of time," i. e. rescuing it from waste or abuse. Both of these interpretations are good and suited to the following clause, because the days are evil. *Poneros*, evil, may be taken either in a physical or moral sense. The patriarch said, "Few and evil have the days of the years of my life been;" Gen. 47, 9. The moral sense of the word, however, is better suited to the context. Evil days, mean days in which sin abounds. It is parallel to

the expressions, "evil generation," Matt. 12, 39; and "evil world," Gal. 1, 4. Because sin abounds is a good reason why Christians should seize upon every opportunity to do good; and also why they should make the most of time. So that this clause suits either of the interpretations of the first part of the verse. That *kairos* properly and commonly means opportunity, or suitable time, is a strong reason for preferring the former of the two interpretations mentioned. The same exhortation and in the same connection is found in Col. 4, 5. Here the apostle says, "See that ye walk as wise men, redeeming the time;" there, "Walk in wisdom, redeeming the time." So that this right use of time, or this seizing on every opportunity for doing good, is in both places represented as the evidence and effect of wisdom, i. e. of divine truth, which is the wisdom of God, which he has revealed, 1 Cor. 2, 6-13.

V. 17. Therefore, i. e. either because the days are evil; or, because ye are bound to walk as wise men. The latter mode of connection is to be preferred, because the reference is to the main idea of the preceding verses 15 and 16, and not to a subordinate clause. Be ye not, a'phrones, senseless, unthinking, trifling. Comp. Luke 11, 40, "Ye fools (ye unthinking ones), did not he that made that which is without, make that which is within also;" also Luke 12, 20; 1 Cor. 15, 36; 2 Cor. 11, 16, &c. In all these cases a'phron means one who does not make a right use of his understanding; who does not see things in their true light, or estimate them according to their relative importance. It is here opposed to *sunientes*. Be ye not senseless, indiscriminating between what is true and false, right and wrong, important and unimportant, but understanding, i. e. discerning what the will of the Lord is.' That is, seeing things as he sees them, and making his will or judgment the standard of yours, and the rule of your conduct. The

will of the Lord is the will of Christ. That Lord here means Christ, is plain not only from the general usage of the New Testament, so often referred to, but also from the constant use of the word in this chapter as a designation of the Redeemer. Here again, therefore, the divinity of Christ is seen to be a practical doctrine entering into the daily religious life of the believer. His will is the rule of truth and duty.

V. 18. And (especially) be not drunk with wine. This is an aphrosune, a want of sense, especially inconsistent with the intelligence of the true believer. The man who has a right discernment will not seek refreshment or excitement from wine, but from the Holy Spirit.

Therefore the apostle adds, but be filled with the Spirit. In drunkenness, he says, there is asoti'a, revelry, debauchery, riot, whatever tends to destruction; for the word is derived from asotos, which means, what cannot be saved, one given up to a destructive course of life. Comp. Tit. 1, 6. 1 Pet. 4, 4. Men are said to be filled with wine when completely under its influence; so they are said to be filled with the Spirit, when he controls all their thoughts, feelings, words, and actions. The expression is a common one in Scripture. Of our Lord himself it was said, "He was full of the Holy Ghost," Luke 4, 1; so of Stephen that "he was full of faith and of the Holy Ghost," Acts 6, 5; and of Barnabas, Acts 11, 24, &c. To the Christian, therefore, the source of strength and joy is not wine, but the blessed Spirit of God. And as drunkenness produces rioting and debauchery, so the Holy Spirit produces a joy which expresses itself in psalms, and hymns, and spiritual songs. *Quid gignit ebrietas? dissolutam proterviam, ut quasi excusso freno indecenter homines exultent. Quid spiritualis laetitia, quum ea perfusi sumus? hymnos, psalmos, laudes Dei, gratiarum actiones. Hi sunt vere jucundi fructus et delectabiles.* CALVIN.

V. 19. Lalountes eautois (i. e. allelois, as in 4, 32, and elsewhere),

speaking to each other, not to yourselves. Compare Col. 3, 16, where it is, *dida'skontes kai nouthetountes eautou's*, teaching and admonishing one another. "Speaking to each other," signifies the interchange of thoughts and feelings expressed in the psalms and hymns employed. This is supposed to refer to responsive singing, in the private assemblies and public worship of Christians, to which the well-known passage of Pliny: *Carmen Christo quasi Deo dicunt secum invicem*, seems also to refer. Whether the passage refers to the responsive method of singing or not, which is somewhat doubtful from the parallel passage in Colossians (where Paul speaks of their teaching one another), it at least proves that singing was from the beginning a part of Christian worship, and that not only psalms but hymns also were employed. The early usage of the words *psalmos*, *u'mnos*, *ode*, appears to have been as loose as that of the corresponding English terms, *psalm*, *hymn*, *song*, is with us. A psalm was a hymn, and a hymn a song. Still there was a distinction between them as there is still. A psalm was, agreeably to the etymology of the word *psalmos*, a song designed to be sung with the accompaniment of instrumental music. 2. It was one of the sacred poems contained in the book of Psalms, as in Acts 13, 33, *en to psalmo to deute'ro*, in the second Psalm; and Acts 1, 20, *en bi'blo psalmon*, in the book of Psalms. 3. Any sacred poem formed on the model of the Old Testament Psalms, as in 1 Cor. 14, 26, where *psalmon* appears to mean such a song given by inspiration, and not one of the psalms of David. A Hymn was a song of praise to God; a divine song. ARRIAN, *Exped. Alex.* 4, *umnoi men es tous theous poiountai, epainoi de es anthropous*. AMMON. *de differ. vocbl. ho men gar humnos esti theon, to de enkomion ton anthropon*. PHAVOR. *humnos; he pros theon ode*. Such being the general meaning of the word, Josephus uses it of those Psalms which were songs of praise to God: *ho Daidos odas eis ton Theon kai humnous sunetaxato*,

Ant. 7. 12, 3. Psalms and hymns then, as now, were religious songs; odai were religious or secular, and therefore those here intended are described as spiritual. This may mean either inspired, i. e. derived from the Spirit; or expressing spiritual thoughts and feelings. This latter is the more probable; as not only inspired men are said to be filled with the Spirit, but all those who in their ordinary thoughts and feelings are governed by the Holy Ghost.

Singing and making melody in your hearts to the Lord. If this clause be considered as coordinate with the preceding, then it refers to a different kind of singing. The former expressed by *lalountes eautois* is singing audibly, the latter by *a'dontes en te kardi'a* is the music of the heart, the rhythm of the affections not clothed in words. In favour of this view, which is adopted by several of the best modern commentators, as Harless, Rückert, Olshausen, and Meyer, it is urged that the apostle says, *en te kardi'a humon* and not simply *ek kardias*, from the heart; and that the pronoun *humon*, your, would be unnecessary, had he meant only that the singing was to be cordial. Besides, the singing here referred to is that of those filled with the Spirit, and therefore the caution that it should not be a mere lip service is out of place. Notwithstanding these reasons, the great majority of commentators make this clause subordinate to the preceding and descriptive of the kind of singing required, "You are to commence with each in Psalms and Hymns, singing in your heart." Comp. Rom. 1, 9, where the apostle says: *ho latreu'o* (not *ek pneumatos* but) *en to pneu'mati' mou*, whom I serve in my spirit, and 1 Cor. 14, 15. There is no sufficient reason for departing from the ordinary view of the passage.

a'dontes kai` psa'llontes, singing and making melody, are two forms of expressing the same thing. The latter term is the more comprehensive;

as *aidein* is to make music with the voice; *psallein*, to make music in any way; literally, to play on a stringed instrument; then, to sing in concert with such an instrument; then, to sing or chant. See 1 Cor. 14, 15; James 5, 13; Rom. 15, 9.

To the Lord, i. e. to Christ. In the parallel passage: Col. 3, 16, it is to God. In either form the idea is the same. In worshipping Christ we worship God. God in Christ, however, is the definite, special object of Christian worship, to whom the heart when filled with the Spirit instinctively turns. This special worship of Christ is neither inconsistent with the worship of the Father, nor is it ever dissociated from it. The one runs into the other. And

V. 20. Therefore the apostle connects the two; "Be ye filled with the Spirit, singing hymns to Christ, and giving thanks to God even the Father." The Spirit dictates the one as naturally as the other. We are to give thanks always. It is not a duty to be performed once for all, nor merely when new mercies are received; but always, because we are under obligation for blessings temporal and spiritual already received, which calls for perpetual acknowledgment. We are to give thanks for all things; afflictions as well as for our joys, say the ancient commentators. This is not in the text, though Paul, as we learn from other passages, gloried in his afflictions. Here the words are limited by the context, for all our mercies. In the name of the Lord Jesus. The apostles preached in the name of the Lord Jesus; they wrought miracles in his name; believers are commanded to pray in his name; to give thanks in his name, and to do all things in his name. In all these cases the general idea is that expressed by [Bengel: *ut perinde sit, ac si Christus faciat*. What we do in the name of Christ we do by his authority, and relying on him for success. Christ gives us access to the Father; we come to God through him; he gives the right to come, and

it is on him we depend for acceptance when we come. To Theo kai` patri', God even the Father, i. e. to God the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ. This is the covenant title of God under the new dispensation, and presents the only ground on which he can be approached as our Father.

SECTION II.--Vs. 17-33.

21. Submitting yourselves one to another in the fear of God.
22. Wives, submit yourselves unto your own husbands, as unto the Lord.
23. For the husband is the head of the wife, even as Christ is the head of the church: and he is the Saviour of the body.
24. Therefore as the church is subject unto Christ, so let the wives be to their own husbands in every thing.
25. Husbands, love your wives, even as Christ also loved the church, and gave himself for it;
26. that he might sanctify and cleanse it with the washing of water by the word:
27. that he might present it to himself a glorious church, not having spot or wrinkle, or any such thing; but that it should be holy and without blemish.
28. So ought men to love their wives, as their own bodies. He that loveth his wife loveth himself.
29. For no man ever yet hated his own flesh; but nourisheth and cherisheth it, even as the Lord the church:
30. for we are members of his body, of his flesh, and of his bones.
31. For this cause shall a man leave his father and mother, and shall be joined unto his wife, and they two shall be one flesh.
32. This is a great mystery: but I speak concerning Christ and the church.
33. Nevertheless, let every one of you in particular so love his wife

even as himself: and the wife see that she reverence her husband.

ANALYSIS.

The apostle enjoins mutual obedience as a Christian duty, v. 21. Under this head he treats of the relative duties of husbands and wives, parents and children, masters and servants. The remainder of this relation is analogous to that which Christ sustains to the church, the one serves to illustrate the others. The apostle, therefore, combines the two subjects throughout the paragraph.

Wives should be subject to their husbands as the church is to Christ.

1. The motive to this subject is a regard to the Lord, v. 22. 2. The ground of it is, that the husband is the head of the wife, as Christ is the head of the church, v. 23. 3. This subjection is not confined to any one sphere, but extends to all, v. 24.

Husbands should love their wives. 1. The measure of this love is Christ's love for the church for whose redemption he died, vs. 25-27. 2. The ground of love is in both cases the same--the wife is flesh of her husband's flesh, and bone of his bone. So the church is flesh of Christ's flesh and bone of his bone. Husband and wife are one flesh; so are Christ and the church. What is true of the one is true of the other, vs. 29-31. 3. The union between Christ and his church is indeed of a higher order than that between husband and wife--nevertheless the analogy between the two cases is such as to render it obligatory on the husband to love his wife as being himself, and on the wife to reverence her husband, vs. 32-33.

COMMENTARY.

V. 21. That a new paragraph begins with this verse is generally conceded. First, because the preceding exhortations are evidently brought to a close in v. 20--with the words to God even the Father. And secondly, because the command to be obedient one to another, amplified

through this chapter and part of the next, does not naturally cohere with what precedes. This being the case, the participle *upotasso'menoi* being obedient, with which this verse begins, cannot be explained by referring it to the verb *plerousthe* in v. 18. The sense would then be, 'Be filled with the Spirit--submitting yourselves one to another.' This construction of the passage for the reasons just stated is rejected by most commentators. Others take the participle for the imperative and render the words, 'Be subject one to another.' But this is contrary to the usage of the language. The most common explanation is to connect this verse with the following, 'Being subject one to another (as ye are bound to be), ye wives be subject to your husbands.' From the general obligation to obedience follows the special obligation of wives, children, and servants, as explained in what follows.

This command to submit one to another is found in other passages of the New Testament, as in 1 Pet. 5, 5, "All of you be subject one to another, and be clothed with humility." Rom. 12, 10. Phil. 2, 3. The scriptural doctrine on this subject is that men are not isolated individuals, each one independent of all others. No man liveth for himself and no man dieth for himself. The essential equality of men and their mutual dependence lay the foundation for the obligation of mutual subjection. The apostle however is here speaking of the duties of Christians. It is, therefore, the Christian duty of mutual submission of which this passage treats. It not only forbids pride and all assumption of superiority, but enjoins mutual subjection, the subjection of a part to the whole, and of each one to those of his fellow believers with whom he is specially connected. Every Christian is responsible for his faith and conduct to his brethren in the Lord, because he constitutes with them one body having a common faith and a common life. The independency of one Christian of all others, or of one

Christian society of all similar societies, is inconsistent with the relation in which believers stand to each other, and with the express commands of Scripture.

We are to be thus subject one to another en phobo Christou. [18] This may mean either that the fear of Christ, at whose bar we are to stand in judgment, should constrain us to this mutual subjection; or that the duty should be religiously performed. The motive should be reverence for Christ, a regard for his will and for his glory. It is in this way all social duties, even the most humiliating, are raised into the sphere of religion, and rendered consistent with the highest elevation and liberty. This idea is specially insisted upon by the apostle when he comes to speak of the duty of servants to their masters. It ought not to escape the reader's notice that the relation in which this and similar passages suppose us to stand to Christ, is such as we can sustain to no other than to a divine person. He to whom we are responsible for all our conduct, and reverence for whom is the great motive to the performance of duty, is God.

V. 22. Wives, submit yourselves to your own husbands, as unto the Lord.

The general duty of mutual submission includes the specific duty of wives to be subject to their husbands, and this leads the apostle to speak of the relative duties of husbands and wives. And as the marriage relation is analogous to the relation between Christ and his church, he is thus led to illustrate the one by the other. As the relation is the same, the duties flowing from it are the same; obedience on the part of the wife, and love on the part of the husband. The apostle teaches the nature, the ground, and the extent of the obedience due from the wife to the husband.

As to the nature of it, it is religious. It is os to Kuri'o, as to the Lord. The os, as, does not express similarity, as though the obedience

of the wife to her husband was to be as devout and as unconditional as that which she is bound to render to the Lord. But her obedience to her husband is to be regarded as part of her obedience to the Lord. See 6, 5. 6. It terminates on him, and therefore is religious, because determined by religious motives and directed towards the object of the religious affections. This makes the burden light and the yoke easy. For every service which the believer renders to Christ, is rendered with alacrity and joy.

V. 23. But although the obedience of the wife to the husband is of the nature of a religious duty because determined by religious motives, it has in common with all other commands of God, a foundation in nature. The apostle, therefore, says, wives are to be obedient to their husbands, because the husband is the head of the wife, even as Christ is the head of the church. The ground of the obligation, therefore, as it exists in nature, is the eminency of the husband; his superiority in those attributes which enable and entitle him to command. He is larger, stronger, bolder; has more of those mental and moral qualities which are required in a leader. This is just as plain from history as that iron is heavier than water. The man, therefore, in this aspect, as qualified and entitled to command, is said to be the image and glory of God, 1 Cor. 11, 7; for, as the apostle adds in that connection, the man was not made out of the woman, but the woman out of the man; neither was the man created for the woman, but the woman for the man. This superiority of the man, in the respects mentioned, thus taught in Scripture, founded in nature, and proved by all experience, cannot be denied or disregarded without destroying society and degrading both men and women; making the one effeminate and the other masculine. The superiority of the man, however, is not only consistent with the mutual dependence of the sexes, and their essential equality of nature, and in

the kingdom of God, but also with the inferiority of men to women in other qualities than those which entitle to authority. The scriptural doctrine, while it lays the foundation for order in requiring wives to obey their husbands, at the same time exalts the wife to be the companion and ministering angel to the husband. The man, therefore, so far as this particular point is concerned, stands in the same relation to his wife, that Christ does to the church. There is however a relation which Christ bears to his church, which finds no analogy in that of the husband to the wife. Christ is not only the head of the church, but he is its Saviour, *kai autos esti sote`r tou so'matos*. Why the apostle added these words is not easy to determine. Perhaps it was to mark the distinction between the cases otherwise so analogous. Perhaps it was, as many suppose, to suggest to husbands their obligation to provide for the safety and happiness of their wives. Because Christ is the head of the church, he is its Saviour; therefore as the husband is the head of the wife, he should not only rule, but protect and bless. [19] The most probable explanation is, that as the apostle's design is not merely to teach the nature of the relation between husband and wife, but also that between Christ and the church, the clause in question is added for that purpose, without any bearing on the conjugal relation. This clause is not in apposition with the preceding, but is an independent proposition. Christ is the head of the church; and he is the Saviour of his body.

V. 24. But, *?alla`*, i. e. notwithstanding there is this peculiarity in the relation of Christ to the church which has no parallel in the relation of the wife to the husband, nevertheless, as the husband is the head of the wife, let the wife be subject to her husband in every thing, even as the church is subject to Christ her head.' Our translators give *?alla`* here a syllogistic force and render it,

therefore, as though it introduced the conclusion from the preceding argument. But this is contrary to the common use of the particle and is unnecessary, as its ordinary meaning gives a good sense.

As verse 22 teaches the nature of the subjection of the wife to her husband, and verse 23 its ground, this verse teaches its extent. She is to be subject *en panti*, in every thing. That is, the subjection is not limited to any one sphere or department of the social life, but extends to all. The wife is not subject as to some things, and independent as to others, but she is subject as to all. This of course does not mean that the authority of the husband is unlimited. It teaches its extent, not its degree. It extends over all departments, but is limited in all; first, by the nature of the relation; and secondly, by the higher authority of God. No superior, whether master, parent, husband or magistrate, can make it obligatory on us either to do what God forbids, or not to do what God commands. So long as our allegiance to God is preserved, and obedience to man is made part of our obedience to him, we retain our liberty and our integrity.

V. 25. As the peculiar duty of the wife is submission, the special duty of the husband is love. With regard to this the apostle teaches its measure and its ground. As to its measure it should be analogous to the love which Christ bears to his church. Its ground is the intimate and mysterious union which subsists between a man and his wife.

Husbands, love your wives, even as Christ also loved the church and gave himself for it. Husbands should love their wives, *kathos*, even as, i. e. both because and as. As their relation to their wives is analogous to that of Christ to his church, it imposes the obligation to love them as he loves the church. But Christ so loved the church as to die for it. Husbands, therefore, should be willing to die for their wives. This seems to be the natural import of the passage, and is the

interpretation commonly given to it. It has also its foundation in nature. Christ's love is held up as an example and a rule. His love is indeed elsewhere declared to be infinite. We cannot love as he loved, in any other sense than that in which we can be merciful as our Father in heaven is merciful. Nevertheless, it cannot be doubted that true conjugal love will ever lead the husband to sacrifice himself for his wife. [20]

Vs. 26. 27. As the apostle unites with his design of teaching the duties arising from the conjugal relation, the purpose to illustrate the nature of the union between Christ and his church, these verses relate to the latter point and not to the former. They set forth the design of Christ's death. Its remote design was to gain the church for himself as an object of delight. Its proximate design was to prepare it for that high destiny. These ideas are presented figuratively. The church is regarded as the bride of Christ. This is designed to teach--1. That it is an object of a peculiar and exclusive love. As the love which a bridegroom has for his bride is such as he has for no one else; so the love which Christ has for his church is such as he has for no other order of creatures in the universe, however exalted. 2. As the bride belongs exclusively to her husband, so the church belongs exclusively to Christ. It sustains a relation to him which it sustains to no other being, and in which no other being participates. 3. This relation is not only peculiar and exclusive, but the union between Christ and his church is more intimate than any which subsists between him and any other order of creatures. We are flesh of his flesh, and bone of his bones. 4. The church is the special object of delight to Christ. It is said of Zion, "As the bridegroom rejoices over the bride, so shall thy God rejoice over thee," Is. 62, 5. He is to present it to himself as his own peculiar joy. Such being the high destiny of the

church, the proximate end of Christ's death was to purify, adorn, and render it glorious, that it might be prepared to sit with him on his throne. She is to be as a bride adorned for her husband. These are not imaginations, nor exaggerations, nor empty figures; but simple, scriptural, sanctifying, and saving truths. And what is true of the church collectively, is true of its members severally. Each is the object of Christ's peculiar love. Each sustains to him this peculiar, exclusive, and intimate relation. Each is the object in which he thus delights, and each is to be made perfectly holy, without spot, and glorious.

Though the general sense of this passage is thus plain, there is no little difficulty attending the interpretation of its details. Christ, it is said, gave himself for the church, *?'i'na aute`n agia'se*, which Calvin renders, *Ut segregaret eam sibi*, that he might separate it for himself; which, he says, is done by the remission of sin, and the renewing of the Holy Ghost. Though the verb *hagiazein* has this sense, yet as in Paul's writings it is commonly used to express cleansing from pollution, and as this sense best suits the context, it is generally preferred. The design of Christ's death was to make his people holy. It accomplishes this end by reconciling them to God, and by securing for them the gift of the Holy Ghost. Thus in Gal. 3, 13. 14, it is said, "Christ has redeemed us from the curse of the law, that we might receive the promise of the Spirit."

With regard to the next clause, *kathari'sas to loutro tou u'datos*, having cleansed (or cleansing) it with the washing of water, we must inquire--1. What is intended by *loutron tou u'datos*. 2. What is meant by *kathari'sas*; and 3. In what relation this clause stands to the preceding. Does "the washing of water" here mean baptism, or a washing which is analogous to a washing with water? The latter interpretation

is admissible. The apostle may mean nothing more than a spiritual lustration. In Ez. 16, 9, speaking of Israel, God said, "Then washed I thee with water; yea, I thoroughly washed away thy blood from thee, and I anointed thee with oil." And in 36, 25, " Then will I sprinkle clean water upon you, and ye shall be clean." Also in Heb. 10, 22, it is said, "Let us draw near with a true heart, in full assurance of faith, having our hearts sprinkled from an evil conscience, and our bodies washed with pure water." In all these cases washing with water is a figurative expression for spiritual purification. Commentators, however, almost without exception understand the expression in the text to refer to baptism. The great majority of them, with Calvin and other of the Reformers, do not even discuss the question. or seem to admit any other interpretation to be possible. The same view is taken by all the modern exegetical writers. This unanimity of opinion is itself almost decisive. Nothing short of a stringent necessity can justify any one in setting forth an interpretation opposed to this common consent of Christians. No such necessity here exists. Baptism is a washing with water. It was the washing with water with which Paul's readers as Christians were familiar, and which could not fail to occur to them as the washing intended. Besides, nothing more is here attributed to baptism than is attributed to it in many other passages of the word of God. Compare particularly Acts 22, 16, "Arise, be baptized, and wash away thy sins, apo'lousai ta`s amarti'as sou." There can be little doubt, therefore, that by "the washing with water," the apostle meant baptism.

As to the meaning of the participle kathari'sas, there is more doubt. The verb signifies to cleanse either literally, ceremonially, or figuratively. As the Scriptures speak of a twofold purification from sin, one from guilt by expiation, the other from pollution by the

Spirit, and as kathari'zein is used in reference to both, the question is, which is here intended. Does the apostle speak of pardon, or of sanctification as effected by this washing with water? The word expresses sacrificial purification. Heb. 9, 22. 23. 1 John 1, 7, "The blood of Jesus Christ his Son cleanses us from all sin." Heb. 9, 14; comp. Heb. 1, 3, "Having by himself made purification of our sin." In favour of taking it in this sense here, is the fact that baptism is elsewhere connected with the remission of sin; as in Acts 22, 16, and Acts 2, 38, "Repent and be baptized every one of you in the name of Jesus Christ, for the remission of sins." The meaning of the word, however, depends upon its relation to the preceding clause. Kathari'sas may be connected with agia'se, and taken in the same tense with it. It then expresses the mode in which Christ cleanses his church. He gave himself for it that he might cleanse it, purifying it by the washing of water.' In this case, if agia'se expresses moral purification or sanctification, so must kathari'sas. But if this participle be taken in the past tense, according to its form, then it must express something which precedes sanctification. The meaning would then be, Christ gave himself for the church, that he might sanctify it, having purified it by the washing with water.' [21] In this case kathari'sas must refer to expiation or sacrificial purification, i. e. to washing away of guilt. The context is in favour of this view, and so is the analogy of Scripture. The Bible always represents remission of sin or the removal of guilt as preceding sanctification. We are pardoned and reconciled to God, in order that we may be made holy. Christ, therefore, having by his blood cleansed his church from guilt, sanctifies or renders it holy. In either view we are said to be cleansed (whether from guilt or from pollution). by baptism. What does this mean? How does baptism in either of these senses wash away sin? The Protestant and scriptural

answer to this question is, that baptism cleanses from sin just as the word does. We are said to be saved by the truth, to be begotten by the truth, to be sanctified by the truth. This does not mean--1. That there is any inherent, much less magic, power in the word of God as heard or read to produce these effects. 2. Nor that the word always and everywhere, when rightly presented, thus sanctifies and saves, so that all who hear are partakers of these benefits. 3. Nor does it mean that the Spirit of God is so tied to the word as never to operate savingly on the heart except in connection with it. For infants may be subjects of regeneration, though incapable of receiving the truth. In like manner when the Scriptures speak of baptism as washing away sin, Acts 22, 16; or as uniting us to Christ, Gal. 3, 27; or as making Christ's death our death, Rom. 6, 4; Col. 2, 12; or as saving us, 1 Pet. 3, 21; they do not teach--i. That there is any inherent virtue in baptism, or in the administrator, to produce these effects; nor 2. That these effects always attend its right administration; nor 3. That the Spirit is so connected with baptism that it is the only channel through which he communicates the benefits of redemption, so that all the unbaptized perish. These three propositions, all of which Romanism and Ritualism affirm, are contrary to the express declarations of Scripture and to universal experience. Multitudes of the baptized are unholy many of the unbaptized are sanctified and saved.

How then is it true that baptism washes away sin, unites us to Christ, and secures salvation? The answer again is, that this is true of baptism in the same sense that it is true of the word. God is pleased to connect the benefits of redemption with the believing reception of the truth. And he is pleased to connect these same benefits with the believing reception of baptism. That is, as the Spirit works with and by the truth, so he works with and by baptism, in communicating the

blessings of the covenant of grace. Therefore, as we are said to be saved by the word, with equal propriety we are said to be saved by baptism; though baptism without faith is as of little effect as is the word of God to unbelievers. The scriptural doctrine concerning baptism, according to the Reformed churches is--1. That it is a divine institution. 2. That it is one of the conditions of salvation.

"Whosoever believes and is baptized shall be saved," Mark 16, 16. It has, however, the necessity of precept, not the necessity of a means sine qua non. It is in this respect analogous to confession. "With the heart man believeth unto righteousness, and with the mouth confession is made unto salvation," Rom. 10, 10. And also to circumcision. God said, "The uncircumcised male child --should be cut off from his people," Gen. 17, 14. Yet children dying before the eighth day were surely not cut off from heaven. And the apostle teaches that if an uncircumcised man kept the law, his uncircumcision was counted to him for circumcision," Rom. 3, 26. 3. Baptism is a means of grace, that is, a channel through which the Spirit confers grace; not always, not upon all recipients, nor is it the only channel, nor is it designed as the ordinary means of regeneration. Faith and repentance are the gifts of the Spirit and fruits of regeneration, and yet they are required as conditions of baptism. Consequently the Scriptures contemplate regeneration as preceding baptism. But if faith, to which all the benefits of redemption are promised, precedes baptism, how can those benefits be said to be conferred, in any case, through baptism? Just as a father may give an estate to his son, and afterwards convey it to him formally by a deed. Besides, the benefits of redemption, the remission of sin, the gift of the Spirit, and the merits of the Redeemer, are not conveyed to the soul once for all. They are reconveyed and appropriated on every new act of faith, and on every new believing reception of the

sacraments. The sinner coming to baptism in the exercise of repentance and faith, takes God the Father to be his Father; God the Son, to be his Saviour; and God the Holy Ghost to be his Sanctifier, and his word to be the rule of his faith and practice. The administrator then, in the name and by the authority of God, washes him with water as a sign of the cleansing from sin by the blood of Christ, and of sanctification by the Holy Spirit; and as a seal to God's promise to grant him those blessings on the condition of the repentance and faith thus publicly avowed. Whatever he may have experienced or enjoyed before) this is the public conveyance to him of the benefits of the covenant, and his inauguration into the number of the redeemed. If he is sincere in his part of the service, baptism really applies to him the blessings of which it is the symbol. 4. Infants are baptized on the faith of their parents. And their baptism secures to them all the benefits of the covenant of grace, provided they ratify that covenant by faith; just as circumcision secured the benefits of the theocracy, provided those circumcised in infancy kept the law. The doctrine of baptismal regeneration, that is, the doctrine that inward spiritual renovation always attends baptism rightly administered to the unresisting, and that regeneration is never effected without it, is contrary to Scripture, subversive of evangelical religion, and opposed to universal experience. It is, moreover, utterly irreconcilable with the doctrine of the Reformed churches. For that doctrine teaches that all the regenerated are saved. "Whom God calls them he also glorifies," Rom. 8, 30. It is, however, plain from Scripture, and in accordance with the faith of the universal church, that multitudes of the baptized perish. The baptized, therefore, as such, are not the regenerated. The foregoing remarks are intended to show in what sense the Reformed understand this and similar declarations of Scripture. Christ purifies

his church by baptism. That is the initiatory rite; which signifies, seals, and applies to believers all the benefits of the Redeemer's death. The apostle is speaking of the church, the body and bride of Christ, and of the effect of baptism on those who constitute that church, not of its effect on those who are not included in the covenant and are aliens from the commonwealth of Israel. [22]

There is one other remark suggested by this passage. The turning point in the discussion between Baptists and Paedobaptists, so far as the mode of baptism is concerned, is, whether it is in its essential nature an immersion, or a washing. If the former, then there is but one mode in which it can be administered. If the latter, it may be administered in any mode by which washing can be effected, either by sprinkling, affusion, or immersion. In the passage before us, it is said to be a "washing with water."

The principal exegetical difficulty in this verse is the explanation of the words *en rhe'mati*, by the word. *Rhema* is used not only for any particular dictum, whether command, promise, or prophecy, but also for the word of God collectively, and that either with or without the article; Rom. 10, 8. 17. Eph. 6, 17. These words may be connected, as is commonly done, with the preceding clause, washing of water.' The idea then is that this washing with water is connected with the word. It is not an ordinary ablution, but one connected with the word of God. This is considered a description of baptism, which is by that connection distinguished from all other washings. By the word may then be understood either, the formula of baptism, or the promise of remission of sins and regeneration of which baptism is the sign and seal, and which is the special object of faith to the recipient of the sacrament. Luther's translation is, "Durch das Wasserbad im Wort;" according to the saying of Augustine, which he often quotes, *accedit*

verbum ad elementum et fit sacramentum. To this interpretation it is objected, first, that if rhema be made to mean any thing more than the word of God in general, whether the command to baptize, or the promise, or the formula of baptism, it must have the article. It should be, with the word. But the article is wanting in the Greek. Secondly, the obscurity of the expression, "washing of water with the word," or, "baptism with the word." Thirdly, that in order to justify the connection in question, the passage should read, to loutro tou hudatos to, or tou en rhemati. Had Paul thus written there would, indeed, be no question as to the connection intended, but the exceptions to the rule requiring the connecting article in such cases, are very numerous in Paul's writings. Still its absence is certainly in favour of seeking another construction, if such can be found. Others connect the words en rhemati with kathari'sas, and make them explanatory of the preceding clause, Having purified it by the washing of water, i. e. having purified it by the word.' But this is certainly unnatural, first because kathari'sas has in to loutro, ktl., its limitation; and secondly, because the phrase "washing with water," needs no explanation. The third method of explanation is to connect the words with agia'se, Christ cleansed his church, by the word, having purified it with the washing of water.' The sense is thus good. In John 17, 17, our Lord prays, "Sanctify them by thy truth;" and every where in Scripture the word of God is represented as the great means of sanctification. This interpretation is adopted by many of the best expositors, as Rückert, Meyer, and Winer The position of the words, however, is so decidedly in favour of the first mentioned explanation, that it has commanded the assent of the great body of interpreters.

V. 27. The ultimate end for which Christ gave himself for the church, and for which he sanctifies it, is to present it to himself, i. e. to

gain it for himself as his peculiar possession. There are two questions raised by commentators as to this verse. The first concerns the nature of the metaphor here employed; and the second, the time contemplated in which Christ is thus to present the church to himself. Some, although very few, argue from the character of the epithets, without spot and blameless, here applied to the church, that the figure is derived from law of sacrifices. Christ is to present the church to himself as an offering without defect. But 1. This is entirely out of keeping with the whole context, which has reference to the conjugal relation, and is intended to illustrate the union between Christ and the church, by a reference to that between the bridegroom and the bride. 2. The comparison of the church to an offering is not only out of keeping with the context, but with the whole current of scriptural representation. Whereas the comparison of it to a bride is appropriate and familiar. 3. The epithets in question, though often used in reference to sacrifices, are not only appropriate, but are actually employed to express personal or corporeal beauty, which is here the symbol of inward purity. A larger number of commentators take the ground that the end contemplated in this verse is accomplished in the present life. In other words, that the state of the church here described is one attained in this world. Of those who take this view, some, as the ancient Pelagians, interpret the passage as teaching that perfect holiness is not only attainable, but is actually attained by believers before death. Others do not understand the passage as speaking of holiness, but of propitiation, which is effected once for all. In this view it is parallel to Heb. 10, 10, where we are said to be "sanctified by the offering of the body of Christ once for all;" and ver. 14, where it is said, "By the one offering up of himself he hath for ever perfected them that are sanctified." Both of these passages in Hebrews

evidently refer to the perfection of Christ's sacrifice, and they undoubtedly prove, what no one questions, that the words *agiazein* and *katharizein* here used, may express sacrificial purification or expiation. But this is far from proving that these words, and especially the former, are to be so taken here. To sanctify is commonly, in Scripture language, to make spiritually holy, and this sense is far better suited to the context than any other meaning of the word. But if the design of Christ's death as here expressed is to render his church perfectly holy, then there can be no debate as to the time when this end is to be accomplished. For even should it be granted, that here and there one among the multitude of believers does attain perfection in this life, of which neither Scripture nor experience affords any example, still this cannot be affirmed of the whole body of believers. The great majority of commentators, therefore, from Augustin down to the present time, understand the apostle as stating what is to take place when Christ comes the second time to be admired in all them that believe. It is then, when the dead are raised in the likeness of the Son of God, and when those who shall be alive shall be changed--when this corruption shall have put on incorruption, and this mortal shall have put on immortality--it is then that the church shall be "as a bride prepared for her husband," Rev. 21, 2, and 19, 7-9.

Hina paraste'se depends upon what immediately precedes: "having purified it that he might present it," i. e. cause it to stand before or near him as a bride. So the apostle writing to the Corinthians says, he had "espoused them to one husband, *parthenon hagnen parastesai* to Christo, to present you as a chaste virgin unto Christ." Here the figure is somewhat different. Christ presents the church to himself, *auto's eauto* [23] he and no other, to himself. He does it. He gave

himself for it. He sanctifies it. He, before the assembled universe, places by his side the bride purchased with his blood. He presents it to himself a glorious church. That is glorious which excites admiration. The church is to be an object of admiration to all intelligent beings, because of its freedom from all defect, and because of its absolute perfection. It is to be conformed to the glorified humanity of the Son of God, in the presence of which the disciples on the mount became as dead men, and from the clear manifestation of which, when Christ comes the second time, the heavens and the earth are to flee away. God has predestined his people to be conformed to the image of his Son. And when he shall appear, we shall be like him, for we shall see him as he is, 1 John 3, 2. The figure is preserved in the description here given of the glory of the consummated church. It is to be as a faultless bride; perfect in beauty and splendidly adorned. She is to be without spot or wrinkle or any such thing, i. e. without any thing to mar her beauty, free from every indication of age, faultless and immortal. What is thus expressed figuratively is expressed literally in the last clause of the verse, that it should be holy and without blame, *agi'a kai` a'momos*. Compare 1, 4, where it is said God hath chosen us, *einai agious kai` amomous*. It is, therefore, the original purpose of election formed before the foundation of the world, that is to be fulfilled in this consummation of the church.

V. 28. So ought men to love their wives, as their own bodies. This does not mean that men ought to love their wives so as they love their own bodies; as though the particles *so* and *as*, *ou'tos* and *os*, stood related to each other. *Ou'tos*, so, at the beginning of the verse, refers to the preceding representation. As Christ loves the church and gave himself for it, and as the church is his body, so, in like manner and agreeably to the analogous relation between them, husbands should love their

wives as, i. e. as being, or because they are, their own bodies. Christ loves his church because it is his body. Husbands should love their wives because they are their bodies. Hos, as, before the latter member of the sentence is not comparative, but argumentative. It does not indicate the measure of the husband's love, as though the meaning were, he should love his wife as much as he loves his own body. But it indicates the nature of the relation which is the ground of his love. He should love his wife, because she is his body.

How is this to be understood? In what sense does the apostle say that the wife is the body of the husband, or, in the following verse, that they are one flesh? It is plain--1. That this does not refer to any material identification. When Adam said of Eve, "This is bone of my bones, and flesh of my flesh," Gen. 2, 23, reference was no doubt had to her being formed out of his substance. But as these terms are used to express the relation of all wives to their husbands, they must have some other meaning than sameness of substance. 2. It is also plain that these terms are not to be understood in any sense inconsistent with the separate subsistence of husband and wife as distinct persons. The consciousness of the one is not the consciousness of the other. 3. It is further plain that the marriage relation is not essential to the completeness or perfection of our nature, in all states of its existence. It is to cease at the resurrection. In the future state men are to be, in this respect, like the angels of God, neither marrying nor given in marriage. 4. On the other hand the marriage union is not merely one of interests and feeling. Husbands and wives are in such a sense one, that the husband is the complement of the wife and the wife of the husband. The marriage relation is necessary to the completeness of our nature and to its full development in the present state. Some indeed, as Paul, may attain a higher degree of perfection in celibacy

than in marriage. But this arises from some peculiarity of character or circumstances. There are faculties and virtues, excellencies and feelings, which are latent until developed in the conjugal relation.

The Romish doctrine, therefore, which degrades marriage as a state less holy than celibacy, is contrary to nature and the word of God. 5.

Besides this oneness between husband and wife arising from the original constitution of their nature, rendering the one necessary as the completion of the other, there is doubtless a oneness of life involved in our Lord's declaration, "They are no more twain, but one flesh," which no one can understand.

Such being the nature of marriage, it follows:--1. That it is a union for life between one man and one woman; and consequently that bigamy, polygamy, and voluntary divorce are all inconsistent with its nature. 2. That it must be entered into freely and cordially by the parties, i. e. with the conviction that the one is suited to the other, so that they may complement each other, and become one in the scriptural sense of those words. All coercion on the part of parents, therefore, is contrary to the nature of the relation; and all marriages of mere convenience are opposed to the design of the institution. 3. The State can neither make nor dissolve the marriage tie. It may enact laws regulating the mode in which it shall be solemnized and authenticated, and determining its civil effects. It may shield a wife from ill-usage from her husband, as it may remove a child from the custody of an incompetent or cruel parent. When the union is in fact dissolved by the operation of the divine law, the State may ascertain and declare the fact, and free the parties from the civil obligation of the contract.

But it is impossible that the State should have authority to dissolve a union constituted by God, the duties and continuance of which are determined by his law. 4. According to the Scriptures, as interpreted

by Protestant churches, nothing but the death of one of the parties, or adultery, or wilful desertion, can dissolve the marriage contract. When either of the last mentioned causes of dissolution is judicially ascertained and declared, the injured party is free to contract a new marriage.

It is of vital importance to the best interests of society that the true doctrine of marriage, as taught in this passage and in other portions of God's word, should be known and regarded. The highest social duty of a husband is to love his wife; and a duty which he cannot neglect without entailing great injury on his own soul as well as misery on his household. The greatest social crime, next to murder, which any one can commit, is to seduce the affections of a wife from her husband, or of a husband from his wife. And one of the greatest evils which civil authorities can inflict on society, is the dissolution of the marriage contract (so far as it is a civil contract, for further the civil authority cannot go), on other than scriptural grounds. The same remark may be made in reference to all laws which tend to make those two whom God has pronounced one, by giving to the wife the right to carry on business, contract debts, hold property, sue and be sued, in her own name. This is attempting to correct one class of evils at the cost of incurring others a hundred-fold greater. The word of God is the only sure guide of legislative action as well as of individual conduct.

If, as the Scriptures teach, husband and wife are one, he that loveth his wife loveth himself, for she is himself. This is the language of God, originally recorded in Gen. 2, 24, and repeated by our Lord, Matt. 19, 4-6, who after citing the passage in Genesis, adds, "Wherefore they are no more twain, but one flesh." Calvin, in his comment on the passage in Matthew, says, Hoc autem axioma sumit Christus, Ab initio

Deus marem adjunxit feminae, ut duo efficerent integrum hominem. Ergo qui uxorem repudiat, quasi dimidiam sui partem a seipso avellit. Hoc autem minime patitur natura, ut corpus suum quispiam discerpat. Neither God by the mouth of Moses, nor our Lord says simply that husband and wife ought to be, but that they are one. It is not a duty, but a fact which they announce. So also it is a fact which the apostle declares when he says, "He that loves his wife loves himself."

V. 29. Conjugal love, therefore, is as much a dictate of nature as self-love; and it is just as unnatural for a man to hate his wife, as it would be for him to hate himself, or his own body. A man may have a body which does not altogether suit him. He may wish it were handsomer, healthier, stronger, or more active. Still it is his body, it is himself; and he nourisheth it and cherishes it as tenderly as though it were the best and loveliest man ever had. So a man may have a wife whom he could wish to be better, or more beautiful, or more agreeable; still she is his wife, and by the constitution of nature and ordinance of God, a part of himself. In neglecting or ill-using her he violates the laws of nature as well as the law of God. It is thus Paul presents the matter. If the husband and wife are one flesh, the husband must love his wife, "for no man ever yet hated his own flesh, but nourisheth and cherisheth it." Ektre'phein is properly to nourish up), to train up by nurture, as a parent a child; comp. 6, 4. Thalpein is, to warm m, to cherish as a mother does an infant in her bosom. Both terms express tenderness and solicitude, and therefore both are suited to express the care with which every man provides for the wants and comfort of his own body.

Katho`s kai`, even as also, Christo`s te`n ekklesi'an, Christ the church, i. e. Christ also nourishes and cherishes the church as a man does his own body. The relation between a man and his wife is analogous

to that between a man and his own body. And the relation between Christ and his church is analogous to that between a husband and his wife; therefore Christ nourishes and cherishes the church as man does his own body.

V. 30. This verse assigns the reason of the preceding declaration.

Christ acts towards his church as a man does towards his body, for we are members of his body. This might mean simply that we stand to him in the same intimate and vital union, that a man's body sustains to the man himself. But the meaning is rendered more definite by the words which follow, *ek tes sarkos autou kai ek ton osteon autou*; [24] not members of, but derived from, and partakers of, his flesh and his bones. This is the signification of the words, whatever their meaning may be. *Ek* expresses derivation and participation. This is one of the most difficult passages in the Bible. The doctrine which it teaches is declared by the apostle, in a following verse, to be a great mystery. Any explanation, therefore, which dispels that mystery, and makes the doctrine taught perfectly intelligible, must be false. All that can properly be attempted is to guard against false interpretations, and leave the matter just where the apostle leaves it, as something to be believed and revered but not understood.

The lowest explanation of the passage before us is that which departs entirely from the signification of the words, and supposes that the apostle intended to teach nothing at all as to the nature of our union with Christ, but simply to affirm the fact. Husbands and wives are intimately united, and so are Christ and his church. This is no explanation at all. It is simply saying that the apostle meant nothing, or nothing specific, by what he says. The Scriptures teach in general terms that Christ and his people are one. When our Lord says they are one as the vine and its branches are one, he teaches something more

than the mere fact of union between himself and his people. So, too, when the apostle says the union in question is analogous to that between Adam and his posterity, he teaches not only the fact but also one aspect of its nature. In like manner, when he illustrates it by a reference to the conjugal relation, and says that the point of analogy is that as Eve was formed out of the flesh and bone of Adam, so we are partakers of the flesh and bones of Christ, it is impossible that nothing more should be meant than that we are united to him.

A second interpretation takes the words figuratively, and supposes the apostle meant that as Eve derived her physical existence from Adam, so we derive our spiritual existence from Christ. This interpretation has many advocates from Chrysostom downwards, but it is liable to the same objection as the preceding. It refuses to admit what the apostle asserts. He says not merely that we derive our life from Christ, which is true; but also that we derive our life from his flesh, and are partakers of it. This must mean something more specific than simply that Christ is the author of our life, and that he lives in us. [25]

A third view of the passage assumes that the reference is to the incarnation. We are partakers of the flesh of Christ because we have the same human nature which he assumed. In Heb. 2, 10, it is said, "Both he that sanctifieth and they who are sanctified are all of one," i. e. of one nature; and in ver. 14, " Forasmuch then as the children were partakers of flesh and blood, he also himself likewise took part of the same." These and similar passages do indeed prove that one of the essential elements of the union with Christ is this community of nature. And it is also true that the more specific union indicated in the text presupposes and rests upon the fact of the incarnation. But the incarnation cannot be what Paul here refers to. The incarnation consists in the eternal Son of God taking to himself a true body and a

reasonable soul; but the union here spoken of arises from our participation of Christ's body; that is, of his flesh and of his bones. It is not his taking our flesh and blood, but our partaking of his, after he had assumed them, that is here asserted. Besides, so far as the mere assumption of human nature is concerned, it is a bond of union between Christ and the whole human race; whereas the apostle is here speaking of a union with Christ peculiar to his people.

Fourth; Romanists, Lutherans, and the elder Calvinists, as Calvin himself and Beza, seek a solution of this passage in the Lord's Supper. As in that ordinance we are said to partake of the body and blood of Christ, it is assumed that the union here spoken of is that which is thereby effected. We are "one flesh" with him, because we partake of his flesh. This of course is differently understood according to the different views entertained of that sacrament. Romanists, believing that by the act of consecration the whole substance of the bread is transmuted into the substance of Christ's body, which is received by the communicant, of course believe that in the most literal sense of the words, we are flesh of his flesh. Lutherans, although they believe that the bread remains bread in the Eucharist after consecration, yet as they hold that the true body of Christ is locally present in, with and under the bread, and is received by the mouth, come to the same conclusion as to the nature of the union thereby effected. Partaking literally of Christ's flesh, Christians are literally of one flesh with him. Calvin did not hold that Christ's body was locally present in the Lord's Supper, nor that it was received by the mouth, nor that it was received in any sense by unbelievers. He did hold, however, that the substance of Christ's glorified body, as enthroned in heaven, was in some miraculous way communicated to believers together with the bread in that ordinance. He, therefore, understands the apostle as here

referring to that fact, and asserting that we are members of Christ's body because the substance of his body is in the Eucharist communicated to us. [26] There are two objections to these interpretations:--1.

That, according to the common belief of the Reformed churches, the Bible teaches no such doctrine concerning the Lord's Supper, as either of these several views of the passage supposes. 2. That there is not only no allusion to the Lord's Supper in the whole context, but the terms here employed are never used in Scripture when treating of that ordinance. "Body and blood" are the sacramental words always used, and never "flesh and bones." The reference is to the creation of woman and to the marriage relation, and not to the Eucharist.

Fifth; The advocates of that philosophical form of theology of which Schleiermacher was the founder, understand the passage before us to teach that we are partakers of the theanthropic life of Christ. The leading idea of that system, so far as the person of Christ is concerned, is the denial of all dualism. He has but one life. That life is not human, and not divine, but divine and human, or human made divine. Neither is there any dualism as to soul and body. These are the same life under different manifestations. To partake of Christ, is to partake of his life. To partake of his life, is to partake of his theanthropic nature. To partake of his theanthropic nature, is to partake of his human, as well as of his divine nature; and to partake of his human nature is to partake of his body as well as of his soul and divinity. We partake of the theanthropic nature of Christ, as we partake of the corrupt human nature of Adam. The life of Adam is the general life of his race, manifested in the individuals composing that race. The theanthropic life of Christ is the general life of the church, manifested in its members. The church is the development of Christ, as the human race is the development of Adam; or as the oak or

forest is the development of an acorn. As, therefore, we are said to be flesh of Adam's flesh and bone of his bones, in the same sense and with the same propriety, are we said to be flesh of Christ's flesh and bone of his bones. [27] The correctness of this explanation depends on the correctness of the system on which it is founded. As a theology, that system is a revival of the Sabellian and Eutychian heresies; and as a philosophy, it is in the last resort pantheistic. It makes the life of God and the life of man identical. God lives only in his creatures.

Sixth; We must content ourselves with briefly stating what the apostle affirms, guarding against a perversion of his language, and making some approximation to its meaning without pretending to dissipate the mystery which he teaches us rests upon the subject.

The text asserts--1. That we are members of Christ, s body. 2. That we are partakers of his flesh and of his bones, in such a sense that our relation to Christ is analogous to Eve's relation to Adam.

The three general interpretations of the passage are, First, That as Eve derived her physical life from Adam, so we derive our spiritual life from Christ. This says too little, as it leaves out of view the specific affirmation of the text. Second, That as Eve was formed out of the substance of Adam's body, so we are partakers of the substance of Christ's body. This is Calvin's interpretation, which includes the views given by Romanists, by Lutherans, and Transcendentalists. This goes beyond the declaration of the text, and imposes a meaning upon it inconsistent with the analogy of Scripture. The third interpretation takes a middle ground, and understands the apostle to teach, that as Eve derived her life from the body of Adam, so we derive our life from the body of Christ, and as she was partaker of Adam's life, so we are partakers of the life of Christ. The doctrine taught, therefore, is not community of substance between Christ and his people, but community of

life, and that the source of life to his people is Christ's flesh.

In support of this interpretation it may be urged: 1. That it leaves the passage in its integrity. It neither explains it away, nor does it make it assert more than the words necessarily imply. The doctrine taught remains a great mystery, as the apostle declares it to be. 2. It takes the terms employed in their ordinary and natural sense. To partake of one's flesh and blood. does not, in ordinary life nor according to scriptural usage, mean to partake of his substance, but it does mean to partake of his life. The substance of which the body of any adult is composed is derived exclusively from his food and from the atmosphere. A few years after the formation of Eve not a particle of Adam's body entered into the composition of her frame; and yet she was then as truly as at the beginning, bone of his bone and flesh of his flesh, because derived from him and partaker of his life. For the same reasons and in the same sense we are said to be flesh of Adam's flesh and bone of his bones, although in no sense partakers of the substance of his body. In like manner nothing is more common than to speak of the blood of a father flowing in the veins of his descendants, and of their being his flesh. This means, and can only mean, that they are partakers of his life. There is no community of substance possible in the case. What life is no man knows. But we know that it is not matter; and, therefore, there may be community of life, where there is no community of substance. There is a form of life peculiar to nations, tribes, families, and individuals; and this peculiar type is transmitted from generation to generation, modifying the personal appearance, the physical constitution, and the character of those who inherit it. When we speak of the blood of the Hapsburghs, or of the Bourbons, it is this family type that is intended and nothing material. The present Emperor of Austria derives his peculiar type of physical life from the head of

his race, but not one particle of the substance of his body. Husband and wife are in Scripture declared to be one flesh. But here again it is not identity of substance, but community of life that is intended.

As, therefore, participation of one's flesh does not in other connections, mean participation of his substance, it cannot be fairly understood in that sense when spoken of our relation to Christ. And as in all analogous cases it does express derivation or community of life, it must be so understood here.

3. It is clearly taught in Scripture that the union with Christ here described is essential to salvation. It is also clearly taught in the word of God, and held by all Protestants, though not by Romanists, that believers under the Old Dispensation were fully saved. Whatever, therefore, is the nature of the union with Christ here taught, it must be such as is common to believers who lived before and to those who live after the advent of Christ. It is possible that the saints under the Old Dispensation should have derived their life from the body of Christ, as he was the Lamb slain from the foundation of the world, but it is not possible that they could be partakers of the substance of his body, or of his glorified humanity. The passage before us, therefore, cannot teach any such community of substance.

4. The community of life with Christ and derivation of life from his flesh, which is the doctrine this interpretation supposes the passage before us to teach, is a doctrine elsewhere taught in Scripture. We are not only said to be saved by his body, Rom. 7, 4; by his blood, Eph. 2, 13; by his flesh, 2, 15; by the body of his flesh, Col. 1, 22; but his flesh is said to be our life, and participation of it is said to be the source of eternal life. "Except ye eat the flesh of the Son of Man, and drink his blood, ye have no life in you. Whoso eateth my flesh and drinketh my blood, hath eternal life." John 6, 53. 54.

The union, therefore, between Christ and his people is mysterious. It may be illustrated, but cannot be fully explained. It is analogous to the union between husband and wife, who are declared to be one flesh to express their community of life; and especially to the union between Adam and Eve because she derived her life from his flesh. As the relations are thus analogous, what is said of the one may be said of the other. To prove this, and to justify the use of the language which he had employed, the apostle cites the language of God in Gen. 2, 24. Ver. 31. For this cause shall a man leave his father and mother, and shall be joined unto his wife, and they two shall be one flesh. That is, because the relation between husband and wife is more intimate than any other, even than that between parents and children; therefore a man shall consider all other relations subordinate to that which he sustains to his wife, with whom he is connected in the bonds of a common life. As the Scripture speaks in such terms of the conjugal relation, the apostle was justified in using the same terms of the union between Christ and his people. They also are one flesh because they have a common life, and because his people derive their life from his flesh as Eve derived hers from the flesh of Adam.

The principal difficulty here relates to the connection. The passage stands thus: We are members of Christ's body, of his flesh, and of his bones. For this cause a man shall leave his father and mother, and be joined to his wife, and they two shall be one flesh.' There is an apparent incongruity between the premises and the conclusion. How does our being members of Christ's body, prove that a man should leave his father and mother and be joined to his wife? There are three methods of getting over this difficulty. First, some assume that there is no connection between the two verses, but that the 31st refers back to the 28th. The sense would then be, A man should love his wife, because she

is his body. For this cause, a man should leave his father and cleave to his wife,' &c. This method of solution is inconsistent both with what precedes and with what follows. It does not agree with what precedes, because the words, of his flesh, &c., in ver. 30, referring to Christ, form part of the passage in Genesis, the continuation of which is given in ver. 31. If the one refers to Christ, the other must. It contradicts what follows; for in ver. 32, the main idea contained in ver. 31 (they shall be one flesh), is expressly said to be affirmed in reference to Christ and the church.

The second method of explanation assumes an immediate connection between the two verses 30 and 31, and understands the whole of the latter to refer to the relation between Christ and his church. It then may be explained either in reference to the present, or the future. If to the present, the sense would be, We are members of Christ's body, and, therefore, he left his Father and all dear to him in heaven that he might be united to his people.' But how is it possible that the words, "a man shall leave his father and mother," can mean Christ left God and heaven? If the passage be understood in reference to the future, the meaning will be, We are members of Christ's body, and therefore hereafter when he comes the second time, he will leave his Father's throne, and take his church as his bride.' [28] But this view not only does the same violence to the meaning of the words, but is in direct contradiction to the whole context. Paul does not say that hereafter the church shall be united to Christ as his bride, but that his people are now members of his body, flesh of his flesh, and bone of his bones.

The third explanation assumes that the first part of the verse has no reference to Christ and the church, and that the passage is quoted from Genesis solely for the sake of the last words, they shall be one flesh.

The meaning and the connection then are, As Eve was formed out of the body of Adam, and therefore, it is said, a man shall leave his father and mother, and be joined to his wife, and they two shall be one flesh. So, since we are members of Christ's body, therefore, Christ and his church are one flesh.' This view is, 1. In entire accordance with the context. 2. It avoids the forced and unnatural interpretations which are unavoidable if the former part of the 31st verse be understood in reference to Christ. 3. It satisfies the demands of the 32d verse, which asserts that the words one flesh do refer to Christ and the church. And 4. It is in accordance with the usage of the apostles in quoting the language of the Old Testament. They often recite a passage of Scripture as it stands in the Old Testament, for the sake of some one clause or expression in it, without intending to apply to the case before them, any other portion of the passage quoted. In Heb. 2, 13, the whole stress and argument rest on the single word children; see also Gal. 3, 16. Very frequently the particles indicating the grammatical or logical connection of the passage in its position in the Old Testament, are included in the quotation, although entirely unsuited to the connection in which the passage is introduced. This is so frequently done as to be almost the rule. It is, therefore, not an arbitrary proceeding to make the last words of this verse refer to Christ, while the former part of it is made to refer to the context of the passage as it stands in Genesis.

V. 32. To` muste'rion touto me'ga esti'n, this mystery is great. The word mystery does not refer to the passage in Gen. 2, 24, as though the apostle intended to say that that passage had a mystical sense which he had just unfolded by applying it to the relation between Christ and his church. It is the union between Christ and his people. the fact that they are one flesh, he declares to be a great mystery. The word

muste'riion is used here, as it is every where else, for something hidden, something beyond the reach of human knowledge. Whether its being thus hidden arises from its lying in the future, or because of being imperfectly revealed, or because it is in its own nature incomprehensible, must be determined by the connection. In this place the last is probably the idea intended. The thing itself is beyond our comprehension. The Vulgate renders this passage, sacramentum hoc magnum est. The Latin word sacramentum, besides its usual classical sense, a sacred deposit,' was often used to signify any thing sacred, or which had a hidden import. In this latter sense it agrees in meaning with the word muste'riion, which also is used to designate something the meaning of which is hidden. Hence in the Vulgate it is often translated as it is here. In the Latin church the word sacramentum, however, gradually changed its meaning. Instead of being applied to every thing having a sacred or secret meaning, it was confined to those rites or acts which were assumed to have the power of conferring grace. This is the Romish idea of a sacrament. The Papal theologians taking the word in this sense here, and understanding the apostle to refer to marriage, quote this passage in proof that matrimony is a sacrament. The answer to this argument is obvious. In the first place, it is not marriage, but the union between Christ and his church, that Paul declares to be a muste'riion, and the Vulgate a sacramentum. And in the second place, neither the Greek nor Latin term means a sacrament in the Romish sense of the word. The Vulgate translates 1 Tim. 3, 16, magnum est pietatis sacramentum, which no Romanist understands as teaching that the manifestation of God in the flesh is a sacrament in the ecclesiastical meaning of the term.

V. 33. The relation of this verse to what precedes, as indicated by ple`n, admits of two explanations. That particle is used at the

beginning of a clause, after an interruption, to introduce the resumption of the main subject. It may be so here. The principal object of the whole paragraph from v. 21, is to unfold the true nature of the conjugal relation and its duties. With this was connected an exposition of the analogous relation between Christ and the church. This latter point in verses 30. 31, is the only one brought into view. Here the apostle reverts to the main subject. But, to resume my subject, let every one of you in particular so love his wife even as himself. This explanation is the one commonly adopted. Plen, however, may mean, nevertheless, as it is rendered in our version, and this verse be connected with the 32d. The relation between Christ and the church is a great mystery; nevertheless, do you also love your wives.' That is, although there is something in the relation between Christ and the church which infinitely transcends the conjugal relation, nevertheless there is sufficient analogy between the cases, to render it obligatory on husbands to love their wives as Christ loves his church. This view of the connection is to be preferred, especially because of the words kai` umeis, you also, which evidently suppose the reference is to what immediately precedes.

Humeis oi kath' e'na, you severally, e'kastos te`n eautou gunaika ou'tos agapa'to os eauto'n, let each one so love his wife as himself. The construction varies; the verb agapa'to being made to agree with e'kastos, instead of umeis the real subject. The meaning is the same as in ver. 28. The husband is to love his wife as being himself. In the next clause (e de` gune` i'na phobetai to`n a'ndra), e de` gune` is the nominative absolute, and i'na depends on a verb understood. But as to the woman, let her see, that she reverence her husband. The word phobeo may express the emotion of fear in all its modifications and in all its degrees from simple respect, through reverence, up to adoration,

according to its object. It is, however, in all its degrees an acknowledgment of superiority. The sentiments, therefore, which lie at the foundation of the marriage relation, which arise out of the constitution of nature, which are required by the command of God, and are essential to the happiness and well-being of the parties, are, on the part of the husband, that form of love which leads him to cherish and protect his wife as being himself, and on the part of the woman, that sense of his superiority out of which trust and obedience involuntarily flow.

[16] The common text has *este*, but the evidence in favour of *i'ste* is so strong that it is adopted by all recent editors.

[17] The common text has here *pneumatōs* instead of *photos*. The latter reading is now universally adopted as the correct one on the authority not only of the MSS. but of the context.

[18] The common text reads *Theou*, but the authority of the MSS. and versions is so decidedly in favour of *Christou* that it is now universally adopted.

[19] *Sicuti Christus ecclesiae suae praeest in ejus salutem, ita nihil esso mulieri utilius nec magis salubre, quam ut marito subsit. Perire igitur affectant quae renuunt subjectionem, sub qua salvae esse poterant.*--CALVIN.

[20] The idea that all love, and therefore all holiness, is benevolence, and is proportioned to the capacity of its object, is one of those absurdities into which men inevitably fall when they give themselves up to the guidance of the speculative understanding, and disregard the teachings of the heart and of the conscience. A mother loves her infant, in every true sense of the word love: a hundred fold more than she loves a stranger, though he may be the greatest man who

ever lived.

[21] Participium Graecum kathari'sas est praeteriti temporis, ac si dicas: Postquam mundarit. Verum quia apud Latinos nullum est tale participium activum, malui tempus negligere, quam vertendo Mundatum pervertere quod erat longe majoris momenti, nempe ut soli Deo relinquatur mundandi officium.

[22] Quod Baptismo nos ablui docet Paulus, ideo est, quod illic nobis ablutionem nostram testatur Deus, et simul efficit quod figurat. Nisi enim conjuncta esset rei veritas, aut exhibitio, quod idem est, impropria haec loquutio esset. Baptismus est lavacrum animae. Interea cavendum, ne quod unius Dei est, vel ad signum, vel ad ministrum transferatur; hoc est, ut minister censeatur ablutionis auctor, ut aqua putetur animae sordes purgare; quod non nisi Christi sanguini convenit. Denique cavendum, ne ulla fiduciae nostrae portio vel in elemento, vel in homine haereat. Quando hic demum verus ac rectus sacramenti usus est, recta nos ad Christum manu ducere, et in ipso sistere. Quod autem aliqui in hoc baptismi elogio magis extenuando sudant, ne signo nimium tribuatur, si vocetur animae lavacrum; perperam faciunt. Nam primum apostolus non docet signum esse, quod mundet sed asserit solius Dei esse opus. Est ergo Deus qui mundat; nec transferri hoc honoris ad signum fas est, aut signo communicari. Verum signo Deum tanquam organo uti, non est absurdum; non quia virtus Dei inclusa sit in signo, sed quia nobis eam pro imbecilitatis nostrae captu tali adminiculo distribuat. Id quosdam male habet, quia putant Spiritui sancto auferri, quod est ejus proprium et quod illi scriptura passim vindicat. Sed falluntur; nam ita Deus per signum agit, ut tota signi efficacia nihilominus a Spiritu suo pendeat. Ita nihil plus signo tribuitur, quam ut sit inferius organum, et quidem a seipso inutile, nisi quatenus aliunde vim suam mutuatur. Quod praeterea verentur ne libertas Dei sit

alligatur, frivolum est. Neque enim affixa est signis Dei gratia, quin citra adminiculum signi libere eam distribuat, si velit, deinde multi signum recipiunt, qui tamen gratiae non fiunt participes, quia signum omnibus est commune, hoc est, bonis indifferenter ac malis; Spiritus autem non nisi electis confertur; ac qui signum, ut diximus, absque Spiritu est inefficax. CALVIN.

[23] The common Text reads *autem* instead of *auto`*s. The latter reading on the authority of the MSS. ABDFG, has, since Griesbach, been almost universally adopted.

[24] These words are omitted in MSS. A B 17, and in the Coptic and Ethiopic versions, and are left out of the text by Lachmann and Tischendorf. The other Uncial MSS., the Syriac version, the Fathers, are in their favour. They are required by the context, and their omission is easily accounted for. Even Mill and Griesbach retain them, as do all other editors, and the commentators almost without exception.

[25] Diese Form des Ausdrucks ist Reminiscenz von Gen. 2, 23, wo Adam die Entstehung der Eva aus seinem Gebeinen und aus seinem Fleische ausspricht, welcher Entstehung das genetische Verhältniss der Christen zu Christo analog ist, natürlich nicht physich, sondern im geistlichen, mystischen Sinne, in so fern die christliche Dasein und Wesen der Christen, aus Christo originirt, in Christo sein Principium essendi hat, wie physicher Weise Eva aus Adam herrührte. MEYER.

[26] Dicit nos esse ejus membra, ex carne et ossibus. Primum non est hyperbolica loquutio, sed simplex; deinde non tantum significat Christum esse naturae nostrae participem, sed altius quiddam exprimere voluit, kai emphatikoton. Refert enim Mosis verba, Gen. 2, 24. Quis ergo exit sensus? quemadmodum Heva ex Adae mariti sui substantia formata est, ut esset quasi pars illius; ita nos ut simus vera Christi membra, substantiae ejus communicatione nos coalescere in unum corpus.

Denique eam nostri, cum Christo unionem hic Paulus describit, cujus in sacra coena symbolum et pignus nobis datur . . . Paulus nos ex membris et ossibus Christi esse testatur. Miramur ergo si corpus suum in coena fruendum nobis exhibet, ut sit nobis vitae aeternae alimentum? ita ostendimus nullam nos in coena representationem docere, nisi cujus effectus et veritas hic a Paulo praedicatur. CALVIN. On the following verse, he says, Totum autem ex eo pendet quod uxor ex carne et ex ossibus viri formata est. Eadem ergo unionis ratio inter nos et Christum, quod se quodammodo in nos transfundit. Neque enim ossa sumus ex ossibus ejus, et caro ex carne, quia ipse nobiscum est homo; sed quia Spiritus sui virtute nos in corpus suum inserit, ut vitam ex eo hauriamus.

[27] OLSHAUSEN, in his comment on this verse, says: Nicht die geistige Geburt ist es zunächst, von der hier die Rede ist, die leibliche Seite wird hier und v. 31, zu ausdrücklich hervorgehoben; es ist die Selbstmittheilung seines göttlich-menschlichen Wesens, wodurch Christus uns zu seinem Fleisch und Bein macht, er giebt den Seinigen sein Fleisch zu essen, sein Blut zu trinken. On the following verse he remarks: Wie wir zu v. 30, sahen, dass die Gläubigen von Christi Fleisch und Bein sind, weil sie seiner verklärten Leiblichkeit theilhaftig wurden; so ist hier auch die sarx mia mit Beziehung auf die Mittheilung des Fleisches und Blutes Christi an seine Gläubiger zu verstehen. Dies sein göttlich-menschliches Wesen theilt der Erlöser zwar auch im Glauben mit (John 6, 45) aber die intensivste, concentrirteste Mittheilung desselben erfolgt im heiligen Abendmahl.

[28] Deshalb, weil wir Glieder Christi, von seinem Fleisch und von seinem Bein sind, wird verlassen ein Mensch (d. i. Christus, bei der Parusie) seinen Vater und seine Mutter (d. i. nach der mystischen Deutung Pauli: er wird seinen Sitz zur Rechten Gottes verlassen) und

vereinigt werden mit seinem Weibe (mit der Gemeinde), und (und dann)
werden die Zwei (der Mann und die Frau, d. i. der herabgestiegene
Christus und die Gemeinde) zu Einem Fleische sein (Eine ethische Person
ausmachen). MEYER.

CHAPTER VI: RELATIVE DUTIES OF PARENTS AND CHILDREN AND OF MASTERS AND SERVANTS, OF MASTERS AND SERVANTS,

RELATIVE DUTIES OF PARENTS AND CHILDREN AND OF MASTERS AND SERVANTS,
VS. 1-9.--EXHORTATIONS AND DIRECTIONS AS TO THE SPIRITUAL CONFLICT, vs.
10-20.--CONCLUSION, VS. 21-24.

SECTION I.--Vs. 1-9.

1. Children, obey your parents in the Lord: for this is right.
2. Honour thy father and mother, (which is the first commandment with promise,)
3. that it may be well with thee, and thou mayest live long on the earth.
4. And, ye fathers, provoke not your children to wrath: but bring them up in the nurture and admonition of the Lord.
5. Servants, be obedient to them that are your masters according to the flesh, with fear and trembling, in singleness of your heart, as unto Christ;
6. not with eye-service, as men-pleasers; but as the servants of Christ, doing the will of God from the heart;
7. with good will doing service, as to the Lord, and not to men:
8. knowing that whatsoever good thing any man doeth, the same shall he receive of the Lord, whether he be bond or free.
9. And, ye masters, do the same things unto them, forbearing threatening: knowing that your Master also is in heaven; neither is there respect of persons with him.

ANALYSIS.

Children should obey their parents. This obedience should be in the Lord, determined and regulated by a regard to Christ, v. 1. The ground

of the obligation is--1. It is itself right. 2. It is enforced by an express command in the decalogue, to which a special promise is annexed, vs. 1-3.

Parents should do nothing to cherish evil feelings in the minds of their children, but bring them up in the discipline of Christianity, vs. 4, 5.

Servants should be obedient to their masters. This obedience should be rendered--1. With solicitude. 2. with singleness of mind. 3. As part of their obedience to Christ, v. 5. Therefore, not only when observed by men or from the desire to please men, but as serving Christ and desiring to please him; rendering their services with readiness as to the Lord and not to men; because they know that at his bar all men, whether bond or free, shall be treated according to their works, vs. 6-8.

Masters are to act on the same principles of regard to the authority of Christ, and of their responsibility to him in their conduct towards their slaves, avoiding all harshness, because master and slave have a common Master in heaven; with whom there is no respect of persons, v. 8.

COMMENTARY.

V. 1. Children, obey your parents. The nature or character of this obedience, is expressed by the words, in the Lord. It should be religious; arising out of the conviction that such obedience is the will of the Lord. This makes it a higher service than if rendered from fear or from mere natural affection. It secures its being prompt, cordial and universal. That Kurios here refers to Christ is plain from the whole context. In the preceding chapter, v. 21, we have the general exhortation under which this special direction to children is included, and the obedience there required is to be rendered in the fear of

Christ. In the following verses also Kurios constantly has this reference, and therefore must have it here. The ground of the obligation to filial obedience is expressed in the words, for this is right. It is not because of the personal character of the parent, nor because of his kindness, nor on the ground of expediency, but because it is right; an obligation arising out of the nature of the relation between parents and children, and which must exist wherever the relation itself exists.

V. 2. This consideration is enforced by a reference to the express command of God. The duty is so important as to be included in that brief summary of the moral law given by God on Mount Sinai. It was engraven by the finger of God on the tables of stone, Honour thy father and thy mother. Any flagrant breach of this command was, according to the Mosaic law, punished with death. To honour is to reverence; and, therefore, the command has reference to the inward feeling as well as to the outward conduct. This precept is said to be *pro'te, en epangele'i'a*. This may mean, it is the first commandment in the decalogue which has a specific promise attached; for the promise connected with the second commandment does not relate to the observance of that particular precept, but to keeping God's covenant. Or it may mean that it is the first commandment of the second table of the law, and has a promise annexed; or, *pro'te* may be taken here as in Mark 12, 28. 30, in the sense of chief, i. e. the first in importance. The sense would then be, Honour thy father and mother; this is the prime commandment, the first in importance among those relating to our social duties; and it has the specific promise annexed. It shall be well with thee on the earth.' This view of the passage is on the whole to be preferred. It is not likely that Paul would call this "the first commandment with promise," when it is in fact the only command in the decalogue which

has any specific promise annexed to it. And to say that it is the first in order of arrangement in the second table of the law, not only adds nothing to its importance, but supposes the apostle to refer to a distinction between the two tables of the decalogue, not elsewhere recognized in Scripture.

The promise itself has a theocratical form in the Old Testament. That is, it has specific reference to prosperity and length of days in the land which God had given to his people as their inheritance. The apostle generalizes it by leaving out the concluding words, and makes it a promise not confined to one land or people, but to obedient children every where. If it be asked whether obedient children are in fact thus distinguished by long life and prosperity? The answer is, that this, like all other such promises, is a revelation of a general purpose of God, and makes known what will be the usual course of his providence. That some obedient children are unfortunate and short lived, is no more inconsistent with this promise, than that some diligent men are poor, is inconsistent with the declaration, The hand of the diligent maketh rich.¹ Diligence, as a general rule, does secure riches; and obedient children, as a general rule, are prosperous and happy. The general promise is fulfilled to individuals, just so far "as it shall serve for God's glory, and their own good."

V. 4. The duty of parents, who are here represented by the father, is stated in a negative and positive form. And ye fathers, provoke not your children to wrath. This is what they are not to do. They are not to excite the bad passions of their children by severity, injustice, partiality, or unreasonable exercise of authority. A parent had better sow tares in a field from which he expects to derive food for himself and family, than by his own ill conduct nurture evil in the heart of his child. The positive part of parental duty is expressed in the

comprehensive direction, all' ektre'phete auta` en paidei'a kai` nouthesi'a Kuri'ou, i. e. educate them, bring them up, developing all their powers by (en instrumental) the instruction and admonition of the Lord. Paidei'a is a comprehensive word; it means the training or education of a child, including the whole process of instruction and discipline. Nouthesi'a, from noutheteo (nous, tithemi) to put in mind, is included under the more general term, and is correctly rendered admonition. It is the act of reminding one of his faults or duties. Children are not to be allowed to grow up without care or control. They are to be instructed, disciplined, and admonished, so that they be brought to knowledge, self-control, and obedience. This whole process of education is to be religious, and not only religious, but Christian. It is the nurture and admonition of the Lord, which is the appointed and the only effectual means of attaining the end of education. Where this means is neglected or any other substituted in its place, the result must be disastrous failure. The moral and religious element of our nature is just as essential and as universal as the intellectual. Religion therefore is as necessary to the development of the mind as knowledge. And as Christianity is the only true religion, and God in Christ the only true God, the only possible means of profitable education is the nurture and admonition of the Lord. That is, the whole process of instruction and discipline must be that which he prescribes, and which he administers, so that his authority should be brought into constant and immediate contact with the mind, heart and conscience of the child. It will not do for the parent to present himself as the ultimate end, the source of knowledge and possessor of authority to determine truth and duty. This would be to give his child a mere human development. Nor will it do for him to urge and communicate every thing on the abstract ground of reason; for that would be to merge his child

in nature. It is only by making God, God in Christ, the teacher and ruler, on whose authority every thing is to be believed and in obedience to whose will every thing is to be done, that the ends of education can possibly be attained. It is infinite folly in men to assume to be wiser than God, or to attempt to accomplish an end by other means than those which he has appointed.

V. 5. The five following verses treat of the relative duties of masters and servants. *Doulos* and *kurios* are here relative terms, although in Greek the antithetical term to *doulos* is commonly *despotes*, as in 1 Tim. 6, 1; Titus 2, 9; compare also 1 Pet. 2, 18. *Doulos*, from *deo*, to bind, means a bondman, or slave, as distinguished from a hired servant, who was called *misthios* or *misthotos*. That such is its meaning here is plain not only from the common usage of the word, but also from the antithesis between *doulos* and *eleutheros*, bond and free, in v. 8.

Kurios, means possessor, owner, master. It implies the relation which a man may bear both to persons and things. The nature of that relation, or the kind and degree of authority involved in it, however, is not determined by the word, but in each case by the context. It is evident both from the meaning of the terms here used, and from the known historical fact that slavery prevailed throughout the Roman empire during the apostolic age, that this and other passages of the New Testament refer to that institution. It is dealt with precisely as despotism in the State is dealt with. It is neither enjoined nor forbidden. It is simply assumed to be lawful, so that a Christian may consistently be an autocrat in the State, or a master of slaves. In this view the scriptural doctrine on this subject, differs on the one hand, from the doctrine that slave-holding is in itself sinful, on the ground that one man cannot lawfully possess or exercise the rights and authority over his fellow-men, which are involved in the relation of a

master to his slaves. This of necessity leads to setting up a rule of faith and practice higher than the Scriptures, and thus tends to destroy their authority. It leads to uncharitable feelings and to unrighteous judgments, as well as to unwarrantable measures for abating the evil. On the other hand, the scriptural doctrine is opposed to the opinion that slavery is in itself a desirable institution, and as such to be cherished and perpetuated. This leads to results no less deplorable than the other error. As slavery is founded on the inferiority of one class of society to another, the opinion that it ought to be cherished naturally leads to the adoption of means to increase or to perpetuate that inferiority, by preventing the improvement of the subject class. It presents also a strong temptation to deny the common brotherhood of men, and to regard the enslaved as belonging to an inferior race. The great mistake of those who adopt the former error, is--1. That they assume the right of property in the master to extend to more than the services of the slave. The only right of property possible in the case is a right to use the slave as a man possessing the same nature with his master, and may, by the law of God and the constitution of things, be properly used. And 2. The confounding slave-laws with slavery, which is as unreasonable as to confound despotism as a form of civil government, with the laws of any particular despotic state. Those laws may be good or bad. Their being bad, as they too often are, does not prove either in the case of despotism or slavery that the institution itself is contrary to the divine law. The mistake of those who hold the other extreme opinion on this subject, so far as the Bible is concerned, is that what the Scriptures tolerate as lawful under given circumstances, may be cherished and rendered perpetual. This is as unreasonable, as to maintain that children should, if possible, always remain minors.

The Bible method of dealing with this and similar institutions is to enforce, on all concerned, the great principles of moral obligation--assured that those principles, if allowed free scope, will put an end to all evils both in the political and social relations of men. The apostle, therefore, without either denouncing or commending slavery, simply inculcates on master and slave their appropriate duty. On the slave he enjoins the duty of obedience. In the expression, masters, according to the flesh, there is evidently an implied reference to a higher authority. It limits the authority of the master to what is external; the soul being left free. The slave has two masters; the one kata sarka, the other kata pneuma. The one, man; the other, Christ. The directions here given relate to their duty to the former. As to the nature of the obedience required, the apostle teaches--1. That it should be rendered meta pho'bou kai` tro'mou, with fear and trembling, i. e. with conscientious solicitude. That nothing servile is intended by these terms is plain from the context, and from a comparison with other passages in which the same expression is used. It is not the fear of man, but the reverential fear of God of which the apostle speaks, as what follows clearly proves. In 1 Cor. 2, 3, Paul tells the Corinthians that he came among them "with fear and trembling;" and in 2 Cor. 7, 15, he speaks of their having received Titus, "with fear and trembling;" and in Phil. 2, 12, he exhorts believers to work out their salvation "with fear and trembling." In all of these cases solicitude to do what is right is all the terms imply.

2. This obedience is to be rendered en aplo'teti tes kardi'as, with simplicity of heart, i. e. with singleness of mind--meaning just what we appear to mean. It is opposed to hypocrisy, false pretence, deceit and cunning. Compare Rom. 12, 8; 2 Cor. 8, 2; 9, 11. The word aplo'tes signifies singleness, from haploos, one-fold, as opposed to diploos,

two-fold, or, double. The thing enjoined is, therefore, the opposite of double-mindedness. 3. This obedience is to be rendered os to Christo, as to Christ. Slaves were to regard their obedience to their masters as part of their obedience to Christ. This would give it the character of a religious service, because the motive is regard to divine authority, and its object is a divine person. It thus ceases to be servile, and becomes consistent with the highest mental elevation and spiritual freedom.

V. 6. The apostle explains in the two following verses what he means by simplicity of heart, or sincere obedience. It is not eye-service. That is, such service as is rendered only when the eye of the master sees what is done; as though the only object were to please men. Servants are required to act as the douloi tou Christou, the slaves of Christ, whose eyes are every where; and, therefore, if their desire is to please him, they must be as faithful in their master's absence as in his presence. Poiountes to` the'lema tou Theou, doing the will of God. This is descriptive of the servants of Christ, in opposition to men-pleasers. They act from a regard to the will of God, and from a desire to please him,--ek psuches, ex animo, from the soul. Sometimes psuche means the seat of the desires and affections, and then agrees in sense with kardia. Sometimes the two are distinguished, as in Mark 12, 30, " with all the heart (kardia,) and with all the soul (psuche)." Here the sense is, that the principle of obedience is nothing external, but is within. It is an obedience which springs from the soul--the whole inner man. These words are commonly and most naturally connected with the preceding clause; doing the will of the Lord from the soul.' By many commentators and editors they are connected with what follows, from the soul, with good will, doing service.' This gives douleu'ontes two nearly equivalent qualifying clauses, and leaves the preceding

participate *poiou?ntes* without any.

V. 7. The whole character of the obedience of the slave is summed up in this verse, *douleu'ontes, os to Kuri'o kai` ouk anthro'pois*, doing service, to the Lord and not to men. This, as the Scriptures teach, is not peculiar to the obedience of the slave to his master, but applies to all other cases in which obedience is required from one man to another. It applies to children in relation to their parents, wives to husbands, people to magistrates. Those invested with lawful authority are the representatives of God. The powers (i. e. those invested with authority) are ordained by God; and therefore all obedience rendered to them out of regard to his will, is obedience to Him. And as obedience to God is rendered to one infinitely true and good, it is even more elevating than obedience to truth and goodness. Foreign as all this is to the proud and rebellious heart of man, which spurns all superiority and authority, it is daily illustrated by the cheerful and patient submission of the people of God even to the capricious and unreasonable exercise of the authority of those to whom God has placed them in subjection. It is to be remarked that the apostle presents this principle not merely in a religious, but a Christian form. We are required to do service, as to the Lord, and not to men. It is to Christ, God manifested in the flesh; to him, who being in the form of God, thought it no robbery to be equal with God, but humbled himself, taking on him the condition of a slave, *morphen doulou labon*; it is to this infinitely exalted and infinitely condescending Saviour, who came not to be served, but to serve, that the obedience of every Christian, whether servant, child, wife, or subject, is really and consciously rendered. Thus the most galling yoke is made easy, and the heaviest burden light.

The words *met' eunoias* qualify *douleuontes*, with a willing mind doing

service. This stands opposed to the sullenness and inward indignation with which a service extorted by fear of punishment is often rendered. No service rendered to Christ can be of that character. It is rendered with alacrity and cheerfulness.

V. 8. This verse presents for the encouragement of the slave, the elevating truth that all men stand on a level before the bar of Christ. In him and before him, there is neither Jew nor Greek, bond nor free, male nor female, but so far as these external distinctions are concerned, all are alike. The apostle, therefore, says to slaves, render this cheerful obedience, eido'tes knowing, i. e. because ye know, that whatsoever good thing any man doeth, the same shall he receive of the Lord, whether he be bond or free. In this world some men are masters and some are slaves. In the next, these distinctions will cease. There the question will be, not, Who is the master? and, Who the slave? but who has done the will of God? In this clause o' ea'n ti is for o', ti ean, as it is in Col. 3, 23, ean being for an. Komizomai is to receive for one self, to receive back as a recompense. 2 Cor. 5, 10. At the bar of Christ and from his hands every man shall receive according to his works, whether bond or free.

V. 9. Having enjoined on slaves their peculiar duties, the apostle turns to masters. ?Kai` oi ku'rioi, and ye masters. The force of kai here is--Not slaves only have their duties; you masters have your peculiar obligations.' The duty of masters is expressed by the comprehensive words, ta` auta` poieite pro`s autou's, do the same things towards them. This does not refer exclusively to met' eunoias in the preceding clause, as though the sense were, As slaves are to obey with kind feeling, so masters are to rule in the same temper.' The reference is more general. Masters are to act towards their slaves with the same regard to the will of God, with the same recognition of the

authority of Christ, with the same sincerity and good feeling which had been enjoined on the slaves themselves. Masters and slaves are men and brethren, the same great principles of moral and religious obligation govern both classes. In the parallel passage, Col. 4, 1, the expression is, *oi ku'rioi, to` di'kaion, kai` te`n iso'teta tois dou'lois* *pare'chesthe*, ye masters, give unto your servants that which is just and equal. That is, act towards them on the principles of justice and equity. Justice requires that all their rights, as men, as husbands, and as parents should be regarded. And these rights are not to be determined by the civil law, but by the law of God. "As the laws," says Calvin, "gave great license to masters, many assumed that every thing was lawful which the civil statute allowed; and such was their severity that the Roman emperors were obliged to restrain their tyranny. But although no edicts of princes interposed in behalf of the slave, God concedes nothing to the master beyond what the law of love allows." Paul requires for slaves not only what is strictly just, but *te`n iso'teta*. What is that? Literally, it is equality. This is not only its signification, but its meaning. Slaves are to be treated by their masters on the principles of equality. Not that they are to be equal with their masters in authority, or station, or circumstances; but they are to be treated as having, as men, as husbands, and as parents, equal rights with their masters. It is just as great a sin to deprive a slave of the just recompense for his labour, or to keep him in ignorance, or to take from him his wife or child, as it is to act thus towards a free man. This is the equality which the law of God demands, and on this principle the final judgment is to be administered. Christ will punish the master for defrauding the slave as severely as he will punish the slave for robbing his master. The same penalty will be inflicted for the violation of the conjugal or parental rights of the one as of the

other. For, as the apostle adds, there is no respect of persons with him. At his bar the question will be, 'What was done?' not 'Who did it?' Paul carries this so far as to apply the principle not only to the acts, but to the temper of masters. They are not only to act towards their slaves on the principles of justice and equity, but are to avoid threatening. [29] This includes all manifestations of contempt and ill-temper, or undue severity. All this is enforced by the consideration that masters have a master in heaven to whom they are responsible for their treatment of their slaves. The common text has here the reading *kai` umon auton o ku'rio's*--your master. Lackman, Rückert, Harless, Meyer and others adopt the reading *auton kai` umon*, of them and of you, i. e. your common master as in heaven.

It is thus that the Holy Spirit deals with slavery. Slaves are not commanded to refuse to be slaves, to break their bonds and repudiate the authority of their masters. They are required to obey with alacrity and with a sincere desire to do their duty to their masters, as part of their duty to Christ. Masters are not commanded as an immediate and imperative duty to emancipate their slaves, but to treat them according to the principles of justice and equity. It is not to be expected that men of the world will act in conformity with the Gospel in this, any more than in other respects. But believers will. And the result of such obedience if it could become general would be, that first the evils of slavery, and then slavery itself, would pass away as naturally and as healthfully as children cease to be minors.

SECTION II.--Vs. 10-24.

10. Finally, my brethren, be strong in the Lord, and in the power of his might.

11. Put on the whole armour of God, that ye may be able to stand against the wiles of the devil.

12. For we wrestle not against flesh and blood, but against principalities, against powers, against the rulers of the darkness of this world, against spiritual wickedness in high places.

13. Wherefore take unto you the whole armour of God, that ye may be able to withstand in the evil day, and having done all, to stand.

14. Stand therefore, having your loins girt about with truth, and having on the breast-plate of righteousness;

15. and your feet shod with the preparation of the gospel of peace;

16. above all, taking the shield of faith, wherewith ye shall be able to quench all the fiery darts of the wicked.

17. And take the helmet of salvation, and the sword of the Spirit, which is the word of God:

18. praying always with all prayer and supplication in the Spirit, and watching thereunto with all perseverance and supplication for all saints;

19. and for me, that utterance may be given unto me, that I may open my mouth boldly, to make known the mystery of the gospel,

20. for which I am an ambassador in bonds: that therein I may speak boldly, as I ought to speak.

21. But that ye also may know my affairs, and how I do, Tychicus, a beloved brother and faithful minister in the Lord, shall make known to you all things:

22. whom I have sent unto you for the same purpose, that ye might know our affairs, and that he might comfort your hearts.

23. Peace be to the brethren, and love with faith from God the Father and the Lord Jesus Christ.

24. Grace be with all them that love our Lord Jesus Christ in sincerity. Amen.

ANALYSIS.

Directions in reference to the spiritual conflict. As such a conflict is inevitable, the believer should--1. Muster strength for the struggle. 2. He should seek that strength from Christ. 3. Since his enemies are not human but superhuman, Satan and all the powers of darkness, the believer needs not only more than human strength, but also divine armour. He should, therefore, take the panoply of God, that he may be able to stand in the evil day. That panoply consists--1. In the knowledge and reception of the truth. 2. In the righteousness of Christ. 3. In the alacrity which flows from the peace of the Gospel. 4. In the consciousness of salvation. 5. In faith. 6. In the word of God, which is the sword of the Spirit.

To obtain strength to use this armour aright, and to secure victory for ourselves and for the army of which we are a part, we should pray.

These prayers should be--1. Of all kinds. 2. On every occasion. 3. Importunate and persevering. 4. By the aid of the Holy Spirit. 5. For all saints.

Believing in the efficacy of such prayers, the apostle begs the Ephesian believers to pray for him, that God would enable him to preach the Gospel in a suitable manner.

To relieve their anxiety he had sent Tychicus to inform them of his circumstances and of his health.

He invokes the Father and Son to bestow upon the brethren the blessings of divine peace and love united with faith; and implores the special favour of God for all who love the Lord Jesus Christ with a love that cannot die.

COMMENTARY.

V. 10. Though the redemption purchased by Christ, as described in this epistle, is so complete and so free, yet between the beginning and the consummation of the work there is a protracted conflict. This is not a

figure of speech. It is something real and arduous. Salvation, however gratuitous, is not to be obtained without great effort. The Christian conflict is not only real, it is difficult and dangerous. It is one in which true believers are often grievously wounded; and multitudes of reputed believers entirely succumb. It is one also in which great mistakes are often committed and serious loss incurred from ignorance of its nature, and of the appropriate means for carrying it on. Men are apt to regard it as a mere moral conflict between reason and conscience on the one side, and evil passions on the other. They therefore rely on their own strength, and upon the resources of nature for success. Against these mistakes the apostle warns his readers. He teaches that every thing pertaining to it is supernatural. The source of strength is not in nature. The conflict is not between the good and bad principles of our nature. He shows that we belong to a spiritual, as well as to a natural world, and are engaged in a combat in which the higher powers of the universe are involved; and that this conflict, on the issue of which our salvation depends, is not to be carried on with straws picked up by the wayside. As we have superhuman enemies to contend with, we need not only superhuman strength, but divine armour and arms. The weapons of our warfare are not natural, but divine.

Finally, my brethren, be strong in the Lord, to loipon, adelphoi mou, endunamousthe en Kurio. He concludes his epistle so full of elevated views, and so rich in disclosures of the mysteries of redemption, with directions as to the struggle necessary to secure salvation. His first exhortation is to muster strength for the inevitable conflict, and to seek that strength from the right source. We are to be strong in the Lord. As a branch separated from the vine, or as a limb severed from the body, so is a Christian separated from Christ. He, therefore, who rushes into this conflict without thinking of Christ, without putting

his trust in him, and without continually looking to him for strength and regarding himself as a member of his body, deriving all life and vigour from him, is demented. He knows not what he is doing. He has not strength even to reach the field. With him the whole conflict is a sham. The words *kai` en to kra'tei tes ischu'os autou* mean, in the vigour derived from his strength. The vigour of a man's arm is derived from the strength of his body. It is only as members of Christ's body that we have either life or power. It is not we that live, but Christ that liveth in us; and the strength which we have is not our own but his. When we are weak, then are we strong. When most empty of self, we are most full of God.

V. 11. The second direction has reference to the arms requisite for the successful conduct of this conflict; *endu'sasthe te`n panopli'an tou Theou*, put on the whole armour of God. *Panopli'an*, panoply, includes both the defensive and offensive armour of the soldier. The believer has not only to defend himself, but also to attack his spiritual enemies; and the latter is as necessary to his safety as the former. It will not do for him to act only on the defensive, he must endeavour to subdue as well as to resist. How this is to be done, the following portion of the chapter teaches. The armour of God, means that armour which God has provided and which he gives. We are thus taught from the outset, that as the strength which we need is not from ourselves, so neither are the means of offence or defence. Nor are they means of man's devising. This is a truth which has been overlooked in all ages of the church, to the lamentable injury of the people of God. Instead of relying on the arms which God has provided, men have always been disposed to trust to those which they provide for themselves or which have been prescribed by others. Seclusion from the world (i. e. flight rather than conflict), ascetic and ritual observances, invocation of

saints and angels, and especially, celibacy, voluntary poverty, and monastic obedience, constitute the panoply which false religion has substituted for the armour of God. Of this fatal mistake, manifested from the beginning, the apostle treats at length in his Epistle to the Colossians, 2, 18-23. He there exhorts his hearers, not to allow any one, puffed up with carnal wisdom, and neglecting Christ, the only source of life and strength, to despoil them of their reward, through false humility and the worship of angels, commanding not to touch, or taste, or handle this or that, which methods of overcoming evil have indeed the appearance of wisdom, in humility, will-worship, and neglect of the body, but not the reality, and only serve to satisfy the flesh. They increase the evil which they are professedly designed to overcome. A more accurate description could not be given historically, than is here given prophetically, of the means substituted by carnal wisdom for the armour of God. Calling on saints and angels, humility in the sense of self-degradation, or submitting our will to human authority, neglecting the body, or ascetic observances, abstaining from things lawful, uncommanded rites and ordinances, observing months and days-these are the arms with which the church in her apostasy has arrayed her children for this warfare. These are by name enumerated and condemned by the apostle, who directs us to clothe ourselves with the panoply of God, which he proceeds to describe in detail.

Pro`s to` du'nasthai umas ste?nai pro`s ta`s methodei'as tou diabo'lou.

This divine armour is necessary to enable us to stand against the wiles of the devil. If our adversary was a man, and possessed nothing beyond human strength, ingenuity, and cunning, we might defend ourselves by human means. But as we have to contend with Satan, we need the armour of God. One part of the Bible of course supposes every other part to be true. If it is not true that there is such a being as Satan, or that he

possesses great power and intelligence, or that he has access to the minds of men and exerts his power for their destruction; if all this is obsolete, then there is no real necessity for supernatural power or for supernatural means of defence. If Satan and satanic influence are fables or figures, then all the rest of the representations concerning this spiritual conflict is empty metaphor. But if one part of this representation is literally true, the other has a corresponding depth and reality of meaning. If Satan is really the prince of the powers of darkness, ruler and god of this world; if he is the author of physical and moral evil; the great enemy of God, of Christ and of his people, full of cunning and malice; if he is constantly seeking whom he may destroy, seducing men into sin, blinding their minds and suggesting evil and sceptical thoughts; if all this is true, then to be ignorant of it, or to deny it, or to enter on this conflict as though it were merely a struggle between the good and bad principles in our own hearts, is to rush blindfold to destruction.

V. 12. This is the point on which the apostle most earnestly insists. He would awaken his readers to a due sense of the power of the adversaries with whom they are to contend. He lifts the veil and discloses to them the spiritual world; the hosts of the kingdom of darkness. We have to stand against the wiles of the devil, ?o'ti ouk e'stin emin e pa'le pro`s haima kai` sa'rka, because our conflict is not with flesh and blood, i. e. with men. The word pa'le means a wrestling. The apostle either changes the figure immediately, or he uses the word here in a more general sense. The latter is the more probable. "Flesh and blood" does not here or any where else, mean our corrupt nature, as flesh by itself so often means; but men. So in Gal. 1, 16, "I conferred not with flesh and blood," means, I did not consult with man.' The apostle after his conversion sought no instruction or

counsel from man; all his knowledge of the Gospel was received by immediate revelation.

Our conflict is not with man, but against principalities, against powers, against the rulers of the darkness of this world, against spiritual wickedness in high places. The signification of the terms here used, the context, and the analogy of Scripture, render it certain that the reference is to evil spirits. They are called in Scripture daimonia, demons, who are declared to be fallen angels, 2 Pet. 2, 4; Jude 6, and are now subject to Satan their prince. They are called archai, princes, those who are first or high in rank; and exousiai, potentates, those invested with authority. These terms have probably reference to the relation of the spirits among themselves. The designation kosmokratores, rulers of the world, expresses the power or authority which they exercise over the world. The kosmos i. e. mankind, is subject to them; comp. 2 Cor. 4, 4; John 16, 11. The word is properly used only of those rulers whose dominion was universal. And in this sense the Jews called the angel of death kosmokrator. In the following clause tou sko'tous tou aionos tou'tou, of the darkness of this world; the words tou aionos, on the authority of the best manuscripts, are generally omitted. The sense is substantially the same whichever reading be adopted. These evil spirits are the rulers of this darkness. The meaning either is, that they reign over the existing state of ignorance and alienation from God; i. e. the world in its apostasy is subject to their control; or this darkness is equivalent to kingdom of darkness. Rulers of the kingdom of darkness, which includes in it, according to the scriptural doctrine, the world as distinguished from the true people of God. The word sko'tos is used elsewhere, the abstract for the concrete, for those in darkness, i. e. for those who belong to, or constitute the kingdom of darkness, Luke 22, 53; Col. 1,

13. Our conflict, therefore, is with the potentates who are rulers of the kingdom of darkness as it now is.

They are further called *ta` pneumatika` tes poneri'as*, spiritual wickedness, as the phrase is rendered in our version. But this cannot be its meaning; it is not wickedness in the abstract, but wicked spirits, the context and the force of the words themselves show to be intended. Beza and others understand the words as equivalent to *pneumatikai poneriai*, spiritual wickednesses. This would give a good sense. As these spirits are called *archai* and *exousiai*, so they may be called *poneriai*. But *ta` pneumatika` tes poneri'as* cannot be resolved into *pneumatikai poneri'ai*. *Ta` pneumatika* is equivalent to *ta` pneumata*, as in so many other cases the neuter adjective in the singular or plural is used substantively, as to *hippikon*, the cavalry; *ta aichmalota*, the captivity, i. e. captives. Spirits of wickedness then means wicked spirits. The beings whom the apostle in the preceding clauses describes as principalities, powers, and rulers, he here calls wicked spirits, to express their character and nature.

The principal difficulty in this verse concerns the words *en tois epourani'ois*. A very large class of commentators, ancient and modern, connect them with the beginning of the verse, and translate, "our conflict is for heavenly things;" heaven is the prize for which we contend. There are two objections to this interpretation, which are generally considered decisive, although the sense is good and appropriate. The one is, that *en tois epourani'ois* always in this Epistle means heaven; and the other is that *en* does not mean for. The connection is with the preceding clause. These wicked spirits are said to be in heaven. But what does that mean? Many say that heaven here means our atmosphere, which is assumed to be the dwelling-place of evil spirits; see 2, 2. But *en epourania* is not elsewhere in this Epistle

used for the atmospheric heavens; neither do the Scriptures give any countenance to the popular opinion of the ancient world, that the air is the region of spirits; nor does this idea harmonize with the context. It is no exaltation of the power of these spirits to refer to them as dwelling in our atmosphere. The whole context, however, shows that the design of the apostle is to present the formidable character of our adversaries in the most impressive point of view. Others suppose that Paul means to refer to the former, and not to the present residence of these exalted beings. They are fallen angels, who once dwelt in heaven. But this is obviously inconsistent with the natural meaning of his words. He speaks of them as in heaven. It is better to take the word heaven in a wide sense. It is very often used antithetically to the word earth. Heaven and earth, 'include the whole universe. Those who do not belong to the earth belong to heaven. All intelligent beings are terrestrial or celestial. Of the latter class some are good and some are bad, as of the angels some are holy and some unholy. These principalities and potentates, these rulers and spirits of wickedness, are not earthly magnates, they belong to the order of celestial intelligences, and therefore are the more to be dreaded, and something more than human strength and earthly armour is required for the conflict to which the apostle refers. This indicates the connection with the following verse.

V. 13. Wherefore, i. e. because you have such formidable enemies, and because the conflict is inevitable, *anala'beta te`n panopli'an tou Theou*, not only arm yourselves, but take the panoply of God; no other is adequate to the emergency. *Hina dunethete antistenai en te eme'ra te ponera*, in order that ye may be able to withstand, i. e. successfully to resist, in the evil day. The evil day is the day of trial. Ps. 41, 2, "The Lord will deliver him in the time of trouble;" or as it is in

the Sept. en hemera ponera; and Ps. 49, 5, " Wherefore should I fear in the days of evil;" Sept. en hemera ponera. The day here referred to is the definite day when the enemies previously mentioned shall make their assault. This however is not to be understood with special, much less with exclusive, reference to the last great conflict with the powers of darkness which is to take place before the second advent. The whole exhortation has reference to the present duty of believers. They are at once to assume their armour, and be always prepared for the attacks of their formidable enemies.

Kai` a`panta katergasa`menoi stenai, and having done all to stand. This is understood by many to refer to the preparation for conflict. Having made every preparation, stand ready for the assault. But that idea is included in the former part of the verse. Others take katergazesthai in the sense of debellare, vincere; having overcome all opposition, or conquered all, stand. The ordinary sense of the word includes that idea. Having done all that pertains to the combat, to stand;' i. e. That you may be able, after the conflict is over, to maintain your ground as victors.

V. 14. With the flowing garments of the East, the first thing to be done in preparing for any active work, was to gird the loins. The apostle therefore says, ?stete oun perizosa`menoi te`n ospu`n umon en alethei`a, stand therefore having your loins girt about with truth. By truth, here is not to be understood divine truth as objectively revealed, i. e. the word of God; for that is mentioned in the following verse as the sword. Nor does it mean sincerity of mind, for that is a natural virtue, and does not belong to the armour of God; which according to the context consists of supernatural gifts and graces. But it means truth subjectively considered; that is, the knowledge and belief of the truth. This is the first and indispensable qualification

for a Christian soldier. To enter on this spiritual conflict ignorant or doubting, would be to enter battle blind and lame. As the girdle gives strength and freedom of action, and therefore confidence, so does the truth when spiritually apprehended and believed. Let not any one imagine that he is prepared to withstand the assaults of the powers of darkness, if his mind is stored with his own theories or with the speculations of other men. Nothing but the truth of God clearly understood and cordially embraced will enable him to keep his feet for a moment, before these celestial potentates. Reason, tradition, speculative conviction, dead orthodoxy, are a girdle of spider-webs. They give way at the first onset. Truth alone, as abiding in the mind in the form of divine knowledge, can give strength or confidence even in the ordinary conflicts of the Christian life, much more in any really "evil day."

Kai` endusa'menoi to`n tho'raka tes dikaiosunes, and having put on the breast-plate of righteousness. The tho'rax was the "armour covering the body from the neck to the thighs, consisting of two parts, one covering the front and the other the back." A warrior without his tho'rax was naked, exposed to every thrust of his enemy, and even to every casual dart. In such a state flight or death is inevitable. What is that righteousness, which in the spiritual armour answers to the cuirass? Many say it is our own righteousness, integrity, or rectitude of mind. But this is no protection. It cannot resist the accusations of conscience, the whispers of despondency, the power of temptation, much less the severity of the law, or the assaults of Satan. What Paul desired for himself was not to have on his own righteousness, but the righteousness which is of God by faith; Phil. 3, 8. 9. And this, doubtless, is the righteousness which he here urges believers to put on as a breast-plate. It is an infinitely perfect righteousness,

consisting in the obedience and sufferings of the Son of God, which satisfies all the demands of the divine law and justice; and which is a sure defence against all assaults whether from within or from without. As in no case in this connection does the apostle refer to any merely moral virtue as constituting the armour of the Christian, so neither does he here. This is the less probable, inasmuch as righteousness in the subjective sense, is included in the idea expressed by the word truth in the preceding clause. It is the spirit of the context which determines the meaning to be put on the terms here used. For although righteousness is used so frequently by the apostle for the righteousness of God by faith, yet in itself it may of course express personal rectitude or justice. In Is. 59, 17, Jehovah is described as putting "on righteousness as a breast-plate, and a helmet of salvation on his head;" as in Is. 11, 5, it is said of the Messiah, "righteousness shall be the girdle of his loins, and faithfulness the girdle of his reins."

V. 15. In ancient warfare which was in a large measure carried on by hand-to-hand combats, swiftness of foot was one of the most important qualifications for a good soldier. To this the apostle refers when he exhorts his readers to have their feet shod, *en etoimasi'a tou euangeli'ou tes eire'nes*, with the preparation of the gospel of peace. According to one explanation *euangeli'ou* is the genitive of apposition, and the Gospel is the *etoimasi'a* with which the Christian is to be shod. Then the idea is either that the Gospel is something firm on which we can rest with confidence; or it is something that gives alacrity, adding as it were wings to the feet. Others take *euangeli'ou* as the genitive of the object, and *etoimasi'a* for readiness or alacrity. The sense would then be, Your feet shod with alacrity for the Gospel, i. e. for its defence or propagation. The simplest

interpretation and that best suited to the context, is that euangeli'ou is the genitive of the source, and the sense is, 'Your feet shod with the alacrity which the Gospel of peace gives.' As the Gospel secures our peace with God, and gives the assurance of his favour, it produces that joyful alacrity of mind which is essential to success in the spiritual conflict. All doubt tends to weakness, and despair is death.

V. 16. En pasin, in addition to all; not above all as of greatest importance. Besides the portions of armour already mentioned, they were to take to`n thureo`n tes pi'steos, the shield of faith. Thureos, literally, a door, and then a large oblong shield, like a door. Being four feet long by two and a half broad, it completely covered the body, and was essential to the safety of the combatant. Hence the appropriateness of the apostle's metaphor. Such a protection, and thus essential, is faith. The more various the uses of a shield, the more suitable is the illustration. The faith here intended is that by which we are justified, and reconciled to God through the blood of Christ. It is that faith of which Christ is the object; which receives him as the Son of God and the Saviour of men. It is the faith which is the substance of things hoped for and the evidence of things not seen; which at once apprehends or discerns, and receives the things of the Spirit. it overcomes the world, as is proved by so many examples in the twelfth chapter of the Epistle to the Hebrews. Faith being in itself so mighty, and having from the beginning proved itself so efficacious, the apostle adds, en ho dune'sesthe pa'nta ta` be'le tou ponerou ta` pepurome'na sbe'sai, whereby ye shall be able to quench all the fiery darts of the evil one. The obvious allusion here is to those missiles employed in ancient warfare, around which combustible materials were bound, which were ignited and projected against the enemy. Reference to these fiery darts is made in Ps. 7, 13, "He will make his arrows

burning arrows;" see Alexander on the Psalms. These darts are said to be *to ponerou*, not of the wicked, as the words are translated in the English Version, but of the evil one, i. e. of the devil. Comp. Matt. 13, 19. 38. In the latter passage *ho poneros* is explained in ver. 39, *ho diabolos*. See also 1 John 2, 13; 3, 12; 5, 18, and other passages. As burning arrows not only pierced but set on fire what they pierced, they were doubly dangerous. They serve here therefore as the symbol of the fierce onsets of Satan. He showers arrows of fire on the soul of the believer; who, if unprotected by the shield of faith, would soon perish. It is a common experience of the people of God that at times horrible thoughts, unholy, blasphemous, skeptical, malignant, crowd upon the mind, which cannot be accounted for on any ordinary law of mental action, and which cannot be dislodged. They stick like burning arrows; and fill the soul with agony. They can be quenched only by faith; by calling on Christ for help. These, however, are not the only kind of fiery darts; nor are they the most dangerous. There are others which enkindle passion, inflame ambition, excite cupidity, pride, discontent, or vanity; producing a flame which our deceitful heart is not so prompt to extinguish, and which is often allowed to burn until it produces great injury and even destruction. Against these most dangerous weapons of the evil one, the only protection is faith. It is only by looking to Christ and earnestly invoking his interposition in our behalf that we can resist these insidious assaults, which inflame evil without the warning of pain. The reference of the passage, however, is not to be confined to any particular forms of temptation. The allusion is general to all those attacks of Satan, by which the peace and safety of the believer are specially endangered.

V. 17. The most ornamental part of ancient armour, and scarcely less important than the breast-plate or the shield, was the helmet. The

Christian, therefore, is exhorted to take *te`n perikephalai'an tou soteri'ou*, the helmet of salvation. According to the analogy of the preceding expressions, "the breast-plate of righteousness," and "shield of faith," salvation is itself the helmet. That which adorns and protects the Christian, which enables him to hold up his head with confidence and joy, is the fact that he is saved. He is one of the redeemed, translated from the kingdom of darkness into the kingdom of God's dear Son. If still under condemnation, if still estranged from God, a foreigner and alien, without God and without Christ, he could have no courage to enter into this conflict. It is because he is a fellow-citizen of the saints, a child of God, a partaker of the salvation of the Gospel, that he can face even the most potent enemies with confidence, knowing that he shall be brought off more than conqueror through him that loved him; Rom. 8, 37. When in 1 Thess. 5, 8, the apostle speaks of the hope of salvation as the Christian's helmet, he presents the same idea in a different form. The latter passage does not authorize us to understand, in this place, "helmet of salvation" as a figurative designation of hope. The two passages though alike are not identical. In the one salvation is said to be our helmet, in the other, hope; just as in one place "faith and love" are said to be our breast-plate, and in another, righteousness.

The armour hitherto mentioned is defensive. The only offensive weapon of the Christian is "the sword of the Spirit." Here *tou pneu'matos* cannot be the genitive of apposition. The Spirit is not the sword; this would be incongruous, as the sword is something which the soldier wields, but the Christian cannot thus control the Spirit. Besides, the explanation immediately follows, which is the word of God. "The sword of the Spirit" means the sword which the Spirit gives. By the *re?ma Theou* is not to be understood the divine precepts, nor the threatenings

of God against his enemies. There is nothing to limit the expression. It is that which God has spoken, his word, the Bible. This is sharper than any two-edged sword. It is the wisdom of God and the power of God. It has a self-evidencing light. It commends itself to the reason and conscience. It has the power not only of truth, but of divine truth. Our Lord promised to give to his disciples a word and wisdom which all their adversaries should not be able to gainsay or resist. In opposition to all error, to all false philosophy, to all false principles of morals, to all the sophistries of vice, to all the suggestions of the devil, the sole, simple, and sufficient answer is the word of God. This puts to flight all the powers of darkness. The Christian finds this to be true in his individual experience. It dissipates his doubts; it drives away his fears; it delivers him from the power of Satan. It is also the experience of the church collective. All her triumphs over sin and error have been effected by the word of God. So long as she uses this and relies on it alone, she goes on conquering; but when any thing else, be it reason, science, tradition, or the commandments of men, is allowed to take its place or to share its office, then the church, or the Christian, is at the mercy of the adversary. Hoc signo vinces--the apostle may be understood to say to every believer and to the whole church.

V. 18. It is not armour or weapons which make the warrior. There must be courage and strength; and even then he often needs help. As the Christian has no resources of strength in himself, and can succeed only as aided from above, the apostle urges the duty of prayer. The believer is--1. To avail himself of all kinds of prayer. 2. He is to pray on every suitable occasion. 3. He is to pray in the Spirit. 4. He is to be alert and persevering in the discharge of this duty. 5. He is to pray for all the saints; and the Ephesians were urged by the apostle to pray

for him.

The connection of this verse is with *stete* out of ver. 14. "Stand, therefore, with all prayer and supplication, praying on every occasion, in the Spirit." *Dia` pa'ses proseuches kai` dee'seos*, may be connected with the following participle *proseucho'menoi*, as has been done by our translators, who render the passage, "praying with all prayer and supplication." But this renders the passage tautological. Others take this clause by itself, and understand *dia* as expressing the condition or circumstances. Stand, therefore, with all prayer, praying at all times,' &c. As to the difference between *proseuche* and *deesis*, prayer and supplication, some say that the former has for its object the attaining of good; the latter, the avoidance of evil or deliverance from it. The usage of the words does not sustain that view. The more common opinion is that the distinction is twofold; first, that *proseuche* is addressed only to God, whereas *deesis* may be addressed to men; and secondly, that the former includes all address to God, while the latter is limited to petition. The expression all prayer, means all kinds of prayer, oral and mental, ejaculatory and formal. The prayers which Paul would have the Christian warrior use, are not merely those of the closet and of stated seasons, but also those habitual and occasional aspirations, and outgoings of the heart after God, which a constant sense of his nearness and a constant sense of our necessity must produce.

Not only must all kinds of prayer be used, but believers should pray *en panti` kairo*, on every occasion; on every emergency. This constancy in prayer is commanded by our Lord, Luke 18, 1, "Men ought always to pray and not to faint." In 1 Thess. 5, 17, the apostle exhorts believers to "pray without ceasing." It is obvious, therefore, that prayer includes all converse with God, and is the expression of all our feelings and

desires which terminate in him. In the scriptural sense of the term, therefore, it is possible that a man should pray almost literally without ceasing.

The third direction is, to pray en pneu'mati. This does not mean inwardly, or, with the heart; non voce tantum, sed et animo, as Grotius explains it; but it means under the influence of the Spirit, and with his assistance, whose gracious office it is to teach us how to pray, and to make intercessions for us with groanings that cannot be uttered; Rom. 8, 26. The fourth direction has reference to alertness and perseverance in prayer; eis auto` touto agrupnountes, watching unto this very thing. This very thing is that of which he had been speaking, viz. praying in the Spirit. It was in reference to that duty they were to be wakeful and vigilant, not allowing themselves to become weary or negligent. En pa'se proskartere'sei kai` dee'sei peri` pa'nton ton agi'on, with all perseverance and supplication, for all saints.

"Perseverance and supplication" amounts to persevering or importunate supplication. In Rom. 12, 12, the expression is, to proseuche proskarterountes, continuing instant in prayer. This persevering supplication is to be offered for all the saints. The conflict of which the apostle has been speaking is not merely a single combat between the individual Christian and Satan, but also a war between the people of God and the powers of darkness. No soldier entering battle prays for himself alone, but for all his fellow-soldiers also. They form one army, and the success of one is the success of all. In like manner Christians are united as one army, and therefore have a common cause; and each must pray for all. Such is the communion of saints, as set forth in this Epistle and in other parts of Scripture, that they can no more fail to take this interest in each other's welfare, than the hand can fail to sympathize with the foot.

V. 19. The importance which the apostle attributed to intercessory prayer and his faith in its efficacy are evident from the frequency with which he enjoins the duty, and from the earnestness with which he solicits such prayers in his own behalf. What the apostle wishes the Ephesians to pray for, was not any temporal blessing, not even his deliverance from bonds, that he might be at liberty more freely to preach the Gospel, but that God would enable him to preach with the freedom and boldness with which he ought to preach; *i'na moi dothe lo'gos en anoi'xei tou sto'mato's mou en parrhesi'a gnori'sai*, ktl. Our translators have paraphrased this clause thus, that utterance may be given me, that I may open my mouth boldly to make known, &c. The literal translation is, that utterance may be given me in opening my mouth, with boldness to make known, &c. What Paul desired was divine assistance in preaching. He begs his reader to pray *i'na moi dothe lo'gos*, that the power of speech, or freedom of utterance, might be given to him, when he opened his mouth. Paul says, 2 Cor. 11, 6, that he was *idiotes to logo*, rude in speech. The word *lo'gos* itself has at times the metonymical sense here given to it, and therefore *en anoi'xei tou sto'mato's* is most naturally taken without emphasis as equivalent to, when I open my mouth, i. e. when called upon to speak. Calvin and many others lay the principal stress on those words, and make with opening of the mouth equivalent to with open mouth, *pleno ore et intrepida lingua*, as Calvin expresses it. *Os opertum cupit, quod erumpet in liquidam et firmam confessionem. Ore enim semiclauso proferuntur ambigua et perplexa responsa.* This, however, is to anticipate what is expressed by *en parrhesi'a gnori'sai*. Others connect both *en anoi'xei tou sto'mato's* and *en parrhesi'a with gnori'sai*, to make known with the opening of the mouth, with boldness the mystery,' &c. This is the construction which our translators seemed to have

assumed. But this is very unnatural, from the position of the words and relation of the clauses. Parrhesi'a (pan rthesis), the speaking out all, freespokenness. Here the dative with en may be taken adverbially, freely, boldly; keeping nothing back, but making an open, undisguised declaration of the Gospel. This includes, however, the idea of frankness and boldness of spirit, of which this unrestrained declaration of the truth is the expression. Muste'rion tou euangeli'ou, mystery of the Gospel; the Gospel itself is the mystery, or divine revelation. It is that system of truth which had been kept secret with God, but which is now revealed unto our glory; 1 Cor. 2, 7.

V. 20. Hupe`r hou, for the sake of which Gospel, presbeu'on en alu'sei eimi, I am an ambassador in bonds. An ambassador is one through whom a sovereign speaks. "We are ambassadors for Christ, as though God did beseech you by us: we pray you in Christ's stead be ye reconciled with God;" 2 Cor. 5, 20. The apostles, as sent by Christ with authority to speak in his name, and to negotiate with men, proposing the terms of reconciliation and urging their acceptance, were in an eminent sense his ambassadors. As all ministers are sent by Christ and are commissioned by him to propose the terms of salvation, they too are entitled to the same honourable designation. Paul was an ambassador in bonds, and yet he did not lose his courage but preached with as much boldness as ever.

Hina en auto parrhesia'somai, that therein I may speak boldly. This may be taken as depending on i'na dothe of ver. 19. The sense would then be, That utterance may be given to me--that I may speak boldly.' But the preceding en parhrhesi'a gnori'sai depends on i'na dothe. The two clauses are rather parallel. Paul desired that the Ephesians should pray, That utterance should be given him--that is, that he might preach boldly;' os dei me laesai, as I ought to speak. It becomes the man who

is an ambassador of God, to speak with boldness, assured of the truth and importance of the message which he has to deliver. That even Paul should solicit the prayers of Christians that he might be able to preach the Gospel aright, shows the sense he had at once of the difficulty and of the importance of the work.

V. 21. In conclusion the apostle informs the Ephesians that he had sent Tychicus to them to relieve their anxiety concerning him; hina de` eidete kai` umeis, but that ye also may know, i. e. you as well as other Christian friends who had manifested solicitude about me in my bonds; ta` kat' eme', the things which concern me, i. e. my circumstances; ti' pra'sso; not what I do, for that they knew already; but how I do. His health as well as his situation was a matter of anxiety to his friends. Tychicus shalt make all known to you; o agapeto`s adelpho`s kai` pisto`s dia'konos en kuri'o; this admits of a twofold interpretation. It may mean that Tychicus was Paul's dia'konos, servant as well as his brother. This view is commended, though not adopted by Calvin, and is advocated by many of the best commentators, on the ground that it is most natural that the two words adelpho`s and dia'konos should have the same reference, "my beloved brother and faithful servant;" and that in so many other places Paul speaks of those who attended him and in various forms served him. The words en kuri'o, according to this view, belong equally to both words. He was a brother as well as a servant in the Lord, i. e. a Christian brother and servant. It is more common, however, to understand the apostle as commending Tychicus as a faithful minister of the Gospel. In Col. 4, 7, he is called a fellow-servant, which favours the assumption that he was a fellow-labourer in the ministry. He is mentioned in Acts 20, 4; 2 Tim. 4, 12; Tit. 3, 12. None of these passages, however, throws any light on his relation to the apostle further than that he was one of

his attendants. As, however, in the next verse Paul says he had sent him not only that they might know his affairs, but also, *parakale'se ta's kardi'as umon*, that he might comfort your hearts; the probability is altogether in favour of his being a minister of Christ, who could communicate to the Ephesians not only the consolation of favourable intelligence concerning Paul, but the higher consolations of the Gospel.

V. 23. *Eire'ne tois adelphois*, peace be to the brethren. This is the usual form of salutation or benediction. It is not concord, but all the fruits of *cha'ris* or favour of God. *Kai` aga'pe meta` pi'steos*, this does not mean love together with faith, as though two distinct blessings were intended; but rather love united with faith. Faith they had; Paul's prayer was that love might be connected with it. The love intended must be brotherly love. These blessings are sought *apo` Theou patro`s kai` Kuri'ou Iesou Christou*, from God the Father and the Lord Jesus Christ. The Father and Son are united as objects of worship and the source of spiritual and saving blessing. He from whom Paul sought these blessings, is he to whom those who need them must look in order to obtain them.

V. 24. True to the last, as a needle to the pole, the apostle turns to Christ, and implores the divine favour on all who love our Lord Jesus Christ in sincerity. The words *en aphtharsi'a* rendered in sincerity, are so understood by Erasmus and Calvin, and by many others. There is however great diversity of opinion as to their true meaning.

Aphtharsi'a signifies incorruption, as in 1 Cor. 15, 53. 54, *?dei ga`r to` phtharto`n touto endu'sasthai aphtharsi'an*, for this corruptible must put on incorruption. Hence it means immortality as in Rom. 2, 7; 2 Tim. 1, 10. Some connect these words with *Iesou Christou*, Christ in immortality, i. e. Christ glorified. Others connect them with *charis*

and give in the force of eis; 'grace unto immortality, or to eternity; everlasting grace.' Others adopting the same construction, render the passage, grace with immortality, i. e. eternal life.' The only natural construction is with agapo'nton then the meaning is either that expressed in our Version, "Who love our Lord Jesus Christ in sincerity;" or, with constancy;' that is, with a deathless or immortal love. In either case, the general idea is the same. The divine favour rests on those to whom the Lord Jesus is the supreme object of love. In 1 Cor. 16, 22, Paul says, "If any man love not our Lord Jesus Christ, let him be Anathema Maranatha." These passages, though so dissimilar, both teach that love to Christ is the indispensable condition of salvation. There must be an adequate reason for this. Want of love for Christ must deserve final perdition, and love to him must include preparation for heaven. This of necessity supposes Christ to be God. Want of love to him must imply enmity to God. It is all a delusion for any one to think he can love the Infinite Spirit as manifested in nature, or in the Scriptures, if he does not recognize and love that same God in the clearest revelation of his character, in his most definite personal manifestation, and in his most intimate relation to us, as partaking our nature, loving us, and giving himself for us. Love to Christ includes adoring admiration of his person, desire for his presence, zeal for his glory, and devotion to his service. It need not be ecstatic, but it must be controlling.

THE END,

[29] Minarum enim et omnis atrocitatis hoc initium est, quod servos domini, quasi sua tantum causa natos, nihilo pluris faciunt quam pecudes. Ergo sub una specie vetat ne contumeliose et atrociter tractentur.--CALVIN.

Indexes

Index of Scripture References

Genesis

[1]2:20 [2]2:23 [3]2:24 [4]2:24 [5]2:24 [6]2:24 [7]17:14

[8]24:14 [9]47:9

Leviticus

[10]19:7

Numbers

[11]5:18 [12]15:39 [13]35:34

Deuteronomy

[14]25:2

Judges

[15]5:12 [16]5:15

1 Samuel

[17]20:31

2 Samuel

[18]12:5

1 Kings

[19]3:9 [20]3:12 [21]8:27

Job

[22]11:8-9

Psalms

[23]4:5 [24]4:5 [25]7:13 [26]8:7 [27]8:7 [28]9:11

[29]19:1-14 [30]24:7 [31]41:2 [32]42:1-2 [33]49:5 [34]51:7

[35]63:10 [36]68:1-35 [37]68:18 [38]68:18 [39]68:18

[40]68:18 [41]72:5 [42]78:22 [43]102:25 [44]102:25

[45]118:22 [46]123:1 [47]139:7 [48]139:15

Proverbs

[49]8:5 [50]19:21 [51]20:5

Ecclesiastes

[52]7:9

Isaiah

[53]9:2 [54]11:5 [55]26:19 [56]28:16 [57]44:23 [58]45:23

[59]49:1 [60]51:8 [61]57:11 [62]57:19 [63]59:17 [64]60:1

[65]60:1 [66]62:5

Jeremiah

[67]12:6 [68]23:24 [69]23:24

Ezekiel

[70]16:9 [71]32:24 [72]36:25

Daniel

[73]2:8

Malachi

[74]3:17

Matthew

[75]4:21 [76]5:48 [77]9:16 [78]9:17 [79]9:34 [80]11:26

[81]11:26 [82]11:29 [83]12:32 [84]12:39 [85]13:19 [86]13:38

[87]13:38 [88]13:39 [89]16:18 [90]18:4-6 [91]20:28

[92]21:42 [93]22:37 [94]25:34 [95]25:34 [96]28:18 [97]28:18

Mark

[98]1:15 [99]3:5 [100]3:5 [101]6:43 [102]6:52 [103]8:17

[104]8:33 [105]12:28 [106]12:30 [107]12:30 [108]14:25

[109]15:1 [110]16:16

Luke

[111]1:28 [112]1:70 [113]1:75 [114]2:4 [115]2:14 [116]3

[117]3 [118]4:1 [119]6:35 [120]6:40 [121]10:21 [122]10:21

[123]10:40 [124]11:21-22 [125]11:40 [126]12:20 [127]16:8
[128]18:1 [129]20:20 [130]21:28 [131]22:53 [132]22:53
[133]23:23 [134]24:49

John

[135]1:12 [136]1:16 [137]1:17 [138]3:13 [139]5:23 [140]5:25
[141]6:38 [142]6:45 [143]6:53-54 [144]6:63 [145]8:12
[146]8:14 [147]8:23 [148]8:44 [149]12:31 [150]12:31
[151]12:40 [152]12:41 [153]13:16 [154]14:6 [155]15:13
[156]15:26 [157]15:26 [158]16:9 [159]16:11 [160]16:28
[161]17:2 [162]17:17 [163]17:21 [164]17:24 [165]20:17

Acts

[166]1:4 [167]1:20 [168]1:22 [169]2:19 [170]2:32 [171]2:38
[172]2:39 [173]3:15 [174]3:25 [175]4:11 [176]6:5 [177]6:7
[178]7:1 [179]8:4 [180]11:24 [181]13:31 [182]13:32
[183]13:33 [184]14:4 [185]14:7 [186]14:14 [187]14:16
[188]15:3 [189]15:15 [190]15:21 [191]17:28 [192]18:15
[193]18:18-26 [194]19:3-6 [195]19:4 [196]19:8-12
[197]19:24-40 [198]20:4 [199]20:28 [200]20:32 [201]21:8
[202]22:16 [203]22:16 [204]22:16 [205]26:16 [206]26:16-18
[207]26:18 [208]26:18 [209]26:18 [210]28:30-31

Romans

[211]1:5 [212]1:7 [213]1:9 [214]1:16 [215]1:21 [216]1:24
[217]1:24 [218]1:28 [219]1:29 [220]2:4 [221]2:7 [222]2:8
[223]2:13 [224]2:19 [225]2:25-29 [226]2:27 [227]3:2
[228]3:20 [229]3:25 [230]3:25 [231]3:26 [232]4:2 [233]4:4-5
[234]4:14-16 [235]5 [236]5:3 [237]5:5 [238]5:7 [239]5:9-10
[240]5:10 [241]5:12-20 [242]6:1-10 [243]6:4 [244]6:6
[245]6:6 [246]6:8 [247]6:14 [248]6:14 [249]7:4 [250]7:4
[251]7:4 [252]7:6 [253]7:11 [254]7:12 [255]7:14

[256]7:14-25 [257]7:18 [258]7:23 [259]8:5 [260]8:5-7
[261]8:9 [262]8:9 [263]8:9-10 [264]8:10 [265]8:11 [266]8:15
[267]8:16 [268]8:16 [269]8:16 [270]8:19-22 [271]8:19-22
[272]8:23 [273]8:23 [274]8:23 [275]8:24 [276]8:26 [277]8:26
[278]8:28 [279]8:29 [280]8:30 [281]8:34 [282]8:37 [283]8:38
[284]9:20 [285]9:22 [286]9:23 [287]9:25-33 [288]10:8
[289]10:10 [290]10:17 [291]10:18 [292]11:7 [293]11:25
[294]12:1 [295]12:2 [296]12:2 [297]12:2 [298]12:2 [299]12:2
[300]12:3 [301]12:5 [302]12:5 [303]12:8 [304]12:10
[305]12:12 [306]12:16 [307]13:9 [308]13:11 [309]13:12
[310]13:12 [311]13:14 [312]14:9 [313]14:10-11 [314]15:2
[315]15:15 [316]15:29 [317]15:30 [318]16:7 [319]16:17-18
[320]16:25 [321]16:25 [322]16:25-27

1 Corinthians

[323]1:6 [324]1:7 [325]1:17 [326]1:17 [327]1:22
[328]1:26-31 [329]1:27-29 [330]2:3 [331]2:6-10 [332]2:6-13
[333]2:7 [334]2:7 [335]2:7 [336]2:7-10 [337]2:8 [338]2:9
[339]2:10-16 [340]2:12 [341]2:12 [342]2:14-15 [343]2:14-16
[344]3:10 [345]3:10 [346]3:11 [347]3:16 [348]3:16-17
[349]4:21 [350]5:10 [351]6:1-6 [352]6:6 [353]6:19 [354]6:19
[355]6:20 [356]7:19 [357]8:6 [358]9:1 [359]10:4 [360]10:11
[361]10:17 [362]10:26 [363]11:7 [364]12:3 [365]12:8
[366]12:11 [367]12:13 [368]12:27 [369]12:27 [370]12:28
[371]13:10 [372]13:12 [373]14:1-40 [374]14:15 [375]14:15
[376]14:26 [377]15:19 [378]15:22-23 [379]15:23-28 [380]15:27
[381]15:36 [382]15:53 [383]15:53-54 [384]16:15 [385]16:15
[386]16:22

2 Corinthians

[387]1:21 [388]1:22 [389]2:12-13 [390]2:17 [391]4:4

[392]4:4 [393]4:4 [394]4:4 [395]4:6 [396]4:13 [397]4:16
[398]4:16 [399]4:16 [400]5:3 [401]5:5 [402]5:10 [403]5:14
[404]5:17 [405]5:20 [406]6:6 [407]6:7 [408]6:16 [409]7:7
[410]7:15 [411]8:2 [412]8:23 [413]9:11 [414]10:1 [415]10:16
[416]11:6 [417]11:13 [418]11:16 [419]12:2 [420]13:11

Galatians

[421]1:1 [422]1:4 [423]1:12 [424]1:12 [425]1:12 [426]1:16
[427]1:23 [428]2:4 [429]2:7 [430]2:9 [431]2:10 [432]2:15
[433]2:19-20 [434]2:20 [435]2:20 [436]3:3 [437]3:5
[438]3:13 [439]3:13-14 [440]3:14 [441]3:14 [442]3:16
[443]3:16 [444]3:22 [445]3:26 [446]3:26 [447]3:27 [448]3:27
[449]3:27-28 [450]3:28 [451]4:4 [452]4:4 [453]4:4 [454]4:5
[455]4:6 [456]4:6 [457]4:8 [458]5:5 [459]5:16-17
[460]5:17-26 [461]5:18 [462]5:19-21 [463]6:15 [464]19:22
[465]19:29

Ephesians

[466]1:1 [467]1:1-14 [468]1:3 [469]1:4 [470]1:4 [471]1:4-5
[472]1:5 [473]1:5 [474]1:5 [475]1:6 [476]1:6 [477]1:6
[478]1:7-8 [479]1:8 [480]1:9-10 [481]1:10 [482]1:11
[483]1:11 [484]1:11 [485]1:11 [486]1:11 [487]1:11 [488]1:11
[489]1:11-12 [490]1:12 [491]1:12 [492]1:13 [493]1:13
[494]1:13 [495]1:13 [496]1:13 [497]1:13-14 [498]1:14
[499]1:14 [500]1:15 [501]1:15 [502]1:15-16 [503]1:17
[504]1:17-18 [505]1:18 [506]1:18 [507]1:19 [508]1:19
[509]1:19 [510]1:19 [511]1:19 [512]1:19-20 [513]1:20
[514]1:20 [515]1:20 [516]1:20-21 [517]1:21 [518]1:21
[519]1:22-23 [520]1:23 [521]2 [522]2 [523]2:1 [524]2:1-3
[525]2:2 [526]2:2 [527]2:2 [528]2:2-4 [529]2:3 [530]2:4
[531]2:4-6 [532]2:5 [533]2:5 [534]2:5 [535]2:6 [536]2:6

[537]2:7 [538]2:7 [539]2:10 [540]2:10 [541]2:11 [542]2:11
[543]2:11 [544]2:11 [545]2:11-12 [546]2:11-22 [547]2:12
[548]2:13 [549]2:13 [550]2:13 [551]2:13-16 [552]2:13-18
[553]2:14 [554]2:14 [555]2:14 [556]2:14-16 [557]2:15
[558]2:15 [559]2:15 [560]2:15 [561]2:16 [562]2:16 [563]2:17
[564]2:17 [565]2:18 [566]2:19-22 [567]2:21 [568]2:21
[569]2:22 [570]3:1 [571]3:1-2 [572]3:1-13 [573]3:2 [574]3:3
[575]3:3 [576]3:3 [577]3:3-4 [578]3:4 [579]3:5 [580]3:5-6
[581]3:6 [582]3:7 [583]3:7 [584]3:7-8 [585]3:8 [586]3:9
[587]3:9 [588]3:9 [589]3:10 [590]3:10 [591]3:10 [592]3:11
[593]3:11-13 [594]3:14 [595]3:14 [596]3:14 [597]3:14-21
[598]3:15 [599]3:19 [600]3:19 [601]3:19 [602]3:20
[603]4:1-3 [604]4:1-16 [605]4:3 [606]4:4-6 [607]4:6
[608]4:6 [609]4:7 [610]4:9-10 [611]4:10 [612]4:11 [613]4:11
[614]4:11 [615]4:11 [616]4:12 [617]4:12-16 [618]4:13
[619]4:13 [620]4:13 [621]4:13 [622]4:13 [623]4:13 [624]4:13
[625]4:14 [626]4:15 [627]4:15-16 [628]4:15-16 [629]4:16
[630]4:16 [631]4:16 [632]4:16 [633]4:17 [634]4:17 [635]4:17
[636]4:17-19 [637]4:17-24 [638]4:18 [639]4:19 [640]4:19
[641]4:20-24 [642]4:20-24 [643]4:21 [644]4:21 [645]4:22
[646]4:24 [647]4:25 [648]4:25 [649]4:25-5:2 [650]4:26-27
[651]4:28 [652]4:29-30 [653]4:30 [654]4:30 [655]4:30
[656]4:30 [657]4:31 [658]4:31 [659]4:31-5:2 [660]4:31-5:2
[661]4:32 [662]5:3 [663]5:3 [664]5:3 [665]5:3-4 [666]5:3-20
[667]5:5 [668]5:5-6 [669]5:7-10 [670]5:8 [671]5:8 [672]5:9
[673]5:10-11 [674]5:12 [675]5:12-14 [676]5:13-16 [677]5:15-16
[678]5:17-20 [679]5:18 [680]5:20 [681]5:20 [682]5:21
[683]5:21 [684]5:21 [685]5:21 [686]5:22 [687]5:22 [688]5:23
[689]5:23 [690]5:23 [691]5:24 [692]5:25-27 [693]5:27

[694]5:28 [695]5:29 [696]5:29-31 [697]5:30 [698]5:30
[699]5:30-31 [700]5:31 [701]5:31 [702]5:31 [703]5:31
[704]5:31 [705]5:32 [706]5:32 [707]5:32 [708]5:32-33
[709]6:1 [710]6:1-3 [711]6:1-20 [712]6:4 [713]6:4-5
[714]6:5 [715]6:5-6 [716]6:6-8 [717]6:7 [718]6:8 [719]6:8
[720]6:11 [721]6:12 [722]6:12 [723]6:12 [724]6:12 [725]6:12
[726]6:14 [727]6:17 [728]6:19 [729]6:20 [730]6:21 [731]6:21
[732]8:2 [733]111

Philippians

[734]1:9 [735]1:9 [736]1:12-13 [737]1:13 [738]1:28 [739]2:3
[740]2:9 [741]2:9 [742]2:9-10 [743]2:11 [744]2:12 [745]2:13
[746]2:13 [747]2:25 [748]3 [749]3:3 [750]3:3-6 [751]3:4
[752]3:8-9 [753]3:13 [754]3:15 [755]3:21 [756]3:30
[757]4:18 [758]4:22 [759]10

Colossians

[760]1:4 [761]1:4 [762]1:5 [763]1:5 [764]1:5 [765]1:9
[766]1:12 [767]1:12 [768]1:13 [769]1:13 [770]1:13 [771]1:13
[772]1:16 [773]1:16-17 [774]1:19-20 [775]1:20 [776]1:20-22
[777]1:21 [778]1:21 [779]1:22 [780]1:22 [781]1:22 [782]1:25
[783]1:26 [784]1:26 [785]1:26 [786]1:27 [787]1:29 [788]2:2
[789]2:8 [790]2:9 [791]2:9 [792]2:10 [793]2:11 [794]2:12
[795]2:12 [796]2:12 [797]2:12 [798]2:13-14 [799]2:14
[800]2:14 [801]2:15 [802]2:18 [803]2:18 [804]2:18-23
[805]2:19 [806]2:19 [807]2:19 [808]3:2 [809]3:5 [810]3:5
[811]3:8 [812]3:8 [813]3:9 [814]3:9-10 [815]3:10 [816]3:10
[817]3:10 [818]3:10 [819]3:10 [820]3:10 [821]3:12 [822]3:12
[823]3:12 [824]3:14 [825]3:16 [826]3:16 [827]3:23 [828]4:1
[829]4:3 [830]4:5 [831]4:7 [832]4:7-9 [833]4:16

1 Thessalonians

[834]1:6 [835]2:10 [836]5:5 [837]5:8 [838]5:17

2 Thessalonians

[839]1:9 [840]2:7 [841]2:9 [842]2:13 [843]3:10

1 Timothy

[844]1:14 [845]1:16 [846]2:4 [847]2:6 [848]3:11 [849]3:13

[850]3:16 [851]3:16 [852]6:1

2 Timothy

[853]1:9 [854]1:9 [855]1:10 [856]1:13 [857]3:3 [858]3:15

[859]4:2 [860]4:5 [861]4:12

Titus

[862]1:6 [863]1:8 [864]2:3 [865]2:9 [866]2:13 [867]2:13

[868]2:13 [869]2:14 [870]2:14 [871]3:5 [872]3:5 [873]3:5

[874]3:12

Philemon

[875]1:2 [876]1:12 [877]1:22

Hebrews

[878]1:1 [879]1:3 [880]1:3 [881]1:10 [882]1:13 [883]2:8

[884]2:10 [885]2:11 [886]2:13 [887]2:14 [888]2:15 [889]2:17

[890]3:11 [891]6:18 [892]8:10 [893]9:14 [894]9:14

[895]9:19-23 [896]9:22-23 [897]9:25 [898]10:5 [899]10:10

[900]10:10 [901]10:10 [902]10:10 [903]10:12 [904]10:14

[905]10:14 [906]10:14 [907]10:18 [908]10:22 [909]11:12

[910]12:23 [911]13:9 [912]13:21

James

[913]1:6 [914]1:21 [915]2:1 [916]5:13

1 Peter

[917]1:1 [918]1:18-19 [919]1:20 [920]2:6-8 [921]2:9

[922]2:18 [923]3:10 [924]3:21 [925]3:22 [926]4:4 [927]5:5

2 Peter

[928]1:21 [929]2:4 [930]2:14

1 John

[931]1:7 [932]2:13 [933]3:2 [934]3:12 [935]3:16 [936]5:10

[937]5:18

Jude

[938]1:6

Revelation

[939]7:3 [940]19:7-9 [941]21:2 [942]21:14

Index of Scripture Commentary

Ephesians

[943]1 [944]1:1 [945]1:2 [946]1:3 [947]1:4 [948]1:5

[949]1:6 [950]1:7 [951]1:8 [952]1:9 [953]1:10 [954]1:11

[955]1:12 [956]1:13 [957]1:14 [958]1:15 [959]1:16 [960]1:17

[961]1:18 [962]1:19 [963]1:20 [964]1:21 [965]1:22 [966]1:23

[967]2 [968]2:1 [969]2:2 [970]2:3 [971]2:4 [972]2:5

[973]2:6 [974]2:7 [975]2:8-9 [976]2:10 [977]2:11 [978]2:12

[979]2:13 [980]2:14-15 [981]2:16 [982]2:17 [983]2:18

[984]2:19 [985]2:20 [986]2:21 [987]2:22 [988]3 [989]3:1

[990]3:2 [991]3:3 [992]3:4 [993]3:5 [994]3:6 [995]3:7

[996]3:8 [997]3:9 [998]3:10 [999]3:11 [1000]3:12 [1001]3:13

[1002]3:14 [1003]3:15 [1004]3:16 [1005]3:17 [1006]3:18

[1007]3:20-21 [1008]4 [1009]4:1 [1010]4:4 [1011]4:6

[1012]4:7 [1013]4:8 [1014]4:9-10 [1015]4:11 [1016]4:12

[1017]4:13 [1018]4:14 [1019]4:15 [1020]4:16 [1021]4:17

[1022]4:18 [1023]4:19 [1024]4:20 [1025]4:21 [1026]4:22

[1027]4:23 [1028]4:24 [1029]4:25 [1030]4:26-27 [1031]4:28

[1032]4:29-30 [1033]4:31 [1034]4:32 [1035]5 [1036]5:1-2

[1037]5:3 [1038]5:4 [1039]5:5 [1040]5:6 [1041]5:7 [1042]5:8

[1043]5:9 [1044]5:10 [1045]5:11 [1046]5:12 [1047]5:13
[1048]5:14 [1049]5:15 [1050]5:16 [1051]5:17 [1052]5:18
[1053]5:19 [1054]5:20 [1055]5:21 [1056]5:22 [1057]5:23
[1058]5:24 [1059]5:25 [1060]5:26-27 [1061]5:27 [1062]5:28
[1063]5:29 [1064]5:30 [1065]5:32 [1066]5:33 [1067]6
[1068]6:1 [1069]6:2 [1070]6:4 [1071]6:5 [1072]6:6 [1073]6:7
[1074]6:8 [1075]6:9 [1076]6:10 [1077]6:11 [1078]6:12
[1079]6:13 [1080]6:14 [1081]6:15 [1082]6:16 [1083]6:17
[1084]6:18 [1085]6:19 [1086]6:20 [1087]6:21 [1088]6:23
[1089]6:24

Index of Greek Words and Phrases

- * Apostolos ekklesion; toutestin: [1090]1
- * apellotriome'noi: [1091]1
- * e'chthran: [1092]1
- * errhiz: [1093]1
- * exagorazein: [1094]1
- * eph' emas: [1095]1
- * eutrapelia: [1096]1
- * emas: [1097]1
- * thuo: [1098]1
- * tho'rax: [1099]1 [1100]2
- * i'na: [1101]1
- * kathari'sas to loutro tou u'datos: [1102]1
- * katho's: [1103]1
- * kata` te`n boule`n tou thele'matos autou: [1104]1
- * kata` te`n ene'rgeian tes duna'meos autou: [1105]1
- * muste'rion: [1106]1 [1107]2
- * naos a'gios: [1108]1

- * porosis: [1109]1
- * pas lo'gos sapos: [1110]1
- * pi'stis: [1111]1
- * ploutos : [1112]1
- * prosphora` peri` amarti'as: [1113]1
- * summachoi: [1114]1
- * sophia: [1115]1
- * ta` pa'nta elencho'mena: [1116]1
- * te`n perikephalai'an tou soteri'ou: [1117]1
- * tou ta` pa'nta en pasi pleroume'nou: [1118]1
- * upe`r pa'nta: [1119]1
- * upotasso'menoi : [1120]1
- * ?Exagorazo'menoi to`n kairo'n: [1121]1
- * ?hen ene'rgesen, ktl: [1122]1
- * ?Ho de` Theo`s: [1123]1
- * ?Autou ga'r esmen poi'ema: [1124]1
- * ?Kai` endu'sasthai to`n kaino`n a'nthropon: [1125]1
- * ?Kai` oi ku'rioi: [1126]1
- * ?alla`: [1127]1 [1128]2
- * ?ananeousthai: [1129]1
- * ?gnori'sas emin to` muste'rion tou thele'matos autou: [1130]1
- * ?dei ga`r to` phtharto`n touto endu'sasthai aphtharsi'an: [1131]1
- * ?dokima'zontes: [1132]1
- * ?en ho kai` eklero'themen: [1133]1
- * ?en ho--dia` tes pi'steos autou: [1134]1
- * ?exagorazo'menoi: [1135]1
- * ?ei'ge: [1136]1 [1137]2
- * ?eis epainon do'xes tes cha'ritos autou: [1138]1
- * ?i'na: [1139]1 [1140]2

- * ?i'na aute`n agia'se: [1141]1
- * ?i'na gnoristhe nun: [1142]1
- * ?i'na endei'xetai--ton plouton tes cha'ritos--en chresto'teti eph'
emas: [1143]1
- * ?i'na teleios auton enoikon dexesthe: [1144]1
- * ?orgi'zomenoi` me` amarta'nete: [1145]1
- * ?orgi'zesthe kai` me` amarta'nete: [1146]1
- * ?o kle'pton: [1147]1 [1148]2
- * ?o'ti: [1149]1
- * ?o'ti ete to kairo ekei'no chori`s Christou: [1150]1
- * ?o'ti ouk e'stin emin e pa'le pro`s haima kai` sa'rka: [1151]1
- * ?oi ku'rioi, to` di'kaion, kai` te`n iso'teta tois dou'lois
pare'chesthe: [1152]1
- * ?oi'tines: [1153]1
- * ?stete oun: [1154]1
- * ?stete oun perizosa'menoi te`n ospHu`n umon en alethei'a: [1155]1
- * aer: [1156]1 [1157]2
- * agathos: [1158]1
- * akouei9n: [1159]1
- * allelois: [1160]1
- * ana: [1161]1
- * anechomai: [1162]1
- * anakainosis: [1163]1
- * anakainizo, anakainoo: [1164]1
- * anakainoo: [1165]1
- * anakephalaioo: [1166]1
- * ananeo: [1167]1
- * apate: [1168]1
- * archai: [1169]1 [1170]2

- * aphrosune: [1171]1
- * hagiazein: [1172]1 [1173]2 [1174]3
- * haploos: [1175]1
- * hapto: [1176]1
- * harmos: [1177]1
- * haphaa: [1178]1
- * haphai: [1179]1
- * alloi: [1180]1
- * amomos: [1181]1
- * an. Komizomai: [1182]1
- * artios: [1183]1
- * aro: [1184]1
- * asotos: [1185]1
- * ao: [1186]1
- * ha: [1187]1
- * hagioi: [1188]1
- * hagios: [1189]1
- * hagios theolegos: [1190]1
- * Akribos peripatein: [1191]1
- * Aletheu'ontes de` en aga'pe: [1192]1
- * Anecho: [1193]1
- * Apelgeko'tes: [1194]1
- * Aphtharsi'a: [1195]1
- * Haphe: [1196]1
- * ean: [1197]1
- * egeiras ton Christon, kai humas egeire: [1198]1
- * ezoopoi'ese: [1199]1
- * ek: [1200]1 [1201]2
- * ek kardias: [1202]1

- * ek pneumatatos: [1203]1
- * ek tes sarkos autou kai ek ton osteon autou: [1204]1
- * ekkakein: [1205]1 [1206]2
- * eklerose hemin kleronomian: [1207]1
- * eklerothemen: [1208]1 [1209]2
- * eklerothete: [1210]1
- * eleutheros: [1211]1
- * elpizein en: [1212]1
- * en: [1213]1 [1214]2 [1215]3 [1216]4 [1217]5 [1218]6
- * en epignosei: [1219]1
- * en heauto: [1220]1
- * en hemera ponera: [1221]1 [1222]2
- * en hole te dianoi'a: [1223]1
- * en he (chariti) echari'tosen emas: [1224]1
- * en hes: [1225]1
- * en rhemati: [1226]1
- * en Epheso: [1227]1 [1228]2 [1229]3 [1230]4 [1231]5
- * en ete'rais geneais: [1232]1
- * en te kardi'a humon: [1233]1
- * en phobo Christou: [1234]1
- * entole: [1235]1
- * exousiai: [1236]1 [1237]2
- * epignosis tou Theou: [1238]1
- * episkopoi: [1239]1
- * episteuse moi to euangelion: [1240]1
- * este: [1241]1
- * epainon: [1242]1
- * Ek: [1243]1
- * Ektre'phein: [1244]1

- * Ele'nchein: [1245]1
- * En auto: [1246]1
- * En pasin: [1247]1
- * En pa'se proskartere'sei kai` dee'sei peri` pa'nton ton agi'on:
[1248]1
- * En pleonexi'a: [1249]1
- * Eleos: [1250]1
- * he graphe: [1251]1
- * helpikate: [1252]1
- * hemas: [1253]1
- * hemeis phu'sei loudaioi: [1254]1
- * hen epoi'ese: [1255]1
- * hen: [1256]1 [1257]2
- * hes: [1258]1 [1259]2 [1260]3 [1261]4
- * hes eperi'sseusen eis emas: [1262]1
- * idiotes to logo: [1263]1
- * hilasterion: [1264]1
- * hina: [1265]1
- * hina e agi'a kai` amomos: [1266]1
- * hina de` eidete kai` umeis: [1267]1
- * Iesoun Christon: [1268]1
- * Israel: [1269]1
- * Ischus, kratos, energeia: [1270]1
- * Hina dunethete antistenai en te eme'ra te ponera: [1271]1
- * Hina en auto parrhesia'somai: [1272]1
- * Hina paraste'se: [1273]1
- * osme euodias to Kurio.: [1274]1
- * ho Daidos odas eis ton Theon kai humnous sunetaxato: [1275]1
- * ho arrhabo`n tes kleronomi'as emon: [1276]1

* ho diabolos: [1277]1 [1278]2

* ho euloge'sas hemas en pa'se eulogi'a pneumatike: [1279]1

* ho klepsas: [1280]1

* ho men gar humnos esti theon, to de enkomion ton anthropon: [1281]1

* ho plesi'on: [1282]1

* ho poneros: [1283]1

* ho: [1284]1

* Orge: [1285]1

* humon: [1286]1

* huper ano: [1287]1

* hupo ekklesion pemphthentes: [1288]1

* umnoi men es tous theous poiountai, epainoi de es anthropous:
[1289]1

* humnos; he pros theon ode: [1290]1

* Humeis oi kath' e'na: [1291]1

* Hupe`r hou: [1292]1

* Hupera'no: [1293]1

* odai: [1294]1

* Hos: [1295]1

* he: [1296]1

* ho latreu'o: [1297]1

* rhema: [1298]1

* Rhema: [1299]1

* Auto`s ga'r estin e eire'ne emon, o poie'sas ta` ampho'tera e`n,
kai` to` meso'toichon tou phragmou lu'sas, te`n e'chthran, en te
sarki` autou, to`n no'mon ton entolon en do'gmasi katarge'sas:
[1300]1

* Dia thelematos Theou: [1301]1

* Dia: [1302]1

- * Dia` pa'ses proseuches kai` dee'seos: [1303]1
- * Dianoia: [1304]1
- * Dio` le'gei: [1305]1
- * Doulos: [1306]1 [1307]2
- * Dokimazein: [1308]1
- * Duna'mei: [1309]1
- * Ei gar he aparche ze, kai hemeis: [1310]1
- * Euangelisthai: [1311]1
- * Eulogetos ho Theos;: [1312]1
- * Eire'ne tois adelphois: [1313]1
- * Eis oikonomi'an tou plero'matos ton kairon, anakephalaio'sasthai,
ktl.: [1314]1
- * Zoopoiein: [1315]1
- * Thalpein: [1316]1
- * Theos: [1317]1
- * Theou: [1318]1 [1319]2 [1320]3 [1321]4
- * Thureos: [1322]1
- * Kurios: [1323]1 [1324]2 [1325]3
- * Kai: [1326]1
- * Kathos: [1327]1
- * Kathari'sas: [1328]1
- * Katho`s kai`: [1329]1
- * Kai` aga'pe meta` pi'steos: [1330]1
- * Kai` a'panta katergasa'menoi stenai: [1331]1
- * Kai` auto`s e'doke: [1332]1
- * Kai` endusa'menoi to`n tho'raka tes dikaiosunes: [1333]1
- * Kai` o'ntas emas: [1334]1
- * Kainos: [1335]1
- * Kaki'a: [1336]1

- * Kata` apoka'lupsin: [1337]1
- * Kata` te`n du'namin te`n energoume'nen en emin: [1338]1
- * Kenos: [1339]1
- * Kenoi logoi: [1340]1
- * Kubeia: [1341]1
- * Lalountes eautois: [1342]1
- * Martureo: [1343]1
- * Mnemeion kaino`n: [1344]1
- * Muste'rion tou euangeli'ou: [1345]1
- * Neokoros: [1346]1
- * Nouthesi'a: [1347]1
- * Oi?s proetoi'mase: [1348]1
- * Ou'tos: [1349]1
- * Pas lo'gos sapos: [1350]1
- * Pos: [1351]1
- * Parrhesi'a: [1352]1 [1353]2
- * Paidei'a: [1354]1
- * Panopli'an: [1355]1
- * Parakalo oun umas ego` o de'smios en Kuri'o: [1356]1
- * Plen: [1357]1
- * Ple'roma: [1358]1 [1359]2
- * Pneu'mati: [1360]1
- * Poiountes to` the'lema tou Theou: [1361]1
- * Poneros: [1362]1
- * Praotes: [1363]1
- * Pro`s to` du'nasthai umas ste?nai pro`s ta`s methodei'as tou diabo'lou: [1364]1
- * Pro'thesin ton aio'non: [1365]1
- * Sumbibazo: [1366]1

- * Sunarmologeō: [1367]1
- * Sunezoopoi'ese to Christō: [1368]1
- * Ta panta: [1369]1
- * To Theo kai` patri': [1370]1
- * Ta` pneumatika: [1371]1
- * Te'leios: [1372]1
- * To` muste'rion touto me'ga esti'n: [1373]1
- * Christos: [1374]1
- * Christo`s te`n ekklesi'an: [1375]1
- * Christou: [1376]1
- * a'dontes en te kardi'a: [1377]1
- * a'dontes kai` psa'llontes: [1378]1
- * a'theoi: [1379]1
- * a'rchonta: [1380]1 [1381]2
- * a'sophoi: [1382]1
- * a'phrones: [1383]1
- * a'phron: [1384]1
- * arrhabo`n: [1385]1
- * agatho`s pro`s oikodome`n: [1386]1
- * agathosu'ne: [1387]1
- * agapa'to: [1388]1
- * agapo'nton: [1389]1
- * adelpho`s: [1390]1
- * akou'santes to`n lo'gon tes alethei'as, to` euang. tes soteri'as
umon: [1391]1
- * aletheia: [1392]1
- * alethes: [1393]1
- * alethei'as: [1394]1
- * aletheu'ein: [1395]1

- * aletheu'ontes: [1396]1
- * all' ektre'phete auta` en paidei'a kai` nouthesi'a Kuri'ou: [1397]1
- * anakephalaio'sasthai: [1398]1
- * anala'bete te`n panopli'an tou Theou: [1399]1
- * ananeo: [1400]1
- * anecho'menoi alle'lon en aga'pe: [1401]1
- * anech.: [1402]1
- * anti'lutron: [1403]1
- * axi'os peripatesai tes kle'seos hes ekle'thete: [1404]1
- * apa'tes: [1405]1
- * apeitheia: [1406]1
- * apo` Theou patro`s kai` Kuri'ou Iesou Christou: [1407]1
- * apo` ton aio'non: [1408]1
- * apo` ton aio'non kai` apo` ton geneon: [1409]1
- * apo'lousai ta`s amarti'as sou: [1410]1
- * apothesthai: [1411]1
- * apokalupsis: [1412]1
- * apokatalla'xe: [1413]1
- * apolu'trosis: [1414]1
- * asoti'a: [1415]1
- * agi'a kai` a'momos: [1416]1
- * agiazein: [1417]1
- * agia'se: [1418]1 [1419]2 [1420]3
- * amarti'a: [1421]1 [1422]2
- * amarti'ai: [1423]1
- * aplo'tes: [1424]1
- * aion: [1425]1 [1426]2 [1427]3
- * aither: [1428]1
- * aitein: [1429]1

* aichmalotoi: [1430]1
* aichmalosia: [1431]1
* aidein: [1432]1
* aion: [1433]1
* auten: [1434]1
* auton kai` umon: [1435]1
* agorazein: [1436]1
* aiones: [1437]1
* aischro'tes: [1438]1 [1439]2
* aischros: [1440]1
* aitoumai: [1441]1
* au'xei eis nao`n a'gion: [1442]1
* au'xessin poieitai: [1443]1 [1444]2
* au'xessin poieitai eis oikodome`n, en aga'pe: [1445]1
* auto`s: [1446]1
* auto`s eauto: [1447]1
* basileia ton ouranon: [1448]1
* basileus ton aionon: [1449]1
* basileus tou aionos: [1450]1
* basilei'a tou Christou kai` Theou: [1451]1
* blasphemi'a: [1452]1
* genea: [1453]1 [1454]2
* geneais: [1455]1
* gino'skontes: [1456]1 [1457]2
* gnonai: [1458]1
* gnonai te: [1459]1
* gnosis: [1460]1
* gnori'sai: [1461]1
* grammateus: [1462]1

* deesis: [1463]1 [1464]2
* deo: [1465]1
* didomi: [1466]1
* dikaios: [1467]1
* dogma: [1468]1
* daimonia: [1469]1
* despotes: [1470]1
* dia Iesou Christou: [1471]1
* dia pa'nton: [1472]1
* dia: [1473]1 [1474]2
* diabolos: [1475]1
* di' apokalypseos: [1476]1
* dia` passes aphas tes epichoregias: [1477]1
* dia` passes aphas, ktl.: [1478]1
* dia` pa'ses aphas epichoregi'as: [1479]1
* dia` tes ekklesi'as: [1480]1
* dia` tes pi'steos: [1481]1
* dia` ton aphon: [1482]1
* dia` ton aphon kai` sunde'smon: [1483]1
* dia` te`n polle`n aga'pen autou: [1484]1
* dia` tou haimatos autou: [1485]1
* dia'konos: [1486]1 [1487]2 [1488]3
* diakonia: [1489]1
* diakonia: [1490]1
* diallassein, katallassein: [1491]1
* dianoian: [1492]1
* dianoias : [1493]1
* dianoi'a: [1494]1
* didaskaloi: [1495]1

* didaskalos: [1496]1
* didaskein: [1497]1
* dida'skontes kai` nouthetountes eautou's: [1498]1
* didaska'lous: [1499]1
* dikaiosune: [1500]1 [1501]2
* dio` le'gei: [1502]1
* diploos: [1503]1
* do'gma: [1504]1
* do'xes: [1505]1
* douloi tou Christou: [1506]1
* doulos: [1507]1 [1508]2
* dotheisan: [1509]1
* douleu'ontes: [1510]1
* douleu'ontes, os to Kuri'o kai` ouk anthro'pois: [1511]1
* douleuontes: [1512]1
* duna'mei krataiothenai: [1513]1
* duna'mei krataiothe?nai dia` tou pneu'matos: [1514]1
* dorea`n: [1515]1
* e'thne en sarki': [1516]1
* e'sontai' moi eis peripoi'esin: [1517]1
* e'chthran: [1518]1 [1519]2 [1520]3 [1521]4
* ego` Paulos: [1522]1
* edida'chthete: [1523]1
* edida'chthete--?apothesthai umas: [1524]1
* ek psuches: [1525]1
* ekklesi'a e`n periepoie'sato: [1526]1
* ekklesi'a: [1527]1
* eklero'themen: [1528]1 [1529]2
* elachistote'ros: [1530]1

- * ele'nchete: [1531]1
- * elencho'mena: [1532]1
- * elthon: [1533]1
- * elpi'da me` e'chontes: [1534]1
- * en: [1535]1 [1536]2 [1537]3 [1538]4 [1539]5
- * en ho: [1540]1
- * en ho dune'sesthe pa'nta ta` be'le tou ponerou ta` pepurome'na
sbe'sai: [1541]1
- * en ho kai` pisteu'santes esphragi'sthete: [1542]1
- * en ho kai` umeis: [1543]1
- * en rhe'mati: [1544]1
- * en Kuri'o: [1545]1
- * en Kuri'o: [1546]1
- * en Christo: [1547]1 [1548]2
- * en Christo lesou kai en te ekklesi'a: [1549]1
- * en aga'pe: [1550]1
- * en aga'pe: [1551]1 [1552]2 [1553]3
- * en aga'pe errizome'noi kai` tethemeliome'noi i'na, ktl.: [1554]1
- * en aga'pe spouda'zontes: [1555]1
- * en anoi'xei tou sto'mato's: [1556]1 [1557]2
- * en aphtharsi'a: [1558]1
- * en aplo'teti tes kardi'as: [1559]1
- * en auto: [1560]1
- * en bi'blo psalmon: [1561]1
- * en do'gmasi: [1562]1 [1563]2 [1564]3
- * en epourania: [1565]1
- * en eni` pneu'mati: [1566]1
- * en etoimasi'a tou euangeli'ou tes eire'nes: [1567]1
- * en kuri'o: [1568]1

- * en oli'go: [1569]1
- * en pa'se sophi'a kai` phrone'sei: [1570]1
- * en parrhesi'a: [1571]1
- * en parrhesi'a gnori'sai: [1572]1
- * en parhrhesi'a gnori'sai: [1573]1
- * en panourgia pro` s te`n methodei'an tes pla'nes: [1574]1
- * en panti` kairo: [1575]1
- * en panti': [1576]1
- * en pleonexi'a: [1577]1
- * en pneu'mati: [1578]1 [1579]2
- * en te ekklesi'a kai` en Christo Iesou: [1580]1 [1581]2
- * en te kubei'a ton anthro'pon: [1582]1
- * en te sarki: [1583]1
- * en te sarki` autou: [1584]1
- * en to aioni tou'to alla` kai` en to me'llonti: [1585]1
- * en to ai'mati tou Christou: [1586]1
- * en to pneu'mati' mou: [1587]1
- * en to psalmo to deute'ro: [1588]1
- * en tais kardi'ais: [1589]1
- * en tais kardi'ais umon, errhizome'noi: [1590]1
- * en tois agi'ois: [1591]1
- * en tois aiosin tois eperchome'nois: [1592]1
- * en tois epourani'ois: [1593]1 [1594]2 [1595]3
- * en tois uiois tes apeithei'as: [1596]1
- * en chresto'teti: [1597]1
- * endei'xetai: [1598]1
- * endu'sasthe te`n panopli'an tou Theou: [1599]1
- * entolon: [1600]1
- * ex hou: [1601]1

* exelexato: [1602]1

* exischu'sete katalabe'sthai: [1603]1

* exousi'a: [1604]1

* exousi'as: [1605]1 [1606]2

* epignosis: [1607]1

* epi` to themeli'o ton aposto'lon kai` propheton: [1608]1

* epignosis: [1609]1 [1610]2 [1611]3

* epithumi'a: [1612]1

* epipha'neian tes do'xes tou mega'lou Theou kai` soteris emon Iesou Christou: [1613]1

* epichoregia: [1614]1

* epichoregi'a: [1615]1

* epichoregi'as kat' ene'rgeian: [1616]1

* e`n pneuma: [1617]1

* e`n soma: [1618]1

* e'kastos: [1619]1

* e'kastos te`n eautou gunaika ou'tos agapa'to os eauto'n: [1620]1

* etoimasi'a: [1621]1 [1622]2

* eimi: [1623]1

* eirenen: [1624]1

* eis: [1625]1 [1626]2 [1627]3 [1628]4

* eis auton: [1629]1

* eis diakonian tois hagiois: [1630]1

* eis thanaton: [1631]1

* eis to einai hemas en auto: [1632]1

* eis ton aiona: [1633]1

* eis tous aionas: [1634]1

* eis tous aionas ton aionon: [1635]1

* eis--eis: [1636]1

- * eige akousate: [1637]1
- * einai agi'ous kai` amo'mous kateno'pion autou: [1638]1
- * einai agious kai` amomous: [1639]1
- * einai emas agi'ous: [1640]1
- * einai ta` e'thne sunklerono'ma, ktl.: [1641]1
- * heis Theo`s kai` Pate`r pa'nton, o epi` pa'nton kai` dia` pa'nton kai` en pasin hemin.: [1642]1
- * euangelisthai: [1643]1
- * euangelisasthai: [1644]1
- * euangelistes: [1645]1
- * eulogein: [1646]1
- * eutrapelos: [1647]1
- * eutrapelia: [1648]1
- * eido'tes : [1649]1
- * eis: [1650]1 [1651]2
- * eis a'ndra te'leion: [1652]1 [1653]2
- * eis a'ndra te'leion, eis me'tron eliki'as, ktl.: [1654]1
- * eis apolu'trosin tes peripoie'seos: [1655]1
- * eis auto'n: [1656]1
- * eis hauto'n: [1657]1 [1658]2
- * eis auto` touto agrupnountes: [1659]1
- * eis basilei'an tou uiou tes aga'pes autou: [1660]1
- * eis e'rgon diakoni'as: [1661]1
- * eis e'na kaino`n a'nthropon: [1662]1
- * eis me'tron eliki'as tou plero'matos tou Christou: [1663]1
- * eis me'tron, ktl.: [1664]1
- * eis osme`n euodi'as: [1665]1
- * eis pan to` ple'roma tou Theou: [1666]1
- * eis pa'sas ta`s genea`s tou aionos ton aio'non: [1667]1

* eis te`n eno'teta: [1668]1

* eis to` einai emas, eis e'painon tes do'xes autou: [1669]1

* eis to` eide'nai umas, ktl.: [1670]1

* eu'splanchnoi: [1671]1

* eua'reston to Theo: [1672]1

* euangeli'ou: [1673]1 [1674]2 [1675]3

* eudoki'a: [1676]1 [1677]2 [1678]3 [1679]4

* eudoki'a tou thelematos: [1680]1

* e de` gune`: [1681]1

* e de` gune` i'na phobetai to`n a'ndra: [1682]1

* e do'xa: [1683]1

* e kephale` pa'ses arches kai` exousi'as: [1684]1

* e oikonomi'a tou musteri'ou tou apokekrumme'nou: [1685]1

* e polupoi'kilos sophi'a: [1686]1

* e`n: [1687]1

* e'tis: [1688]1

* eliki'a: [1689]1

* eliki'as: [1690]1

* thele'mata: [1691]1

* thli'psesi: [1692]1

* thumos: [1693]1 [1694]2

* thusi'a: [1695]1

* i'ste: [1696]1 [1697]2 [1698]3

* i'na: [1699]1

* i'na dothe: [1700]1 [1701]2

* i'na moi dothe lo'gos: [1702]1

* i'na moi dothe lo'gos en anoi'xei tou sto'mato's mou en parrhesi'a gnori'sai, ktl.: [1703]1

* i'na plero'se ta` pa'nta: [1704]1

- * kosmos: [1705]1
- * kurios: [1706]1 [1707]2
- * kai: [1708]1 [1709]2 [1710]3
- * kai emphatikoteron: [1711]1
- * kai autos esti sote`r tou so'matos: [1712]1
- * kai ti's o ploutos tes do'xes tes kleronomi'as autou: [1713]1
- * kai cheirotoneutes: [1714]1
- * kai: [1715]1
- * kathos: [1716]1
- * katharizein: [1717]1
- * kathari'zein: [1718]1
- * kathari'sas: [1719]1 [1720]2 [1721]3 [1722]4 [1723]5 [1724]6
[1725]7
- * katho`s kai` ekle'thete en mia elpi'di tes kle'seos umon: [1726]1
- * katho's: [1727]1
- * katho's estin ale'theia en to lesou: [1728]1
- * kai` auto`n e'doken kephale`n upe`r pa'nta te ekklesi'a: [1729]1
- * kai` en to kra'tei tes ischu'os autou: [1730]1
- * kai` e'metha te'kna phu'sei orges: [1731]1
- * kai` xe'noi ton diathekon tes epangeli'as: [1732]1
- * kai` touto: [1733]1
- * kai` umon auton o ku'rio's: [1734]1
- * kai` umeis: [1735]1
- * kainon: [1736]1
- * kainos: [1737]1 [1738]2 [1739]3 [1740]4 [1741]5 [1742]6
- * kaino`s a'nthropos: [1743]1
- * kairos: [1744]1 [1745]2 [1746]3 [1747]4
- * kalos: [1748]1
- * kardia: [1749]1 [1750]2 [1751]3

- * kardias : [1752]1
- * kardi'a: [1753]1 [1754]2
- * kata pneuma: [1755]1
- * kata sarka: [1756]1 [1757]2
- * kata: [1758]1 [1759]2 [1760]3 [1761]4
- * kata` ene'rgeian: [1762]1
- * kata` pro'thesin: [1763]1
- * kata` ta`s epithumi'as tes apa'tes: [1764]1
- * kata` te`n dorea`n tes cha'ritos tou Theou: [1765]1
- * kata` te`n ene'rgeian tou kra'tous tes ischu'os autou: [1766]1
- * kata` te`n eudoki'an autou, e`n proe'theto en auto: [1767]1
- * kata` te`n eudoki'an tou thele'matos autou: [1768]1
- * kata` te`n prote'ran anastrophe`n: [1769]1
- * kata` to` me'tron tes doreas tou Christou: [1770]1
- * kata` to`n a'rchonta tes exousi'as tou ae'ros: [1771]1
- * katalabe'sthai: [1772]1
- * katante'somen : [1773]1
- * katargesas: [1774]1 [1775]2
- * katargein e'chthran: [1776]1
- * katarge'sas: [1777]1 [1778]2 [1779]3
- * katarge'sas ton nomon: [1780]1
- * katartizo: [1781]1
- * katartismos: [1782]1
- * katergazesthai: [1783]1
- * katoikesai to`n Christo`n dia` tes pi'steos en tais kardi'ais umon:
[1784]1
- * katoikete'rion: [1785]1
- * katoikete'rion tou theou: [1786]1
- * kenois logois: [1787]1

- * kephalen: [1788]1
- * kephalaioo: [1789]1
- * klesin kalein, agapen agapan: [1790]1
- * klesis: [1791]1
- * kleroo: [1792]1
- * kleroun: [1793]1
- * klerousthai: [1794]1
- * kludonizo'menoi kai` periphero'menoi panti` ane'mo: [1795]1
- * konomi'a: [1796]1
- * kosmokratores: [1797]1
- * kosmokrator: [1798]1
- * krataiothenai eis to`n e'so a'nthropon: [1799]1
- * kubeia: [1800]1
- * kubos: [1801]1
- * lego: [1802]1
- * luein e'chthran: [1803]1
- * lusas: [1804]1 [1805]2
- * lusas to` meso'toichon: [1806]1
- * lalountes eautois: [1807]1
- * lao`s eis peripoi'esin: [1808]1
- * lo'gos: [1809]1
- * loutron tou u'datos: [1810]1
- * lu'sas: [1811]1
- * lu'tron anti` pollon: [1812]1
- * misthios: [1813]1
- * makrothumi'a: [1814]1
- * manthanein to`n Christo'n: [1815]1
- * martu'romai: [1816]1
- * mataio'tes: [1817]1

- * me'chri katante'somen oi pa'ntes: [1818]1
- * methodeuo: [1819]1
- * methodei'a: [1820]1
- * meso'toichon: [1821]1 [1822]2
- * meso'toichon tou phragmou: [1823]1
- * meta hodos: [1824]1
- * meta pho'bou kai` tro'mou: [1825]1
- * met' eunoias: [1826]1 [1827]2
- * meta` makroth.: [1828]1
- * meta` ton presbute'ron kai` grammate'on: [1829]1
- * me` ekkakein: [1830]1
- * me` sunkoinoneite: [1831]1
- * mi'an upe`r amartion thusi'an: [1832]1
- * misthotos: [1833]1
- * morphen doulou labon: [1834]1
- * musterion: [1835]1 [1836]2
- * muste'rion: [1837]1 [1838]2
- * morologia: [1839]1
- * neon: [1840]1
- * neos: [1841]1 [1842]2 [1843]3
- * neos a'nthropos: [1844]1
- * ne'pios: [1845]1
- * no'mo: [1846]1
- * no'mon: [1847]1 [1848]2 [1849]3
- * nous: [1850]1 [1851]2 [1852]3 [1853]4 [1854]5 [1855]6
- * nous, kardia, psuche: [1856]1
- * nous, tithemi: [1857]1
- * noutheteo: [1858]1
- * xe'noi: [1859]1

* o'ntes: [1860]1 [1861]2 [1862]3
* o agapeto`s adelpho`s kai` pisto`s dia'konos en kuri'o: [1863]1
* o de'smios: [1864]1
* o de'smios tou Christou: [1865]1
* o pate`r tes do'xes: [1866]1
* o' ea'n ti: [1867]1
* o's estin eidolola'tres: [1868]1
* o'ti: [1869]1
* o'ti ou'tos ege'neto eudoki'a e'mprosthe'n sou: [1870]1
* o', ti ean: [1871]1
* osio'tes: [1872]1
* oiktirmos: [1873]1
* hoi ek tes Kaisaros oikias: [1874]1
* hoi periiontes ekerutton: [1875]1
* oida ego o'ti kairo`n umeis exagora'zete: [1876]1
* oikos: [1877]1
* oinon ne'on eis askou`s kainous: [1878]1
* hois: [1879]1 [1880]2
* hois oen autois: [1881]1
* hou phusei, alla tisi nomois: [1882]1
* oun: [1883]1
* oikeioi: [1884]1
* oikodome`n tes chrei'as: [1885]1
* oikonomi'a: [1886]1 [1887]2 [1888]3 [1889]4 [1890]5 [1891]6 [1892]7
* oi lego'menoi akrobusti'a: [1893]1
* ouk anekonta: [1894]1
* ou'tos: [1895]1
* panta: [1896]1
* pistis: [1897]1 [1898]2

- * pistis : [1899]1
- * pan rthesis: [1900]1
- * pasa he oikodome: [1901]1
- * pasa patria: [1902]1 [1903]2
- * poros: [1904]1
- * pos akribos peripateite: [1905]1
- * pa'le: [1906]1
- * pa'nta: [1907]1
- * pa'nton: [1908]1
- * pa'roikoi: [1909]1
- * palingenesia: [1910]1
- * paradidonai: [1911]1 [1912]2
- * parakale'se ta`s kardi'as umon: [1913]1
- * pare'doken eauto`n: [1914]1
- * parthenon hagnen parastesai to Christo: [1915]1
- * parorgismos: [1916]1
- * patria: [1917]1
- * patria: [1918]1
- * pepisteumai to euangelion: [1919]1
- * peporotai: [1920]1
- * peripateite: [1921]1
- * peripoiesis: [1922]1
- * perisseuo: [1923]1
- * pephotisme'nous tou`s ophthalmou`s tes kardi'as umon: [1924]1
- * pi'stis kata ten energeian: [1925]1
- * pi'stis ton energeias: [1926]1
- * pikros: [1927]1
- * pistos: [1928]1
- * pisteu'ein: [1929]1

- * pisteu'ontas kata` ene'rgeian: [1930]1
- * pleroma: [1931]1
- * pleonexia: [1932]1
- * pleonexi'a: [1933]1
- * ple`n: [1934]1
- * ple'roma: [1935]1 [1936]2 [1937]3
- * ple'roma : [1938]1
- * ple'roma tes poleos: [1939]1
- * pleroo: [1940]1
- * plerousthe: [1941]1
- * pleroumenou: [1942]1
- * pleroume'nou: [1943]1 [1944]2
- * plero'matos: [1945]1
- * ploutos tes do'xes: [1946]1
- * plou'sios o`n en ele'ei: [1947]1
- * pneumatos: [1948]1
- * pneuma: [1949]1 [1950]2 [1951]3 [1952]4 [1953]5
- * pneuma sophi'as: [1954]1
- * pneuma, nous, kardia, psuche: [1955]1
- * pneu'matos: [1956]1 [1957]2 [1958]3
- * pneumatikai poneriai: [1959]1
- * pneumatikai poneri'ai: [1960]1
- * poion eire'nen: [1961]1
- * poimenes: [1962]1
- * poiou?ntes: [1963]1
- * politei'a: [1964]1
- * politei'a tou Israel: [1965]1
- * poneriai: [1966]1
- * pro chronon aionion: [1967]1

- * pros: [1968]1
- * pros theous hosion kai pros anthropous dikaion esti: [1969]1
- * pros kat: [1970]1
- * pros, eis--eis: [1971]1
- * praos: [1972]1
- * praitorion: [1973]1
- * presbeu'on en alu'sei eimi: [1974]1
- * pro` kataboles ko'smou: [1975]1
- * pro`s o`: [1976]1
- * pro`s to`n katartismo`n ton agi'on, eis e'rgon diakoni'as, eis oikodome`n tou so'matos tou Christou: [1977]1
- * pro'thesin--pro` chro'non aioni'on: [1978]1
- * proori'sas: [1979]1
- * prosagoge: [1980]1
- * proseuche: [1981]1 [1982]2
- * proseucho'menoi: [1983]1
- * prospherein: [1984]1
- * prosphora: [1985]1
- * prosphora`n kai` thusi'an: [1986]1
- * propheton: [1987]1
- * pro'te: [1988]1
- * pro'te, en epangeli'a: [1989]1
- * pulegeas--katho`s exele'xato emas: [1990]1
- * po'rosin tes kardi'as: [1991]1
- * poros: [1992]1
- * poroo: [1993]1
- * re?ma Theou: [1994]1
- * sarx: [1995]1 [1996]2 [1997]3 [1998]4
- * sarx mia: [1999]1

- * sunesis: [2000]1
- * sussoma: [2001]1
- * sapos: [2002]1
- * sesosmenous einai: [2003]1
- * skotos: [2004]1
- * sko'tos: [2005]1
- * sophoi: [2006]1
- * spouda'zontes: [2007]1
- * stenagmoi alaletoi: [2008]1
- * su'ssoma: [2009]1
- * sumbibazo'menon dia` ton aphog: [2010]1
- * sumbib. dia--kata: [2011]1
- * sumbib. dia` pases aphas: [2012]1
- * summachia: [2013]1
- * sumpolitai: [2014]1
- * sunarmologoume'ne: [2015]1
- * sunezoopoi'ese, sunegeire, sunekathise: [2016]1
- * sunergos en Christo, agapetos en Kurio, dokimos en Christo, eklektos en Kurio: [2017]1
- * sunientes: [2018]1
- * sunoikodomeisthe: [2019]1
- * ta aichmalota: [2020]1
- * ta katotera mere tes ges: [2021]1
- * ta panta: [2022]1
- * te: [2023]1
- * to ek merous: [2024]1
- * to hippikon: [2025]1
- * to kalon kai to aischron: [2026]1
- * to loipon, adelphoi mou, endunamousthe en Kurio: [2027]1

- * to pisteuein: [2028]1
- * to te'leion: [2029]1
- * ton onta huper panta ta oromena kai ta nooumena Christon: [2030]1
- * ton kata` te`n prot. ktl.: [2031]1
- * tes ges: [2032]1
- * tes cha'ritos tes dothei'ses: [2033]1
- * te: [2034]1
- * te dianoi'a: [2035]1
- * te dianoi'a tes kardi'as auton: [2036]1
- * ton entolon: [2037]1
- * to Theo kai patri: [2038]1
- * to loutro tou hudatos to: [2039]1
- * to loutro, ktl.: [2040]1
- * to pneu'mati tou noo`s umon: [2041]1
- * to proseuche proskarterountes: [2042]1
- * to upe`r pa'nta poiesai du'nameno: [2043]1
- * ta` auta` poieite pro`s autou's: [2044]1
- * ta` epi` tois ouranois kai` ta epi tes ges: [2045]1
- * ta` kat' eme': [2046]1
- * ta` kruphe gino'mena: [2047]1
- * ta` pa'nta: [2048]1 [2049]2
- * ta` pa'nta en pasi: [2050]1
- * ta` pneumata: [2051]1
- * ta` pneumatika` tes poneri'as: [2052]1 [2053]2
- * ta` upsela` phronountes: [2054]1
- * tais archais kai` tais exousi'ais en tois epourani'ois: [2055]1
- * tapeinophrosu'nes: [2056]1
- * te'leios: [2057]1
- * tetuphotai: [2058]1

- * te`n aphasin ton paraptoma'ton: [2059]1
- * te`n iso'teta: [2060]1
- * te`n kath' umas pi'stin: [2061]1
- * te`n parrhesi'an kai` prosagoge`n en pepoithe'sei: [2062]1
- * ti' pra'sso: [2063]1
- * ti' to` uperba'llon me'gethos tes duna'meos autou: [2064]1
- * to` pneuma' estin to` zoopoioun: [2065]1
- * to` soma dia` ton aphon epichoregou'menon: [2066]1
- * to` uperba'llon me'gethos, ktl: [2067]1
- * to`n a'rchonta tes exousi'as: [2068]1
- * to`n anexichni'aston ploutos tou Christou: [2069]1
- * to`n aiona tou ko'smou: [2070]1
- * to`n thureo`n tes pi'steos: [2071]1
- * to`n kata` Theo`n ktisthe'nta, ktl.: [2072]1
- * tous de didaskalous: [2073]1
- * tous men apostolous, tous de prophetas, tou de euangelistas, tous de poimenas: [2074]1
- * tous men--tous de: [2075]1
- * tois hagiois--kaii pistois en Christo: [2076]1
- * tois engus: [2077]1
- * tois dia ton Christon desmois enabrunetai mallon e basileus diademati: [2078]1
- * tois ousin: [2079]1
- * tois ousin en Epheso : [2080]1
- * tois parapto'masi kai` tais amarti'ais: [2081]1
- * tou en rhemati: [2082]1
- * tou Kuriou hemon Iesou Christou: [2083]1
- * tou aionos: [2084]1
- * tou pneu'matos: [2085]1

- * tou pneu'matos tou nun energountos, ktl.: [2086]1
- * tou ponerou: [2087]1
- * tou sko'tous tou aionos tou'tou: [2088]1
- * tou`s amphote'rous en eni` so'mati: [2089]1
- * tou`s de` poime'nas kai` didaska'lous: [2090]1
- * tou`s du'o: [2091]1
- * tou`s proelpiko'tas en to Christo: [2092]1
- * tou'tou cha'rin: [2093]1
- * umas: [2094]1 [2095]2
- * umin: [2096]1
- * umon: [2097]1
- * umeis: [2098]1
- * umeis hoi tote o'ntes makra`n, engu`s egene'thete: [2099]1
- * upe`r emon: [2100]1
- * upe`r tes eudoki'as: [2101]1
- * upe`r umon ton ethnon: [2102]1
- * uper ekperissou hon aitou'metha e` nooumen: [2103]1
- * upo` tou photos: [2104]1
- * huiiothesia: [2105]1
- * uiois ton anthro'pon: [2106]1
- * uiou tou Theou: [2107]1
- * phusei: [2108]1
- * phaneroutai: [2109]1 [2110]2
- * phanerou'menon: [2111]1 [2112]2 [2113]3
- * phtheiro'menon: [2114]1
- * phobeo: [2115]1
- * phronema: [2116]1
- * phronema tes sarkos : [2117]1
- * phronema tou pneumatous : [2118]1

* phronesin: [2119]1
* phronesis: [2120]1 [2121]2
* phronesis : [2122]1
* phragmou: [2123]1
* phroneo: [2124]1
* phu'sei: [2125]1 [2126]2
* phu'sei : [2127]1
* phu'sis: [2128]1 [2129]2 [2130]3 [2131]4 [2132]5 [2133]6
* photizein: [2134]1
* photisai: [2135]1
* photisai pantas: [2136]1
* photos: [2137]1
* photismos tou euangeli'ou: [2138]1
* charin charitoun: [2139]1
* charis: [2140]1 [2141]2 [2142]3 [2143]4 [2144]5
* charis : [2145]1
* cha'rin didonai: [2146]1
* cha'ris: [2147]1
* cha'ritos: [2148]1
* charizo'menoi eautois: [2149]1
* charitoo: [2150]1
* choregeo, choros, ago: [2151]1
* chronos: [2152]1 [2153]2
* chrestos: [2154]1
* chresto'teti: [2155]1
* chrestoi': [2156]1
* psa'llein: [2157]1
* psalmon: [2158]1
* psalmos: [2159]1

- * psalmos, u'mnos, ode: [2160]1
- * psuche: [2161]1 [2162]2 [2163]3 [2164]4
- * os: [2165]1 [2166]2
- * os dei me lalesai: [2167]1
- * os nun apokalu'phthe en pneu'mati: [2168]1
- * os to Kuri'o: [2169]1
- * os to Christo: [2170]1

Index of Latin Words and Phrases

- * Ergo, says Calvin, nemo fidelis, nisi qui etiam sanctus: et nemo
rursum sanctus, nisi qui fidelis. : [2171]1
- * jure divino: [2172]1
- * unitatem ecclesiae, quod est corpus spirituale.: [2173]1
- * "Vitam Dei," says Beza, "appellat vitam illam, qua Deus vivit in
suis.": [2174]1
- * kleroun: [2175]1
- * Apostolis proximi erant Evangelistae: [2176]1
- * Carmen Christo quasi Deo dicunt secum invicem: [2177]1
- * Dicit mortuos fuisse: [2178]1
- * Dicit nos esse ejus membra, ex carne et ossibus: [2179]1
- * Duabus de causis angelos quoque oportuit cum Deo pacificari, nam
quam creaturae sint extra lapsus periculum non erant, non nisi
Christi gratia fuissent confirmati Deinde in hac ipsa
obedientia, quam præstant Deo, non est tam exquisita perfectio, ut
Deo omni ex parte et extra veniam satisfaciat.: [2180]1
- * Ephesios monet, ne sub occasione emolumenti furti crimen incurrant,
furtum nominans, omne quod alterius damno quaeritur.: [2181]1
- * Faltitur ergo siquis seorsum crescere appetit.: [2182]1
- * Gentium vocatio mirabile est divinae bonitatis opus, quod filiis

parentes et avi nepotibus tradere per manus debent, ut nunquam ex hominum animis silentio deleatur.: [2183]1

* Hoc autem axioma sumit Christus, Ab initio Deus marem adjunxit feminae, ut duo efficerent integrum hominem. Ergo qui uxorem repudiat, quasi dimidiam sui partem a seipso avellit. Hoc autem minime patitur natura, ut corpus suum quispiam discerpat.: [2184]1

* Hoc signo vinces: [2185]1

* Idem in epistola: [2186]1

* Minarum enim et omnis atrocitatis hoc initium est, quod servos domini, quasi sua tantum causa natos, nihilo pluris faciunt quam pecudes. Ergo sub una specie vetat ne contumeliose et atrociter tractetur.: [2187]1

* Neque enim Satanam modo et peccatum et mortem totosque inferos prostravit, sed ex rebellibus quotidie facit sibi obsequentem populum, quum verbo suo carnis nostræ lasciviam domat; rursus hostes suos, h. e. impios omnes quasi ferreis catenis continet constrictos, dum illorum furorem cohibet sua virtute, ne plus valeant, quam illis concedit.: [2188]1

* Nihil ergo de Christo didicit qui nihil vita ab infidelibus differt; neque enim a mortificatione carnis separari potest Christi cognitio.: [2189]1

* Nihil tamen impedit, quominus angelos quoque dicamus recollectos fuisse, non ex dissipatione, sed primum. ut perfecte et solide adhereant Deo; deinde ut perpetuum statum retineant Quis neget, tam angelos quam homines, in firmum ordinem Christo gratia fuisse redactos? homines enim perditii erant, angeli vero non erant extra periculum.: [2190]1

* Non enim ait: alios autem pastores et alios magistros, sed alios pastores et magistros, ut qui pastor est, esse debeat et magister.:

[2191]1

* O si animis nostris insideret haec cogitatio, hanc legem nobis esse propositam, ut non magis dissidere inter se possint filii Dei, quam regnum coelorum dividi, quanto in colenda fraterna benevolentia essemus cautiores? quanto nobis horrore essent omnes simultates, si reputaremus, ut decet, eos omnes se alienare a regno Dei, qui a fratribus se disjungunt? sed nescio qui fit, ut secure nos esse filios Dei gloriemur, mutuae inter nos fraternitatis obliti.

Discamus itaque ex Paulo, ejusdem hereditatis minime esse capaces, nisi qui unum corpus sunt et unus spiritus.: [2192]1

* Os opertum cupit, quod erumpet in liquidam et firmam confessionem. Ore enim semiclauso proferuntur ambigua et perplexa responsa.:

[2193]1

* Pater gloriae, infinitae illius, quae refulget in facie Christi; immo gloriae quae est ipse filius Dei.: [2194]1

* Per Evangelistas eos intelligo, qui quum in dignitate apostolis minores, officio tamen proximi erant, adeoque vices eorum gerebant. Quales fuerunt, Lucas, Timotheus, Titus, et reliqui similes.:

[2195]1

* Principium essendi: [2196]1

* Prudentia enim, quam Graeci phronesis: [2197]1

* Quasi diceret, nos penitus corpore et anima, non ex parte duntaxat, debere esse unitos.: [2198]1

* Quicumque gentilem appropinquare facit, et proselytum facit, idem est ac si ipsum creasset. : [2199]1

* Quid gignit ebrietas? dissolutam proterviam, ut quasi excusso freno indecenter homines exultent. Quid spiritualis laetitia, quum ea perfusi sumus? hymnos, psalmos, laudes Dei, gratiarum actiones. Hi sunt vere jucundi fructus et delectabiles. : [2200]1

* Quod Baptismo nos ablui docet Paulus, ideo est, quod illic nobis ablutionem nostram testatur Deus, et simul efficit quod figurat. Nisi enim conjuncta esset rei veritas, aut exhibitio, quod idem est, impropria haec loquutio esset. Baptismus est lavacrum animae. Interea cavendum, ne quod unius Dei est, vel ad signum, vel ad ministrum transferatur; hoc est, ut minister censetur ablutionis auctor, ut aqua putetur animae sordes purgare; quod non nisi Christi sanguini convenit. Denique cavendum, ne ulla fiduciae nostrae portio vel in elemento, vel in homine haereat. Quando hic demum verus ac rectus sacramenti usus est, recta nos ad Christum manu ducere, et in ipso sistere. Quod autem aliqui in hoc baptismi elogio magis extenuando sudant, ne signo nimium tribuatur, si vocetur animae lavacrum; perperam faciunt. Nam primum apostolus non docet signum esse, quod mundet sed asserit solius Dei esse opus. Est ergo Deus qui mundat; nec transferri hoc honoris ad signum fas est, aut signo communicari. Verum signo Deum tanquam organo uti, non est absurdum; non quia virtus Dei inclusa sit in signo, sed quia nobis eam pro imbecillitatis nostrae captu tali adminiculo distribuat. Id quosdam male habet, quia putant Spiritui sancto auferri, quod est ejus proprium et quod illi scriptura passim vindicat. Sed falluntur; nam ita Deus per signum agit, ut tota signi efficacia nihilominus a Spiritu suo pendeat. Ita nihil plus signo tribuitur, quam ut sit inferius organum, et quidem a seipso inutile, nisi quatenus aliunde vim suam mutuatur. Quod praeterea verentur ne libertas Dei sit alligatur, frivolum est. Neque enim affixa est signis Dei gratia, quin citra adminiculum signi libere eam distribuat, si velit, deinde multi signum recipiunt, qui tamen gratiae non fiunt participes, quia signum omnibus est commune, hoc est, bonis indifferenter ac malis; Spiritus autem non nisi electis

confertur; acqui signum, ut diximus, absque Spiritu est inefficax.

: [2201]1

* Respondeo, quoties a Deo vocati sunt homines, dona necessarie conjuncta esse officiis; neque enim Deus, apostolos aut pastores instituendo, larvam illis duntaxat imponit; sed dotibus etiam instruit, sine quibus rite functionem sibi injunctam obire nequeunt. Quisquis ergo Dei auctoritate constituitur apostolus, non inani et nudo titulo, sed mandato simul et facultate praeditus est.: [2202]1

* Robur, Potential, Efficacia: [2203]1

* Sicuti Christus ecclesiae suae praeest in ejus salutem, ita nihil esso mulieri utilius nec magis salubre, quam ut marito subsit. Perire igitur affectant quae renuunt subjectionem, sub qua salvae esse poterant.: [2204]1

* Sorte electi sumus: [2205]1

* Sorte vocati sumus: [2206]1

* Spiritum mentis dicere voluit eum spiritum, quae mens vocatur.: [2207]1

* Spiritus mentis est ipsa mens: [2208]1

* Stulti homines: [2209]1

* Summa praesentis loci est, says Calvin, quod Deus in neinim omnia contulerit; sed quisque certam mensuram receperit; ut alii aliis indigeant et in commune conferendo quod singulis datum est, alii alios mutuo juvent.: [2210]1

* Suspikor non tam ad Ephesios ipsos proprie missam epistolam, quam ad Ephesum, ut ad caeteras Asiaticas ecclesias transmitteretur.: [2211]1

* Totum autem ex eo pendet quod uxor ex carne et ex ossibus viri formata est. Eadem ergo unionis ratio inter nos et Christum, quod

se quodammodo in nos transfundit. Neque enim ossa sumus ex ossibus
ejus, et caro ex carne, quia ipse nobiscum est homo; sed quia
Spiritus sui virtute nos in corpus suum inserit, ut vitam ex eo
hauriamus.: [2212]1

* Ut segregaret eam sibi: [2213]1

* Utitur apta similitudine, says Calvin, quum dicit, photisai pantas:
[2214]1

* Vinculum quo pax retinetur est ipse amor.: [2215]1

* accedit verbum ad elementum et : [2216]1

* ad finem perductus: [2217]1

* bene intelligere: [2218]1

* caput super omnem ecclesiam: [2219]1

* causa apprehendens: [2220]1

* coelum gloriae: [2221]1

* coelum gratiae: [2222]1

* coelum naturae: [2223]1

* concordia animorum: [2224]1

* debellare, vincere: [2225]1

* dedisti dona filiis hominum.: [2226]1

* desensus ad inferos: [2227]1

* donorum Dei perfectio: [2228]1

* donorum plenitudo: [2229]1

* ex animo: [2230]1

* felix necessitas boni: [2231]1

* foederati: [2232]1 [2233]2

* gratia amplexus est: [2234]1

* gratis nos sibi acceptos effecit: [2235]1

* in ipso, videlicet adoptandos: [2236]1

* ipsum miseris succurrendi studium: [2237]1

- * iterum: [2238]1
- * longe supra: [2239]1
- * magnum est pietatis sacramentum: [2240]1
- * non voce tantum, sed et animo: [2241]1
- * omnium rerum summus dominus et possessor: [2242]1
- * origine carnali, natalibus: [2243]1
- * partes disjectas et divulsas in unum, corpus conjungere: [2244]1
- * peculium: [2245]1
- * pleno ore et intrepida lingua: [2246]1
- * quatenus intelligit, appetit et sentit: [2247]1
- * qui tendit ad exitium: [2248]1
- * quod nascenti inest, sed quod consuetudo in naturam vertit: [2249]1
- * sacramentum: [2250]1 [2251]2 [2252]3
- * sacramentum hoc magnum est: [2253]1
- * secula, aetates seu tempora inde ab apostolicis illis ad finem mundi secuturas: [2254]1
- * sine qua non: [2255]1
- * summatim colligere: [2256]1
- * summatim recolligere: [2257]1
- * terminus ad quem: [2258]1
- * usus : [2259]1
- * ut perinde sit, ac si Christus faciat.: [2260]1
- * voluntas liberrima, beneplacitum: [2261]1

Index of German Words and Phrases

- * Auf, werde licht, denn es kommt dein Licht, und die Herrlichkeit Jehovah's gehet iiber dir auf.: [2262]1
- * Deshalb: [2263]1
- * Diese Form des Ausdrucks ist Reminiscenz von Gen. 2, 23: [2264]1

- * Durch das Wasserbad im Wort: [2265]1
- * Erkennen: [2266]1
- * Kennen: [2267]1
- * Lächerer: [2268]1
- * Nicht die geistige: [2269]1
- * Tauscherei damit sie uns erschleichen zu verführen: [2270]1
- * Und hat ihn gesetzt zum Haupt der Gemeinde über alles.: [2271]1
- * Und ihn gesetzet über alles zum Haupte der Gemeinde: [2272]1
- * Wie wir zu v. 30: [2273]1
- * durch Entwicklung natürlicher Disposition: [2274]1
- * durch alle Gelenke, dadurch eins dem andern Handreichung thut:
[2275]1
- * unsträflich: [2276]1

Index of Pages of the Print Edition

[2277]i [2278]ii [2279]iii [2280]iv [2281]v [2282]vi [2283]vii
 [2284]viii [2285]ix [2286]x [2287]xi [2288]xii [2289]xiii
 [2290]xiv [2291]xv [2292]xvi [2293]xvii [2294]xviii [2295]xix
 [2296]xx [2297]21 [2298]22 [2299]23 [2300]24 [2301]25 [2302]26
 [2303]27 [2304]28 [2305]29 [2306]30 [2307]31 [2308]32 [2309]33
 [2310]34 [2311]35 [2312]36 [2313]37 [2314]38 [2315]39 [2316]40
 [2317]41 [2318]42 [2319]43 [2320]44 [2321]45 [2322]46 [2323]47
 [2324]48 [2325]49 [2326]50 [2327]51 [2328]52 [2329]53 [2330]54
 [2331]55 [2332]56 [2333]57 [2334]58 [2335]59 [2336]60 [2337]61
 [2338]62 [2339]63 [2340]64 [2341]65 [2342]66 [2343]67 [2344]68
 [2345]69 [2346]70 [2347]71 [2348]72 [2349]73 [2350]74 [2351]75
 [2352]76 [2353]77 [2354]78 [2355]79 [2356]80 [2357]81 [2358]82
 [2359]83 [2360]84 [2361]85 [2362]86 [2363]87 [2364]88 [2365]89
 [2366]90 [2367]91 [2368]92 [2369]93 [2370]94 [2371]95 [2372]96

[2373]97 [2374]98 [2375]99 [2376]100 [2377]101 [2378]102
[2379]103 [2380]104 [2381]105 [2382]106 [2383]107 [2384]108
[2385]109 [2386]110 [2387]111 [2388]112 [2389]113 [2390]114
[2391]115 [2392]116 [2393]117 [2394]118 [2395]119 [2396]120
[2397]121 [2398]122 [2399]123 [2400]124 [2401]125 [2402]126
[2403]127 [2404]128 [2405]129 [2406]130 [2407]131 [2408]132
[2409]133 [2410]134 [2411]135 [2412]136 [2413]137 [2414]138
[2415]139 [2416]140 [2417]141 [2418]142 [2419]143 [2420]144
[2421]145 [2422]146 [2423]147 [2424]148 [2425]149 [2426]150
[2427]151 [2428]152 [2429]153 [2430]154 [2431]155 [2432]156
[2433]157 [2434]158 [2435]159 [2436]160 [2437]161 [2438]162
[2439]163 [2440]164 [2441]165 [2442]166 [2443]167 [2444]168
[2445]169 [2446]170 [2447]171 [2448]172 [2449]173 [2450]174
[2451]175 [2452]176 [2453]177 [2454]178 [2455]179 [2456]180
[2457]181 [2458]182 [2459]183 [2460]184 [2461]185 [2462]186
[2463]187 [2464]188 [2465]189 [2466]190 [2467]191 [2468]192
[2469]193 [2470]194 [2471]195 [2472]196 [2473]197 [2474]198
[2475]199 [2476]200 [2477]201 [2478]202 [2479]203 [2480]204
[2481]205 [2482]206 [2483]207 [2484]208 [2485]209 [2486]210
[2487]211 [2488]212 [2489]213 [2490]214 [2491]215 [2492]216
[2493]217 [2494]218 [2495]219 [2496]220 [2497]221 [2498]222
[2499]223 [2500]224 [2501]225 [2502]226 [2503]227 [2504]228
[2505]229 [2506]230 [2507]231 [2508]232 [2509]233 [2510]234
[2511]235 [2512]236 [2513]237 [2514]238 [2515]239 [2516]240
[2517]241 [2518]242 [2519]243 [2520]244 [2521]245 [2522]246
[2523]247 [2524]248 [2525]249 [2526]250 [2527]251 [2528]252
[2529]253 [2530]254 [2531]255 [2532]256 [2533]257 [2534]258
[2535]259 [2536]260 [2537]261 [2538]262 [2539]263 [2540]264
[2541]265 [2542]266 [2543]267 [2544]268 [2545]269 [2546]270

[2547]271 [2548]272 [2549]273 [2550]274 [2551]275 [2552]276
[2553]277 [2554]278 [2555]279 [2556]280 [2557]281 [2558]282
[2559]283 [2560]284 [2561]285 [2562]286 [2563]287 [2564]288
[2565]289 [2566]290 [2567]291 [2568]292 [2569]293 [2570]294
[2571]295 [2572]296 [2573]297 [2574]298 [2575]299 [2576]300
[2577]301 [2578]302 [2579]303 [2580]304 [2581]305 [2582]306
[2583]307 [2584]308 [2585]309 [2586]310 [2587]311 [2588]312
[2589]313 [2590]314 [2591]315 [2592]316 [2593]317 [2594]318
[2595]319 [2596]320 [2597]321 [2598]322 [2599]323 [2600]324
[2601]325 [2602]326 [2603]327 [2604]328 [2605]329 [2606]330
[2607]331 [2608]332 [2609]333 [2610]334 [2611]335 [2612]336
[2613]337 [2614]338 [2615]339 [2616]340 [2617]341 [2618]342
[2619]343 [2620]344 [2621]345 [2622]346 [2623]347 [2624]348
[2625]349 [2626]350 [2627]351 [2628]352 [2629]353 [2630]354
[2631]355 [2632]356 [2633]357 [2634]358 [2635]359 [2636]360
[2637]361 [2638]362 [2639]363 [2640]364 [2641]365 [2642]366
[2643]367 [2644]368 [2645]369 [2646]370 [2647]371 [2648]372
[2649]373 [2650]374 [2651]375 [2652]376 [2653]377 [2654]378
[2655]379 [2656]380 [2657]381 [2658]382 [2659]383 [2660]384
[2661]385 [2662]386 [2663]387 [2664]388 [2665]389 [2666]390
[2667]391 [2668]392 [2669]393 [2670]394 [2671]395 [2672]396
[2673]397 [2674]398

This document is from the Christian Classics Ethereal
Library at Calvin College, <http://www.ccel.org>,
generated on demand from ThML source.

References

1. <file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gen&scrCh=2&scrV=20#iii.v-p9>
8.3

2. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gen&scrCh=2&scrV=23#iii.v-p90.1
3. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gen&scrCh=2&scrV=24#iii.v-p93.1
4. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gen&scrCh=2&scrV=24#iii.v-p101.3
5. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gen&scrCh=2&scrV=24#iii.v-p110.1
6. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gen&scrCh=2&scrV=24#iii.v-p114.2
7. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gen&scrCh=17&scrV=14#iii.v-p82.4
8. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gen&scrCh=24&scrV=14#iii.ii-p51.2
9. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gen&scrCh=47&scrV=9#iii.v-p42.14
10. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Lev&scrCh=19&scrV=7#iii.ii-p29.9
11. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Num&scrCh=5&scrV=18#iii.iv-p133.2
12. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Num&scrCh=15&scrV=39#iii.ii-p29.10
13. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Num&scrCh=35&scrV=34#iii.ii-p72.2
14. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Deut&scrCh=25&scrV=2#iii.ii-p30.2
15. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Judg&scrCh=5&scrV=12#iii.iv-p35.9
16. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Judg&scrCh=5&scrV=15#iii.iii-p73.4
17. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Sam&scrCh=20&scrV=31#iii.ii-p30.3
18. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Sam&scrCh=12&scrV=5#iii.ii-p30.4

19. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Kgs&scrCh=3&scrV=9#iii.iii-p73.2
20. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Kgs&scrCh=3&scrV=12#iii.iii-p73.2
21. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Kgs&scrCh=8&scrV=27#iii.i-p126.4
22. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Job&scrCh=11&scrV=8#iii.iii-p77.3
23.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ps&scrCh=4&scrV=5#iii.iv-p124.2
24.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ps&scrCh=4&scrV=5#iii.iv-p124.3
25.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ps&scrCh=7&scrV=13#iii.vi-p63.5
26.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ps&scrCh=8&scrV=7#iii.i-p116.1
27.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ps&scrCh=8&scrV=7#iii.i-p117.1
28.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ps&scrCh=9&scrV=11#iii.iii-p72.3
29. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ps&scrCh=19&scrV=1#iii.iv-p124.6
30.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ps&scrCh=24&scrV=7#iii.i-p94.8
31.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ps&scrCh=41&scrV=2#iii.vi-p58.3
32.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ps&scrCh=42&scrV=1#iii.iv-p27.8
33.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ps&scrCh=49&scrV=5#iii.vi-p58.5
34.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ps&scrCh=51&scrV=7#iii.ii-p32.3
35. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ps&scrCh=63&scrV=10#iii.iv-p43.4

36. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ps&scrCh=68&scrV=1#iii.iv-p39.1
37. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ps&scrCh=68&scrV=18#iii.iv-p36.1
38. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ps&scrCh=68&scrV=18#iii.iv-p36.4
39. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ps&scrCh=68&scrV=18#iii.iv-p37.1
40. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ps&scrCh=68&scrV=18#iii.iv-p38.7
41. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ps&scrCh=72&scrV=5#iii.iii-p29.10
42. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ps&scrCh=78&scrV=22#iii.i-p90.14
43. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ps&scrCh=102&scrV=25#iii.iv-p38.5
44. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ps&scrCh=102&scrV=25#iii.iii-p29.11
45. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ps&scrCh=118&scrV=22#iii.ii-p100.2
46. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ps&scrCh=123&scrV=1#iii.iii-p72.1
47. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ps&scrCh=139&scrV=7#iii.i-p126.5
48. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ps&scrCh=139&scrV=15#iii.iv-p43.5
49. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Prov&scrCh=8&scrV=5#iii.iii-p73.3
50. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Prov&scrCh=19&scrV=21#iii.iii-p73.5
51. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Prov&scrCh=20&scrV=5#iii.iii-p73.5
52. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eccl&scrCh=7&scrV=9#iii.iv-p125.2

53.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Isa&scrCh=9&scrV=2#iii.v-p39.6
54.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Isa&scrCh=11&scrV=5#iii.vi-p61.6
55. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Isa&scrCh=26&scrV=19#iii.v-p39.5
56. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Isa&scrCh=28&scrV=16#iii.ii-p100.1
57. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Isa&scrCh=44&scrV=23#iii.iv-p43.3
58. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Isa&scrCh=45&scrV=23#iii.iv-p38.3
59.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Isa&scrCh=49&scrV=1#iii.ii-p76.2
60. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Isa&scrCh=51&scrV=8#iii.iii-p29.12
61. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Isa&scrCh=57&scrV=11#iii.iii-p72.4
62. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Isa&scrCh=57&scrV=19#iii.ii-p76.3
63. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Isa&scrCh=59&scrV=17#iii.vi-p61.5
64.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Isa&scrCh=60&scrV=1#iii.v-p39.3
65.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Isa&scrCh=60&scrV=1#iii.v-p39.7
66.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Isa&scrCh=62&scrV=5#iii.v-p78.1
67. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Jer&scrCh=12&scrV=6#iii.i-p90.13
68. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Jer&scrCh=23&scrV=24#iii.i-p126.3
69. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Jer&scrCh=23&scrV=24#iii.iv-p45.5

70. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ezek&scrCh=16&scrV=9#iii.v-p80.4
71. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ezek&scrCh=32&scrV=24#iii.i-v-p43.6
72. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Ezek&scrCh=36&scrV=25#iii.v-p80.5
73. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Dan&scrCh=2&scrV=8#iii.v-p42.8
74. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Mal&scrCh=3&scrV=17#iii.i-p76.5
75. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Matt&scrCh=4&scrV=21#iii.iv-p52.5
76. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Matt&scrCh=5&scrV=48#iii.iii-p78.6
77. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Matt&scrCh=9&scrV=16#iii.i-p123.2
78. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Matt&scrCh=9&scrV=17#iii.iv-p118.3
79. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Matt&scrCh=9&scrV=34#iii.ii-p23.4
80. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Matt&scrCh=11&scrV=26#iii.i-p40.4
81. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Matt&scrCh=11&scrV=26#iii.i-p40.7
82. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Matt&scrCh=11&scrV=29#iii.iv-p20.8
83. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Matt&scrCh=12&scrV=32#iii.i-p115.2
84. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Matt&scrCh=12&scrV=39#iii.v-p42.15
85. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Matt&scrCh=13&scrV=19#iii.vi-p63.7
86. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Matt&scrCh=13&scrV=38#iii.ii-p27.3

87. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Matt&scrCh=13&scrV=38#iii.vi-p63.7
88. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Matt&scrCh=13&scrV=39#iii.vi-p63.9
89. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Matt&scrCh=16&scrV=18#iii.ii-p99.3
90. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Matt&scrCh=18&scrV=4#iii.v-p93.2
91. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Matt&scrCh=20&scrV=28#iii.iv-p135.20
92. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Matt&scrCh=21&scrV=42#iii.ii-p100.3
93. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Matt&scrCh=22&scrV=37#iii.iv-p102.11
94. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Matt&scrCh=25&scrV=34#iii.i-p35.3
95. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Matt&scrCh=25&scrV=34#iii.ii-p51.3
96. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Matt&scrCh=28&scrV=18#iii.i-p110.2
97. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Matt&scrCh=28&scrV=18#iii.iv-p45.6
98. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Mark&scrCh=1&scrV=15#iii.i-p90.12
99. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Mark&scrCh=3&scrV=5#iii.iv-p104.8
100. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Mark&scrCh=3&scrV=5#iii.iv-p124.9
101. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Mark&scrCh=6&scrV=43#iii.i-p123.3
102. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Mark&scrCh=6&scrV=52#iii.i-v-p104.5
103. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Mark&scrCh=8&scrV=17#iii.i-v-p104.5

104. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Mark&scrCh=8&scrV=33#iii.i-p50.6
105. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Mark&scrCh=12&scrV=28#iii.vi-p17.3
106. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Mark&scrCh=12&scrV=30#iii.vi-p17.3
107. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Mark&scrCh=12&scrV=30#iii.vi-p23.7
108. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Mark&scrCh=14&scrV=25#iii.iv-p118.7
109. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Mark&scrCh=15&scrV=1#iii.i-v-p50.6
110. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Mark&scrCh=16&scrV=16#iii.v-p82.1
111. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Luke&scrCh=1&scrV=28#iii.i-p43.10
112. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Luke&scrCh=1&scrV=70#iii.i-i-p30.2
113. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Luke&scrCh=1&scrV=75#iii.i-v-p121.6
114. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Luke&scrCh=2&scrV=4#iii.iii-p65.2
115. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Luke&scrCh=2&scrV=14#iii.i-p40.3
116. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Luke&scrCh=3&scrV=0#iii.iii-p52.4
117. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Luke&scrCh=3&scrV=0#iii.iv-p53.2
118. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Luke&scrCh=4&scrV=1#iii.v-p44.6
119. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Luke&scrCh=6&scrV=35#iii.i-v-p134.3
120. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Luke&scrCh=6&scrV=40#iii.i-v-p52.6

121. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Luke&scrCh=10&scrV=21#iii.i-p40.5
122. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Luke&scrCh=10&scrV=21#iii.i-p40.9
123. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Luke&scrCh=10&scrV=40#iii.iv-p53.2
124. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Luke&scrCh=11&scrV=21#iii.iv-p35.4
125. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Luke&scrCh=11&scrV=40#iii.v-p43.3
126. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Luke&scrCh=12&scrV=20#iii.v-p43.4
127. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Luke&scrCh=16&scrV=8#iii.i-p99.5
128. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Luke&scrCh=18&scrV=1#iii.i-p68.2
129. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Luke&scrCh=20&scrV=20#iii.iv-p113.4
130. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Luke&scrCh=21&scrV=28#iii.i-p75.1
131. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Luke&scrCh=22&scrV=53#iii.ii-p24.4
132. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Luke&scrCh=22&scrV=53#iii.vi-p55.14
133. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Luke&scrCh=23&scrV=23#iii.iii-p52.4
134. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Luke&scrCh=24&scrV=49#iii.iv-p112.9
135. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=1&scrV=12#iii.i-p38.1
136. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=1&scrV=16#iii.i-p123.4
137. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=1&scrV=17#iii.i-v-p121.14

138. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=3&scrV=13#iii.i
v-p43.8
139. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=5&scrV=23#iii.i-
p110.5
140. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=5&scrV=25#iii.v
-p40.1
141. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=6&scrV=38#iii.i
v-p43.9
142. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=6&scrV=45#iii.v
-p103.8
143. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=6&scrV=53#iii.v
-p109.5
144. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=6&scrV=63#iii.i
v-p120.9
145. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=8&scrV=12#iii.i-
p99.2
146. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=8&scrV=14#iii.i
v-p43.10
147. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=8&scrV=23#iii.i
v-p43.14
148. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=8&scrV=44#iii.ii
-p27.5
149. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=12&scrV=31#iii.
ii-p27.4
150. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=12&scrV=31#iii.
ii-p23.3
151. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=12&scrV=40#iii.
iv-p104.6
152. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=12&scrV=41#iii.
iv-p38.2
153. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=13&scrV=16#iii.
i-p4.1
154. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=14&scrV=6#iii.i
v-p121.15

155. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=15&scrV=13#iii.iv-p135.2
156. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=15&scrV=26#iii.i-p4.9
157. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=15&scrV=26#iii.i-p96.4
158. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=16&scrV=9#iii.ii-p40.4
159. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=16&scrV=11#iii.vi-p55.9
160. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=16&scrV=28#iii.iv-p43.11
161. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=17&scrV=2#iii.i-p32.3
162. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=17&scrV=17#iii.v-p85.15
163. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=17&scrV=21#iii.iv-p31.2
164. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=17&scrV=24#iii.i-p35.4
165. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=John&scrCh=20&scrV=17#iii.i-p29.1
166. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=1&scrV=4#iii.i-p72.1
167. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=1&scrV=20#iii.v-p46.5
168. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=1&scrV=22#iii.i-p4.10
169. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=2&scrV=19#iii.iv-p43.13
170. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=2&scrV=32#iii.i-p4.11
171. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=2&scrV=38#iii.v-p81.8

172. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=2&scrV=39#iii.ii-p76.4
173. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=3&scrV=15#iii.i-p4.12
174. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=3&scrV=25#iii.iii-p65.3
175. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=4&scrV=11#iii.ii-p100.4
176.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=6&scrV=5#iii.v-p44.7
177.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=6&scrV=7#iii.iv-p29.3
178.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=7&scrV=1#iii.i-p94.5
179. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=8&scrV=4#iii.iv-p49.10
180. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=11&scrV=24#iii.v-p44.8
181. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=13&scrV=31#iii.i-p4.13
182. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=13&scrV=32#iii.i-p75.2
183. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=13&scrV=33#iii.v-p46.3
184.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=14&scrV=4#iii.i-p4.7
185. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=14&scrV=7#iii.ii-p49.10
186. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=14&scrV=14#iii.i-p4.7
187. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=14&scrV=16#iii.ii-p29.5
188. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=15&scrV=3#iii.iii-p52.5

189. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=15&scrV=15#iii.i
ii-p52.5
190. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=15&scrV=21#iii.i
ii-p29.6
191. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=17&scrV=28#iii.i
-p90.2
192. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=18&scrV=15#iii.i
-p90.3
193. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=18&scrV=18#ii.ii
-p7.1
194.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=19&scrV=3#ii.ii-p8.1
195. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=19&scrV=4#iii.iii
-p75.6
196. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=19&scrV=8#ii.ii
-p10.1
197. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=19&scrV=24#ii.ii
-p2.2
198. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=20&scrV=4#iii.vi
-p73.10
199. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=20&scrV=28#iii.i
-p76.7
200. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=20&scrV=32#iii.i
-p103.2
201. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=21&scrV=8#iii.iv
-p49.2
202. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=22&scrV=16#iii.
v-p80.7
203. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=22&scrV=16#iii.
v-p81.7
204. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=22&scrV=16#iii.
v-p81.16
205. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=26&scrV=16#iii.i
-p4.14

206. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=26&scrV=16#iii.i
ii-p23.1
207. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=26&scrV=18#iii.i
i-p27.6
208. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=26&scrV=18#iii.i
-p99.3
209. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=26&scrV=18#iii.i
-p103.3
210. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Acts&scrCh=28&scrV=30#ii.ii
-p14.1
211.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=1&scrV=5#iii.i-p5.2
212. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=1&scrV=7#iii.iii-
p40.2
213.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=1&scrV=9#iii.v-p47.6
214. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=1&scrV=16#iii.i-
p69.4
215. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=1&scrV=21#iii.i-
p98.3
216. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=1&scrV=24#iii.i
v-p106.11
217. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=1&scrV=24#iii.i
v-p106.12
218. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=1&scrV=28#iii.i-
p32.4
219. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=1&scrV=29#iii.i
v-p106.9
220. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=2&scrV=4#iii.iv-
p21.5
221. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=2&scrV=7#iii.vi-
p75.5
222. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=2&scrV=8#iii.iv-
p109.3

223. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=2&scrV=13#iii.ii-p31.5
224. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=2&scrV=19#iii.i-v-p113.5
225. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=2&scrV=25#iii.ii-p72.6
226. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=2&scrV=27#iii.ii-p31.6
227.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=3&scrV=2#iii.i-p63.9
228. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=3&scrV=20#iii.i-p97.2
229. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=3&scrV=25#iii.i-v-p135.12
230. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=3&scrV=25#iii.i-v-p135.21
231. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=3&scrV=26#iii.v-p82.5
232.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=4&scrV=2#iii.ii-p47.3
233.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=4&scrV=4#iii.ii-p47.1
234. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=4&scrV=14#iii.ii-p75.3
235.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=5&scrV=0#iii.ii-p33.3
236.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=5&scrV=3#iii.ii-p91.2
237.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=5&scrV=5#iii.i-p71.3
238.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=5&scrV=7#iii.v-p30.8
239. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=5&scrV=9#iii.iv-p135.12

240. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=5&scrV=10#iii.ii-p90.2
241. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=5&scrV=12#iii.ii-p32.2
242. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=6&scrV=1#iii.i-p109.2
243. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=6&scrV=4#iii.v-p81.18
244.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=6&scrV=6#iii.ii-p41.1
245. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=6&scrV=6#iii.iv-p112.12
246.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=6&scrV=8#iii.ii-p41.1
247. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=6&scrV=14#iii.ii-p77.2
248. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=6&scrV=14#iii.ii-p81.4
249.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=7&scrV=4#iii.ii-p77.3
250.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=7&scrV=4#iii.ii-p81.7
251. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=7&scrV=4#iii.v-p109.1
252.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=7&scrV=6#iii.ii-p77.3
253. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=7&scrV=11#iii.i-v-p116.3
254. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=7&scrV=12#iii.v-p30.9
255. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=7&scrV=14#iii.i-v-p112.13
256. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=7&scrV=14#iii.ii-p70.8

257. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=7&scrV=18#iii.i
v-p112.14
258. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=7&scrV=23#iii.i
v-p112.15
259.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=8&scrV=5#iii.i-p50.7
260.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=8&scrV=5#iii.ii-p28.3
261. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=8&scrV=9#iii.iii-
p72.6
262. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=8&scrV=9#iii.iv-
p26.5
263. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=8&scrV=9#iii.iii-
p72.8
264. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=8&scrV=10#iii.ii
i-p72.7
265. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=8&scrV=11#iii.i
v-p26.5
266. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=8&scrV=15#iii.i-
p96.5
267. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=8&scrV=16#iii.i-
p71.2
268. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=8&scrV=16#iii.i
v-p27.7
269. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=8&scrV=16#iii.i
v-p119.4
270. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=8&scrV=19#iii.i-
p56.7
271. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=8&scrV=19#iii.i-
p57.4
272. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=8&scrV=23#iii.i-
p46.2
273. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=8&scrV=23#iii.i-
p73.5

274. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=8&scrV=23#iii.i-p75.2
275. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=8&scrV=24#iii.i-p101.2
276. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=8&scrV=26#iii.ii-p86.10
277. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=8&scrV=26#iii.v-i-p69.3
278. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=8&scrV=28#iii.i-p64.3
279. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=8&scrV=29#iii.ii-p78.9
280. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=8&scrV=30#iii.v-p82.6
281. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=8&scrV=34#iii.ii-p81.12
282. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=8&scrV=37#iii.v-i-p64.2
283. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=8&scrV=38#iii.i-p113.3
284. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=9&scrV=20#iii.v-p48.6
285. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=9&scrV=22#iii.i-v-p21.5
286. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=9&scrV=23#iii.ii-p51.5
287. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=9&scrV=25#iii.ii-p28.1
288. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=10&scrV=8#iii.v-p85.3
289. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=10&scrV=10#iii.v-p82.3
290. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=10&scrV=17#iii.v-p85.3

291. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=10&scrV=18#iii.iv-p124.5
292. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=11&scrV=7#iii.iv-p104.7
293. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=11&scrV=25#iii.iv-p104.9
294. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=12&scrV=1#iii.iv-p136.11
295. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=12&scrV=2#iii.iv-p117.4
296. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=12&scrV=2#iii.iv-p118.16
297. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=12&scrV=2#iii.iv-p118.27
298. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=12&scrV=2#iii.iv-p120.1
299. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=12&scrV=2#iii.v-p31.5
300. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=12&scrV=3#iii.ii-p21.10
301. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=12&scrV=5#iii.i-p119.3
302. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=12&scrV=5#iii.iv-p25.2
303. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=12&scrV=8#iii.v-i-p22.2
304. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=12&scrV=10#iii.v-p69.2
305. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=12&scrV=12#iii.vi-p69.6
306. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=12&scrV=16#iii.iv-p20.4
307. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=13&scrV=9#iii.i-p56.6

308. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=13&scrV=11#iii.
ii-p48.2
309. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=13&scrV=12#iii.
iv-p112.1
310. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=13&scrV=12#iii.
iv-p112.7
311. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=13&scrV=14#iii.
iv-p112.5
312. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=14&scrV=9#iii.i
v-p28.7
313. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=14&scrV=10#iii.
iv-p38.4
314. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=15&scrV=2#iii.i
v-p123.2
315. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=15&scrV=15#iii.
iii-p21.11
316. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=15&scrV=29#iii.
iv-p62.1
317. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=15&scrV=30#iii.
i-p96.8
318.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=16&scrV=7#iii.i-p4.8
319. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=16&scrV=17#iii.
iv-p66.2
320. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=16&scrV=25#iii.
i-p51.3
321. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=16&scrV=25#iii.
iii-p44.5
322. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rom&scrCh=16&scrV=25#iii.
i-p50.1
323.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=1&scrV=6#iii.i-p32.5
324. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=1&scrV=7#iii.iii-
p40.1

325. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=1&scrV=17#iii.i-p49.5
326. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=1&scrV=17#iii.i-v-p49.11
327. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=1&scrV=22#iii.i-v-p27.6
328. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=1&scrV=26#iii.ii-p48.8
329. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=1&scrV=27#iii.i-p41.3
330. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=2&scrV=3#iii.vi-p21.4
331. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=2&scrV=6#iii.i-p96.10
332. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=2&scrV=6#iii.v-p42.19
333.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=2&scrV=7#iii.i-p35.6
334. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=2&scrV=7#iii.iii-p44.6
335. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=2&scrV=7#iii.vi-p70.17
336.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=2&scrV=7#iii.i-p51.4
337.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=2&scrV=8#iii.i-p94.6
338.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=2&scrV=9#iii.ii-p51.4
339. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=2&scrV=10#iii.i-p96.11
340. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=2&scrV=12#iii.ii-p26.9
341. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=2&scrV=12#iii.i-v-p120.10

342. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=2&scrV=14#iii.ii-p70.9
343. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=2&scrV=14#iii.i-v-p105.4
344. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=3&scrV=10#iii.ii-p99.1
345. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=3&scrV=10#iii.ii-p21.12
346. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=3&scrV=11#iii.ii-p100.5
347. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=3&scrV=16#iii.i-v-p26.4
348. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=3&scrV=16#iii.i-v-p131.8
349. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=4&scrV=21#iii.i-p96.2
350. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=5&scrV=10#iii.i-v-p106.10
351. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=6&scrV=1#iii.iv-p128.3
352.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=6&scrV=6#iii.ii-p48.3
353. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=6&scrV=19#iii.i-v-p26.4
354. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=6&scrV=19#iii.i-v-p131.9
355. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=6&scrV=20#iii.i-v-p28.5
356. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=7&scrV=19#iii.ii-p72.7
357.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=8&scrV=6#iii.ii-p92.2
358.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=9&scrV=1#iii.i-p4.15

359. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=10&scrV=4#iii.i
v-p38.1
360. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=10&scrV=11#iii.
i-p55.5
361. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=10&scrV=17#iii.
iv-p25.3
362. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=10&scrV=26#iii.
i-p123.8
363. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=11&scrV=7#iii.v
-p73.1
364. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=12&scrV=3#iii.i-
p10.2
365. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=12&scrV=8#iii.i-
p107.9
366. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=12&scrV=11#iii.
ii-p94.6
367. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=12&scrV=13#iii.
iv-p26.3
368. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=12&scrV=27#iii.
i-p119.4
369. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=12&scrV=27#iii.
iv-p25.3
370. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=12&scrV=28#iii.
i-p118.4
371. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=13&scrV=10#iii.
iv-p60.5
372. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=13&scrV=12#iii.
iii-p78.8
373. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=14&scrV=1#iii.i
v-p47.1
374. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=14&scrV=15#iii.
v-p47.10
375. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=14&scrV=15#iii.
v-p48.4

376. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=14&scrV=26#iii.v-p46.7

377. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=15&scrV=19#iii.i-p66.6

378. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=15&scrV=22#iii.ii-p41.4

379. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=15&scrV=23#iii.i-p57.5

380. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=15&scrV=27#iii.i-p116.2

381. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=15&scrV=36#iii.v-p43.5

382. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=15&scrV=53#iii.iv-p112.10

383. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=15&scrV=53#iii.vi-p75.3

384. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=16&scrV=15#iii.iv-p53.3

385. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=16&scrV=15#iii.iv-p54.3

386. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Cor&scrCh=16&scrV=22#iii.vi-p75.12

387. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=1&scrV=21#iii.i-p71.6

388. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=1&scrV=22#iii.i-p73.3

389. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=2&scrV=12#iii.ii-p40.3

390. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=2&scrV=17#iii.i.v-p66.3

391.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=4&scrV=4#iii.ii-p27.7

392.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=4&scrV=4#iii.ii-p23.2

393. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=4&scrV=4#iii.iii-p43.2
394. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=4&scrV=4#iii.vi-p55.8
395.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=4&scrV=6#iii.i-p98.4
396. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=4&scrV=13#iii.i-p96.3
397. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=4&scrV=16#iii.ii-p70.13
398. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=4&scrV=16#iii.i-v-p117.6
399. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=4&scrV=16#iii.i-v-p118.17
400. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=5&scrV=3#iii.iii-p21.3
401.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=5&scrV=5#iii.i-p73.4
402. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=5&scrV=10#iii.v-i-p26.7
403. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=5&scrV=14#iii.ii-p41.3
404. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=5&scrV=17#iii.ii-p83.6
405. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=5&scrV=20#iii.v-i-p71.3
406. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=6&scrV=6#iii.iv-p21.2
407.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=6&scrV=7#iii.i-p69.3
408. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=6&scrV=16#iii.ii-p72.5
409.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=7&scrV=7#iii.ii-p82.6

410. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=7&scrV=15#iii.v
i-p21.5
411. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=8&scrV=2#iii.vi-
p22.3
412.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=8&scrV=23#iii.i-p4.3
413. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=9&scrV=11#iii.v
i-p22.3
414. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=10&scrV=1#iii.i
v-p20.9
415. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=10&scrV=16#iii.
iv-p49.12
416. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=11&scrV=6#iii.v
i-p70.3
417. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=11&scrV=13#iii.
iv-p66.3
418. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=11&scrV=16#iii.
v-p43.6
419. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=12&scrV=2#iii.i
v-p44.4
420. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Cor&scrCh=13&scrV=11#iii.
iv-p52.7
421.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=1&scrV=1#iii.i-p5.5
422.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=1&scrV=4#iii.v-p42.16
423.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=1&scrV=12#iii.i-p4.16
424. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=1&scrV=12#iii.iii-
p24.3
425. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=1&scrV=12#iii.iii-
p30.6
426. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=1&scrV=16#iii.vi-
p54.3

427. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=1&scrV=23#iii.iv-p29.2
428.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=2&scrV=4#iii.iv-p66.4
429.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=2&scrV=7#iii.i-p63.10
430. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=2&scrV=9#iii.iii-p21.13
431. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=2&scrV=10#iii.iii-p75.7
432.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=2&scrV=15#iii.ii-p31.2
433.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=2&scrV=19#iii.ii-p41.2
434. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=2&scrV=20#iii.iii-p72.9
435. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=2&scrV=20#iii.iv-p135.3
436.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=3&scrV=3#iii.ii-p29.4
437.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=3&scrV=5#iii.ii-p29.4
438.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=3&scrV=13#iii.ii-p81.6
439.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=3&scrV=13#iii.v-p79.4
440.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=3&scrV=14#iii.i-p72.2
441. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=3&scrV=14#iii.iii-p34.1
442.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=3&scrV=16#iii.ii-p75.4
443. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=3&scrV=16#iii.v-p113.4

444. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=3&scrV=22#iii.iv-p21.3
445.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=3&scrV=26#iii.i-p38.2
446.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=3&scrV=26#iii.i-p90.6
447. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=3&scrV=27#iii.iv-p112.6
448. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=3&scrV=27#iii.v-p81.17
449. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=3&scrV=27#iii.iv-p30.3
450. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=3&scrV=28#iii.ii-p83.10
451.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=4&scrV=4#iii.i-p123.5
452.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=4&scrV=4#iii.i-p55.7
453.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=4&scrV=4#iii.ii-p77.1
454.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=4&scrV=5#iii.ii-p81.5
455.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=4&scrV=6#iii.i-p96.6
456.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=4&scrV=6#iii.ii-p94.1
457.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=4&scrV=8#iii.ii-p31.4
458.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=5&scrV=5#iii.i-p96.9
459. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=5&scrV=16#iii.iv-p112.16
460. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=5&scrV=17#iii.iii-p70.10

461.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=5&scrV=18#iii.ii-p77.4
462.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=5&scrV=19#iii.ii-p29.5
463.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=6&scrV=15#iii.ii-p83.7
464. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=19&scrV=22#iii.ii
i-p34.2
465. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Gal&scrCh=19&scrV=29#iii.ii
i-p34.2
466.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=1#iii.i-p36.3
467.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=1#ii.ii-p32.1
468.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=3#iii.i-p24.1
469.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=4#iii.i-p24.2
470.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=4#iii.v-p88.9
471.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=4#iii.i-p24.3
472.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=5#iii.i-p36.8
473.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=5#iii.i-p64.2
474.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=5#iii.iv-p20.2
475.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=6#iii.i-p24.4
476.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=6#iii.i-p66.2
477.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=6#iii.i-p77.1

478.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=7#iii.i-p25.1
479.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=8#iii.i-p97.8
480.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=9#iii.i-p26.1
481.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=10#iii.i-p68.1
482. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=11#iii.ii-p28.1
483. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=11#iii.i-p40.15
484.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=11#iii.i-p67.1
485.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=11#iii.i-p67.3
486.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=11#iii.i-p67.6
487.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=11#iii.i-p68.2
488.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=11#iii.i-p68.7
489.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=11#iii.i-p27.1
490.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=12#iii.i-p67.2
491.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=12#iii.i-p77.1
492. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=13#iii.ii-p28.1
493.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=13#iii.i-p62.2
494.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=13#iii.i-p66.4

495.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=13#iii.i-p89.1
496. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=13#iii.iiv-p131.10
497.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=13#iii.i-p27.2
498. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=14#iii.i-p63.15
499. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=14#iii.iv-p27.5
500.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=15#ii.ii-p19.2
501.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=15#ii.ii-p33.1
502.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=15#iii.i-p88.1
503.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=17#iii.i-p88.2
504. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=17#iii.iii-p32.1
505. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=18#iii.i-p63.15
506.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=18#iii.i-p88.3
507.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=19#iii.i-p88.4
508. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=19#iii.ii-p17.1
509. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=19#iii.ii-p48.9
510. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=19#iii.iii-p38.2
511. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=19#iii.iii-p70.12

512. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=19#iii.iii-p83.2
513.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=20#iii.i-p31.2
514. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=20#iii.i-p113.1
515. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=20#iii.ii-p18.3
516.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=20#iii.i-p88.5
517. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=21#iii.ii-p23.9
518. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=21#iii.iv-p45.4
519.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=22#iii.i-p88.6
520. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=23#iii.iv-p25.4
521.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=0#ii.ii-p16.1
522.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=0#ii.ii-p24.1
523.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=1#iii.ii-p18.7
524.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=1#iii.ii-p14.1
525.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=2#iii.v-p27.4
526.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=2#iii.v-p29.1
527.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=2#iii.vi-p57.4
528.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=2#iii.ii-p18.5

529. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=3#iii.iv-p102.9
530.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=4#iii.i-p43.11
531.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=4#iii.ii-p15.1
532.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=5#iii.i-p44.1
533.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=5#iii.ii-p18.6
534.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=5#iii.ii-p43.1
535.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=6#iii.i-p31.3
536.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=6#iii.i-p31.6
537.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=7#iii.i-p41.2
538.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=7#iii.i-p43.12
539.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=10#ii.ii-p33.2
540. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=10#iii.iv-p117.2
541. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=11#iii.ii-p28.2
542.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=11#iii.i-p62.3
543. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=11#iii.ii-p73.1
544. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=11#iii.ii-p82.5
545. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=11#iii.ii-p68.1

546. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=11#ii.ii-p34.1
547. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=12#iii.ii-p94.7
548. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=13#iii.ii-p81.10
549. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=13#iii.iii-p51.2
550. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=13#iii.v-p109.2
551. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=13#iii.ii-p87.1
552. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=13#iii.ii-p69.1
553. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=14#iii.ii-p81.11
554. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=14#iii.ii-p89.4
555. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=14#iii.ii-p89.6
556. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=14#iii.ii-p89.5
557. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=15#iii.ii-p77.6
558. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=15#iii.ii-p88.1
559. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=15#iii.ii-p88.2
560. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=15#iii.v-p109.3
561. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=16#iii.ii-p77.7
562. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=16#iii.ii-p94.5

563. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=17#iii.ii-p76.5
564. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=17#iii.ii-p88.5
565. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=18#iii.ii-p88.6
566. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=19#iii.ii-p70.1
567. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=21#iii.ii-p107.2
568. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=21#iii.iv-p71.4
569. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=22#iii.ii-p107.3
570.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=1#iii.iii-p64.2
571.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=1#iii.iii-p16.1
572.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=1#ii.ii-p35.1
573.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=2#ii.ii-p19.3
574.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=3#iii.iii-p27.4
575.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=3#iii.iii-p27.6
576.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=3#iii.iii-p30.5
577.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=3#iii.iii-p16.2
578.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=4#iii.iii-p27.5
579.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=5#iii.ii-p97.1

580.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=5#iii.iii-p16.3
581.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=6#iii.ii-p84.3
582.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=7#iii.i-p5.3
583.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=7#iii.i-p107.6
584.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=7#iii.iii-p16.4
585.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=8#iii.iii-p21.8
586.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=9#iii.i-p51.5
587.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=9#iii.iii-p16.5
588.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=9#iii.iii-p77.8
589.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=10#iii.i-p31.4
590. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=10#iii.i-p113.5
591. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=10#iii.iii-p16.6
592.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=11#iii.i-p34.5
593. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=11#iii.iii-p16.7
594. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=14#iii.iii-p18.2
595. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=14#iii.iii-p18.3
596. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=14#iii.iii-p77.9

597.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=14#ii.ii-p36.1
598.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=15#iii.i-p57.6
599. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=19#iii.i-p123.7
600. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=19#iii.iv-p61.1
601. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=19#iii.iv-p135.1
602. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=20#iii.i-p107.8
603.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=1#iii.iv-p18.1
604.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=1#ii.ii-p37.1
605. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=3#iii.iv-p103.2
606.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=4#iii.iv-p18.2
607.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=6#iii.iv-p59.1
608.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=6#iii.iv-p59.2
609.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=7#iii.iv-p18.3
610.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=9#iii.iv-p18.4
611. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=10#iii.i-p126.8
612. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=11#iii.i-p118.3
613. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=11#iii.iv-p18.5

614. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=11#iii.iv-p49.3
615. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=11#iii.iv-p75.3
616. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=12#iii.iv-p64.3
617. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=12#iii.iv-p18.6
618. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=13#iii.i-p97.3
619. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=13#iii.iii-p78.7
620. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=13#iii.iv-p64.2
621. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=13#iii.iv-p64.6
622. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=13#iii.iv-p64.11
623. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=13#iii.iv-p66.1
624. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=13#iii.iv-p69.3
625. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=14#iii.iv-p68.2
626. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=15#iii.iv-p64.4
627. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=15#iii.i-p119.1
628. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=15#iii.iv-p64.7
629. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=16#iii.ii-p105.2
630. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=16#iii.iv-p64.5

631. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=16#iii.iv
-p103.2
632. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=16#iii.iv
-p123.3
633.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=17#ii.ii-p38.1
634. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=17#iii.iv
-p96.5
635. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=17#iii.iv
-p103.2
636. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=17#iii.iv
-p96.3
637. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=17#iii.iv
-p96.1
638. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=18#iii.iv
-p96.6
639. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=19#iii.iv
-p96.7
640. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=19#iii.v-
p22.2
641. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=20#iii.iv
-p96.4
642. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=20#iii.iv
-p96.8
643. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=21#iii.iii
-p21.2
644. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=21#iii.iv
-p113.1
645. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=22#iii.iv
-p121.11
646. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=24#iii.ii-
p83.5
647. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=25#iii.iv
-p96.9

648. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=25#iii.iv-p112.2
649. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=25#iii.iv-p96.2
650. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=26#iii.iv-p96.10
651. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=28#iii.iv-p96.11
652. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=29#iii.iv-p96.12
653.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=30#iii.i-p71.5
654.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=30#iii.i-p74.2
655.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=30#iii.i-p75.3
656.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=30#iii.i-p76.9
657. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=31#iii.iv-p124.8
658. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=31#iii.iv-p124.10
659. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=31#iii.iv-p96.13
660. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=31#iii.iv-p132.1
661. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=32#iii.v-p45.3
662. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=3#iii.iv-p106.7
663.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=3#iii.v-p24.1
664.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=3#iii.v-p24.8

665.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=3#iii.v-p20.1
666.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=3#iii.v-p22.1
667. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=5#iii.iv-p106.7
668.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=5#iii.v-p20.2
669.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=7#iii.v-p20.3
670.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=8#iii.i-p99.4
671.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=8#iii.v-p38.2
672.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=9#iii.v-p31.1
673. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=10#iii.v-p41.2
674. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=12#iii.v-p37.4
675. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=12#iii.v-p20.4
676. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=13#iii.v-p20.5
677. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=15#iii.v-p43.1
678. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=17#iii.v-p20.6
679. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=18#iii.v-p68.4
680.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=20#ii.ii-p38.2
681. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=20#iii.v-p68.1

682.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=21#ii.ii-p39.1
683. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=21#iii.v-p65.1
684. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=21#iii.v-p115.2
685. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=21#iii.vi-p16.2
686. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=22#iii.v-p66.1
687. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=22#iii.v-p75.1
688. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=23#iii.i-p119.2
689. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=23#iii.v-p66.2
690. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=23#iii.v-p75.2
691. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=24#iii.v-p66.3
692. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=25#iii.v-p67.1
693.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=27#iii.i-p36.9
694. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=28#iii.v-p116.6
695. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=29#iii.i-p119.2
696. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=29#iii.v-p67.2
697. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=30#iii.v-p103.6
698. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=30#iii.v-p111.1

699. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=30#iii.v-p115.3

700. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=31#iii.v-p103.4

701. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=31#iii.v-p110.2

702. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=31#iii.v-p111.2

703. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=31#iii.v-p111.4

704. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=31#iii.v-p113.1

705. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=32#iii.v-p111.3

706. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=32#iii.v-p113.2

707. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=32#iii.v-p115.5

708. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=32#iii.v-p67.3

709.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=1#iii.vi-p12.1

710.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=1#iii.vi-p12.2

711.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=1#ii.ii-p39.2

712.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=4#iii.v-p94.2

713.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=4#iii.vi-p13.1

714.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=5#iii.vi-p14.1

715.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=5#iii.v-p72.3

716.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=6#iii.vi-p14.2
717.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=7#iii.i-p44.2
718.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=8#iii.vi-p15.1
719. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=8#iii.vi-p20.14
720. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=11#iii.iv-p65.10
721.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=12#iii.i-p31.5
722. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=12#iii.i-p113.6
723. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=12#iii.ii-p25.2
724. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=12#iii.ii-p24.5
725. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=12#iii.ii-p25.1
726. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=14#iii.vi-p67.2
727. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=17#iii.v-p85.4
728. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=19#iii.vi-p72.3
729.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=20#ii.ii-p13.6
730.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=21#ii.ii-p13.1
731.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=21#ii.ii-p14.2
732.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=8&scrV=2#iii.i-p5.4

733. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=111&scrV=0#iii.i-p68.5

734.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phil&scrCh=1&scrV=9#iii.i-p97.4

735.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phil&scrCh=1&scrV=9#iii.i-p97.9

736.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phil&scrCh=1&scrV=12#ii.ii-p13.9

737.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phil&scrCh=1&scrV=13#ii.ii-p13.5

738.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phil&scrCh=1&scrV=28#iii.ii-p48.4

739.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phil&scrCh=2&scrV=3#iii.v-p69.3

740.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phil&scrCh=2&scrV=9#iii.i-p110.3

741.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phil&scrCh=2&scrV=9#iii.i-p112.1

742.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phil&scrCh=2&scrV=9#iii.iv-p45.7

743.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phil&scrCh=2&scrV=11#ii.ii-p13.7

744. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phil&scrCh=2&scrV=12#iii.vi-p21.6

745.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phil&scrCh=2&scrV=13#iii.i-p40.6

746. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phil&scrCh=2&scrV=13#iii.i-p40.10

747.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phil&scrCh=2&scrV=25#iii.i-p4.2

748.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phil&scrCh=3&scrV=0#iii.i-p31.7

749.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phil&scrCh=3&scrV=3#iii.ii-p72.5

750.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phil&scrCh=3&scrV=3#iii.ii-p72.8
751.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phil&scrCh=3&scrV=4#iii.ii-p29.3
752.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phil&scrCh=3&scrV=8#iii.vi-p61.4
753. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phil&scrCh=3&scrV=13#iii.iv-p113.6
754. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phil&scrCh=3&scrV=15#iii.i-p96.12
755. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phil&scrCh=3&scrV=21#iii.i-p107.10
756.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phil&scrCh=3&scrV=30#iii.ii-p43.2
757. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phil&scrCh=4&scrV=18#iii.iv-p136.12
758. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phil&scrCh=4&scrV=22#ii.ii-p13.11
759.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phil&scrCh=10&scrV=0#iii.i-p31.7
760.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=4#iii.i-p90.7
761.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=4#iii.ii-p82.7
762.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=5#iii.i-p101.3
763.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=5#iii.i-p69.2
764.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=5#iii.iv-p27.2
765.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=9#iii.i-p50.4
766. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=12#iii.i-p63.16

767. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=12#iii.i-p103.4

768.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=13#iii.ii-p23.8

769.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=13#iii.ii-p24.6

770. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=13#iii.iv-p62.4

771. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=13#iii.vi-p55.15

772. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=16#iii.i-p113.4

773. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=16#iii.i-p126.6

774.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=19#iii.ii-p92.1

775.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=20#iii.i-p59.1

776.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=20#iii.ii-p88.3

777.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=21#iii.ii-p29.8

778. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=21#iii.iv-p102.5

779. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=22#iii.i-p36.11

780.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=22#iii.ii-p81.8

781. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=22#iii.v-p109.4

782. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=25#iii.iii-p22.2

783.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=26#iii.i-p51.6

784. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=26#iii.iii-p29.7
785. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=26#iii.iii-p44.7
786. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=27#iii.iii-p26.3
787. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=1&scrV=29#iii.i-p107.12
788.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=2&scrV=2#iii.iv-p62.6
789.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=2&scrV=8#iii.iv-p66.5
790.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=2&scrV=9#iii.i-p123.6
791.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=2&scrV=9#iii.iii-p78.5
792. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=2&scrV=10#iii.i-p118.13
793.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=2&scrV=11#iii.ii-p72.9
794. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=2&scrV=12#iii.i-p104.1
795. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=2&scrV=12#iii.i-p107.14
796. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=2&scrV=12#iii.ii-p48.10
797. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=2&scrV=12#iii.v-p81.19
798.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=2&scrV=13#iii.ii-p88.4
799.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=2&scrV=14#iii.ii-p77.5
800.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=2&scrV=14#iii.ii-p81.9

801. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=2&scrV=15#iii.iv-p35.3
802. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=2&scrV=18#iii.iv-p62.6
803. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=2&scrV=18#iii.iv-p66.5
804. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=2&scrV=18#iii.vi-p52.3
805. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=2&scrV=19#iii.iv-p71.9
806. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=2&scrV=19#iii.iv-p71.14
807. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=2&scrV=19#iii.iv-p71.17
808.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=3&scrV=2#iii.i-p50.8
809. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=3&scrV=5#iii.iv-p106.8
810.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=3&scrV=5#iii.v-p25.2
811. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=3&scrV=8#iii.iv-p112.3
812. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=3&scrV=8#iii.iv-p131.7
813. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=3&scrV=9#iii.iv-p112.11
814. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=3&scrV=9#iii.iv-p117.5
815.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=3&scrV=10#iii.ii-p83.8
816. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=3&scrV=10#iii.iv-p118.18
817. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=3&scrV=10#iii.iv-p118.24

818. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=3&scrV=10#iii.iv-p118.25

819. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=3&scrV=10#iii.iv-p121.3

820. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=3&scrV=10#iii.iv-p121.16

821. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=3&scrV=12#iii.iv-p21.4

822. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=3&scrV=12#iii.iv-p112.8

823. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=3&scrV=12#iii.iv-p134.5

824. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=3&scrV=14#iii.iv-p23.1

825.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=3&scrV=16#iii.v-p45.4

826.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=3&scrV=16#iii.v-p49.1

827. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=3&scrV=23#iii.vi-p26.4

828.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=4&scrV=1#iii.vi-p27.5

829.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=4&scrV=3#iii.iii-p27.1

830.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=4&scrV=5#iii.v-p42.18

831.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=4&scrV=7#iii.vi-p73.9

832.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=4&scrV=7#ii.ii-p13.2

833.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Col&scrCh=4&scrV=16#ii.ii-p20.1

834. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Thess&scrCh=1&scrV=6#iii.i-p96.7

835. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Thess&scrCh=2&scrV=10#ii.iv-p121.8

836. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Thess&scrCh=5&scrV=5#iii.i-p99.6

837. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Thess&scrCh=5&scrV=8#iii.vi-p64.3

838. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Thess&scrCh=5&scrV=17#ii.vi-p68.3

839. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Thess&scrCh=1&scrV=9#iii.iv-p62.7

840. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Thess&scrCh=2&scrV=7#iii.iii-p75.8

841. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Thess&scrCh=2&scrV=9#iii.i-p107.13

842. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Thess&scrCh=2&scrV=13#ii.i-p35.2

843. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Thess&scrCh=3&scrV=10#ii.iv-p129.1

844. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Tim&scrCh=1&scrV=14#iii.i-p90.8

845. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Tim&scrCh=1&scrV=16#iii.i.v-p21.8

846. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Tim&scrCh=2&scrV=4#iii.i-p97.5

847. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Tim&scrCh=2&scrV=6#iii.iv-p135.19

848. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Tim&scrCh=3&scrV=11#iii.i.v-p126.3

849. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Tim&scrCh=3&scrV=13#iii.i-p90.9

850. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Tim&scrCh=3&scrV=16#iii.i-p51.7

851. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Tim&scrCh=3&scrV=16#iii.v-p114.10

852. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Tim&scrCh=6&scrV=1#iii.vi-p20.5

853.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Tim&scrCh=1&scrV=9#iii.i-p35.7

854. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Tim&scrCh=1&scrV=9#iii.iii-p49.2

855. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Tim&scrCh=1&scrV=10#iii.vi-p75.6

856. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Tim&scrCh=1&scrV=13#iii.i-p90.10

857. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Tim&scrCh=3&scrV=3#iii.iv-p126.4

858. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Tim&scrCh=3&scrV=15#iii.i-p90.11

859. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Tim&scrCh=4&scrV=2#iii.iv-p21.7

860. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Tim&scrCh=4&scrV=5#iii.iv-p49.4

861. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Tim&scrCh=4&scrV=12#iii.vi-p73.11

862.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Titus&scrCh=1&scrV=6#iii.v-p44.4

863. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Titus&scrCh=1&scrV=8#iii.iv-p121.7

864. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Titus&scrCh=2&scrV=3#iii.iv-p126.5

865. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Titus&scrCh=2&scrV=9#iii.vi-p20.6

866. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Titus&scrCh=2&scrV=13#iii.v-p27.3

867. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Titus&scrCh=2&scrV=13#iii.v-p26.5

868. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Titus&scrCh=2&scrV=13#iii.v-p26.7

869. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Titus&scrCh=2&scrV=14#iii.i-p36.13

870. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Titus&scrCh=2&scrV=14#iii.ii-p50.2

871. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Titus&scrCh=3&scrV=5#iii.iv-p117.3

872. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Titus&scrCh=3&scrV=5#iii.iv-p118.19

873. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Titus&scrCh=3&scrV=5#iii.iv-p118.28

874. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Titus&scrCh=3&scrV=12#iii.v-i-p73.12

875.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phlm&scrCh=1&scrV=2#ii.ii-p13.4

876. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phlm&scrCh=1&scrV=12#ii.ii-p13.3

877. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Phlm&scrCh=1&scrV=22#ii.ii-p13.8

878.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=1&scrV=1#iii.i-p55.6

879.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=1&scrV=3#iii.i-p126.7

880.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=1&scrV=3#iii.v-p81.6

881. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=1&scrV=10#iii.iv-p38.6

882. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=1&scrV=13#iii.i-p110.1

883.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=2&scrV=8#iii.i-p116.3

884. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=2&scrV=10#iii.v-p99.1

885.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=2&scrV=11#iii.i-p6.2

886. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=2&scrV=13#iii.v-p113.3

887. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=2&scrV=14#iii.v-p99.2

888. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=2&scrV=15#iii.iv-p35.2

889. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=2&scrV=17#iii.iv-p135.11

890. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=3&scrV=11#iii.iv-p116.4

891. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=6&scrV=18#iii.iv-p27.4

892. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=8&scrV=10#iii.iv-p102.12

893. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=9&scrV=14#iii.iv-p135.10

894. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=9&scrV=14#iii.v-p81.5

895. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=9&scrV=19#iii.ii-p76.10

896. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=9&scrV=22#iii.v-p81.3

897. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=9&scrV=25#iii.iv-p135.10

898. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=10&scrV=5#iii.iv-p52.8

899.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=10&scrV=10#iii.i-p6.3

900. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=10&scrV=10#iii.i-v-p135.9

901. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=10&scrV=10#iii.i-v-p135.11

902. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=10&scrV=10#iii.v-p87.1

903. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=10&scrV=12#iii.i-v-p135.14
904.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=10&scrV=14#iii.i-p6.3
905. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=10&scrV=14#iii.i-v-p135.11
906. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=10&scrV=14#iii.v-p87.2
907. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=10&scrV=18#iii.i-v-p135.16
908. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=10&scrV=22#iii.v-p80.6
909. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=11&scrV=12#iii.i-i-p48.5
910. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=12&scrV=23#iii.i-v-p60.4
911. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=13&scrV=9#iii.iv-p64.9
912. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Heb&scrCh=13&scrV=21#iii.i-v-p52.8
913. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Jas&scrCh=1&scrV=6#iii.iv-p64.10
914. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Jas&scrCh=1&scrV=21#iii.iv-p112.4
915.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Jas&scrCh=2&scrV=1#iii.i-p94.7
916.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Jas&scrCh=5&scrV=13#iii.v-p48.5
917. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Pet&scrCh=1&scrV=1#iii.iv-p28.6
918. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Pet&scrCh=1&scrV=18#iii.i-v-p135.22
919. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Pet&scrCh=1&scrV=20#iii.i-p35.5

920. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Pet&scrCh=2&scrV=6#iii.ii-p100.6
921.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Pet&scrCh=2&scrV=9#iii.i-p76.3
922. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Pet&scrCh=2&scrV=18#iii.vi-p20.7
923. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Pet&scrCh=3&scrV=10#iii.iv-p21.6
924. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Pet&scrCh=3&scrV=21#iii.v-p81.20
925. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Pet&scrCh=3&scrV=22#iii.i-p110.4
926.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Pet&scrCh=4&scrV=4#iii.v-p44.5
927.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1Pet&scrCh=5&scrV=5#iii.v-p69.1
928. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Pet&scrCh=1&scrV=21#iii.iii-p30.3
929. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Pet&scrCh=2&scrV=4#iii.vi-p55.2
930. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=2Pet&scrCh=2&scrV=14#iii.iv-p106.4
931. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1John&scrCh=1&scrV=7#iii.v-p81.4
932. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1John&scrCh=2&scrV=13#iii.vi-p63.11
933. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1John&scrCh=3&scrV=2#iii.v-p88.7
934. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1John&scrCh=3&scrV=12#iii.vi-p63.11
935. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1John&scrCh=3&scrV=16#iii.iv-p135.4
936. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1John&scrCh=5&scrV=10#iii.i-p71.1

937. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=1John&scrCh=5&scrV=18#iii.vi-p63.11

938. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Jude&scrCh=1&scrV=6#iii.vi-p55.3

939.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rev&scrCh=7&scrV=3#iii.i-p71.4

940. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rev&scrCh=19&scrV=7#iii.v-p87.6

941. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rev&scrCh=21&scrV=2#iii.v-p87.5

942. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Rev&scrCh=21&scrV=14#iii.i-p99.2

943.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=0#iii.i-p3.2

944.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=1#iii.i-p3.3

945.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=2#iii.i-p7.4

946.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=3#iii.i-p27.4

947.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=4#iii.i-p31.11

948.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=5#iii.i-p37.1

949.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=6#iii.i-p40.16

950.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=7#iii.i-p44.3

951.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=8#iii.i-p47.2

952.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=9#iii.i-p50.13

953.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=10#iii.i-p53.5

954.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=11#iii.i-p61.1
955.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=12#iii.i-p65.2
956.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=13#iii.i-p67.7
957.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=14#iii.i-p72.3
958.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=15#iii.i-p88.8
959.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=16#iii.i-p92.1
960.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=17#iii.i-p93.1
961. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=18#iii.i-p97.10
962. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=19#iii.i-p103.5
963. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=20#iii.i-p108.1
964. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=21#iii.i-p110.6
965. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=22#iii.i-p115.3
966. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=1&scrV=23#iii.i-p119.5
967.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=0#iii.ii-p16.2
968.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=1#iii.ii-p16.3
969. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=2#iii.ii-p20.10
970.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=3#iii.ii-p27.8

971. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=4#iii.ii-p36.2
972. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=5#iii.ii-p38.8
973. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=6#iii.ii-p42.1
974. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=7#iii.ii-p43.3
975. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=8#iii.ii-p46.6
976. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=10#iii.ii-p48.11
977. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=11#iii.ii-p71.2
978. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=12#iii.ii-p72.10
979. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=13#iii.ii-p75.7
980. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=14#iii.ii-p76.11
981. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=16#iii.ii-p83.11
982. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=17#iii.ii-p88.7
983. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=18#iii.ii-p89.9
984. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=19#iii.ii-p94.8
985. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=20#iii.ii-p95.5
986. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=21#iii.ii-p100.7
987. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=2&scrV=22#iii.ii-p105.3

988.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=0#iii.iii-p16.9
989. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=1#iii.iii-p16.10
990.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=2#iii.iii-p20.3
991.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=3#iii.iii-p23.2
992.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=4#iii.iii-p25.2
993.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=5#iii.iii-p27.7
994.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=6#iii.iii-p30.7
995.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=7#iii.iii-p35.1
996.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=8#iii.iii-p38.3
997.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=9#iii.iii-p41.2
998. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=10#iii.iii-p45.4
999. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=11#iii.iii-p48.4
1000. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=12#iii.ii-p49.5
1001. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=13#iii.ii-p51.3
1002. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=14#iii.ii-p63.2
1003. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=15#iii.ii-p64.5
1004. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=16#iii.ii-p66.1

1005. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=17#iii.i
ii-p70.14
1006. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=18#iii.i
ii-p74.2
1007. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=3&scrV=20#iii.i
ii-p80.2
1008.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=0#iii.iv-p18.8
1009.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=1#iii.iv-p18.9
1010.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=4#iii.iv-p23.3
1011.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=6#iii.iv-p30.4
1012.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=7#iii.iv-p32.4
1013.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=8#iii.iv-p34.2
1014.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=9#iii.iv-p39.2
1015. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=11#iii.i
v-p45.8
1016. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=12#iii.i
v-p50.12
1017. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=13#iii.i
v-p55.1
1018. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=14#iii.i
v-p63.2
1019. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=15#iii.i
v-p66.6
1020. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=16#iii.i
v-p69.5
1021. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=17#iii.i
v-p96.15

1022. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=18#iii.i
v-p100.4

1023. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=19#iii.i
v-p105.5

1024. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=20#iii.i
v-p106.13

1025. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=21#iii.i
v-p107.4

1026. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=22#iii.i
v-p111.3

1027. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=23#iii.i
v-p116.5

1028. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=24#iii.i
v-p120.12

1029. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=25#iii.i
v-p122.1

1030. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=26#iii.i
v-p123.4

1031. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=28#iii.i
v-p126.7

1032. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=29#iii.i
v-p129.2

1033. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=31#iii.i
v-p132.2

1034. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=4&scrV=32#iii.i
v-p133.9

1035. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=0#iii.iv
-p134.7

1036. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=1#iii.iv
-p134.8

1037.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=3#iii.v-p20.8

1038.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=4#iii.v-p22.4

1039. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=5#iii.v-p23.11
1040.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=6#iii.v-p26.9
1041.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=7#iii.v-p27.5
1042.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=8#iii.v-p28.1
1043.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=9#iii.v-p29.2
1044. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=10#iii.v-p30.10
1045. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=11#iii.v-p32.2
1046. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=12#iii.v-p34.3
1047. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=13#iii.v-p35.1
1048. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=14#iii.v-p38.3
1049. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=15#iii.v-p40.2
1050. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=16#iii.v-p41.11
1051. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=17#iii.v-p42.20
1052. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=18#iii.v-p43.9
1053. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=19#iii.v-p44.11
1054. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=20#iii.v-p49.2
1055. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=21#iii.v-p67.5

1056. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=22#iii.v-p70.5
1057. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=23#iii.v-p72.4
1058. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=24#iii.v-p73.6
1059. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=25#iii.v-p75.4
1060. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=26#iii.v-p77.3
1061. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=27#iii.v-p85.16
1062. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=28#iii.v-p88.11
1063. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=29#iii.v-p93.4
1064. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=30#iii.v-p95.3
1065. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=32#iii.v-p113.5
1066. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=5&scrV=33#iii.v-p114.12
1067.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=0#iii.vi-p15.3
1068.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=1#iii.vi-p15.4
1069.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=2#iii.vi-p16.4
1070.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=4#iii.vi-p18.1
1071.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=5#iii.vi-p19.7
1072.
file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=6#iii.vi-p22.8

1073. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=7#iii.vi-p23.12
1074. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=8#iii.vi-p25.3
1075. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=9#iii.vi-p26.8
1076. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=10#iii.vi-p49.2
1077. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=11#iii.vi-p51.3
1078. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=12#iii.vi-p53.2
1079. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=13#iii.vi-p57.6
1080. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=14#iii.vi-p59.4
1081. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=15#iii.vi-p61.7
1082. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=16#iii.vi-p62.7
1083. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=17#iii.vi-p63.12
1084. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=18#iii.vi-p65.4
1085. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=19#iii.vi-p69.8
1086. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=20#iii.vi-p70.18
1087. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=21#iii.vi-p72.7
1088. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=23#iii.vi-p73.14
1089. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3?scrBook=Eph&scrCh=6&scrV=24#iii.vi-p74.5
1090. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p4.4

- 1091. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p74.1
- 1092. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p78.6
- 1093. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p75.3
- 1094. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p42.6
- 1095. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p46.3
- 1096. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p23.6
- 1097. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p39.3
- 1098. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p133.4
- 1099. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p61.2
- 1100. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p61.3
- 1101. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p116.9
- 1102. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p80.1
- 1103. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p110.1
- 1104. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p65.1
- 1105. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p38.1
- 1106. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p114.6
- 1107. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p114.8
- 1108. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p106.4
- 1109. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p104.1
- 1110. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p131.6
- 1111. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p29.1
- 1112. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p47.1
- 1113. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p135.15
- 1114. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p35.8
- 1115. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p41.8
- 1116. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p37.2
- 1117. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p64.1
- 1118. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p125.1
- 1119. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p118.5

- 1120. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p68.2
- 1121. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p42.1
- 1122. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p109.1
- 1123. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p38.1
- 1124. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p50.1
- 1125. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p121.1
- 1126. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p27.1
- 1127. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p74.1
- 1128. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p74.2
- 1129. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p117.1
- 1130. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p51.1
- 1131. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p75.4
- 1132. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p31.3
- 1133. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p62.1
- 1134. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p51.1
- 1135. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p42.2
- 1136. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p21.1
- 1137. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p108.1
- 1138. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p41.1
- 1139. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p46.2
- 1140. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p75.5
- 1141. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p79.1
- 1142. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p46.1
- 1143. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p44.1
- 1144. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p78.10
- 1145. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p124.7
- 1146. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p124.1
- 1147. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p128.1
- 1148. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p128.4

1149. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p90.1
1150. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p73.2
1151. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p54.1
1152. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p27.6
1153. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p106.1
1154. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p67.1
1155. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p60.1
1156. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p24.1
1157. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p24.3
1158. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p30.5
1159. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p21.4
1160. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p45.2
1161. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p56.9
1162. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p21.10
1163. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p118.29
1164. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p118.13
1165. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p118.26
1166. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p56.4
1167. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p118.11
1168. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p121.12
1169. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p55.4
1170. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p56.3
1171. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p44.1
1172. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p6.1
1173. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p36.2
1174. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p79.3
1175. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p22.5
1176. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p71.7
1177. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p71.2

- 1178. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p71.20
- 1179. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p72.3
- 1180. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p74.2
- 1181. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p36.5
- 1182. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p26.6
- 1183. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p52.3
- 1184. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p52.2
- 1185. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p44.3
- 1186. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p21.3
- 1187. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p51.8
- 1188. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p6.4
- 1189. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p36.4
- 1190. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-p4.1
- 1191. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p41.7
- 1192. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p68.1
- 1193. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p21.9
- 1194. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p106.2
- 1195. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p75.2
- 1196. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p71.6
- 1197. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p26.5
- 1198. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p18.4
- 1199. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p18.8
- 1200. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p77.2
- 1201. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p42.7
- 1202. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p47.4
- 1203. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p47.8
- 1204. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p96.1
- 1205. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p52.2
- 1206. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p52.6

- 1207. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p63.12
- 1208. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p67.4
- 1209. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p67.5
- 1210. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p68.6
- 1211. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p20.13
- 1212. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p66.5
- 1213. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p49.6
- 1214. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p49.7
- 1215. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p90.5
- 1216. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p94.4
- 1217. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p101.1
- 1218. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p75.9
- 1219. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p97.6
- 1220. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p83.2
- 1221. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p58.4
- 1222. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p58.6
- 1223. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p102.3
- 1224. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p43.9
- 1225. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p43.1
- 1226. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p85.10
- 1227. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-p17.2
- 1228. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-p17.3
- 1229. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-p18.2
- 1230. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-p19.1
- 1231. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-p21.1
- 1232. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p29.1
- 1233. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p47.3
- 1234. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p70.1
- 1235. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p81.13

- 1236. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p55.5
- 1237. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p56.4
- 1238. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p121.17
- 1239. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p50.4
- 1240. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p63.14
- 1241. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p24.4
- 1242. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p42.2
- 1243. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p96.3
- 1244. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p94.1
- 1245. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p34.2
- 1246. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p108.2
- 1247. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p63.1
- 1248. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p69.5
- 1249. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p106.6
- 1250. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p38.3
- 1251. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p39.2
- 1252. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p68.4
- 1253. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p51.10
- 1254. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p31.3
- 1255. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p49.4
- 1256. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p43.4
- 1257. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p48.6
- 1258. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p43.2
- 1259. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p43.3
- 1260. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p48.3
- 1261. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p48.5
- 1262. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p48.1
- 1263. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p70.4
- 1264. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p36.12

- 1265. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p41.6
- 1266. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p36.10
- 1267. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p73.1
- 1268. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p75.7
- 1269. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p74.4
- 1270. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p106.2
- 1271. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p58.2
- 1272. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p72.1
- 1273. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p88.1
- 1274. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p136.9
- 1275. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p46.15
- 1276. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p73.1
- 1277. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p126.6
- 1278. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p63.10
- 1279. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p30.1
- 1280. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p128.5
- 1281. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p46.12
- 1282. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p123.1
- 1283. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p63.8
- 1284. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p27.2
- 1285. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p133.5
- 1286. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p47.5
- 1287. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p113.2
- 1288. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p4.5
- 1289. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p46.10
- 1290. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p46.14
- 1291. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p116.1
- 1292. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p71.1
- 1293. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p44.1

- 1294. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p46.16
- 1295. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p89.4
- 1296. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p48.4
- 1297. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p47.7
- 1298. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p85.7
- 1299. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p85.2
- 1300. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p78.1
- 1301. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p5.1
- 1302. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p38.7
- 1303. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p67.3
- 1304. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p29.7
- 1305. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p39.1
- 1306. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p20.1
- 1307. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p20.8
- 1308. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p31.4
- 1309. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p69.2
- 1310. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p41.6
- 1311. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p49.5
- 1312. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p28.1
- 1313. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p74.1
- 1314. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p55.1
- 1315. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p40.2
- 1316. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p94.3
- 1317. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p26.3
- 1318. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p78.3
- 1319. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p78.4
- 1320. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p26.6
- 1321. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p70.3
- 1322. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p63.3

- 1323. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p16.1
- 1324. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p16.3
- 1325. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p20.15
- 1326. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p63.17
- 1327. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p32.2
- 1328. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p81.9
- 1329. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p95.1
- 1330. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p74.3
- 1331. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p59.1
- 1332. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p46.1
- 1333. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p61.1
- 1334. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p39.1
- 1335. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p83.4
- 1336. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p133.8
- 1337. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p24.1
- 1338. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p83.1
- 1339. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p27.2
- 1340. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p27.3
- 1341. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p65.3
- 1342. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p45.1
- 1343. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p97.1
- 1344. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p118.5
- 1345. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p70.16
- 1346. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-p3.1
- 1347. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p19.4
- 1348. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p51.1
- 1349. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p89.3
- 1350. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p131.1
- 1351. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p41.4

- 1352. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p50.3
- 1353. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p70.13
- 1354. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p19.3
- 1355. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p52.2
- 1356. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p19.1
- 1357. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p115.4
- 1358. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p124.2
- 1359. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p78.2
- 1360. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p30.4
- 1361. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p23.2
- 1362. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p42.13
- 1363. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p20.6
- 1364. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p53.1
- 1365. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p49.1
- 1366. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p71.5
- 1367. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p71.1
- 1368. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p40.1
- 1369. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p45.3
- 1370. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p50.2
- 1371. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p56.8
- 1372. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p60.2
- 1373. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p114.1
- 1374. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p26.2
- 1375. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p95.2
- 1376. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p70.4
- 1377. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p47.2
- 1378. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p48.1
- 1379. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p75.6
- 1380. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p26.3

- 1381. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p26.5
- 1382. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p41.10
- 1383. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p43.2
- 1384. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p43.7
- 1385. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p73.2
- 1386. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p131.3
- 1387. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p30.4
- 1388. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p116.3
- 1389. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p75.11
- 1390. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p73.6
- 1391. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p69.1
- 1392. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p121.13
- 1393. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p68.4
- 1394. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p121.10
- 1395. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p68.3
- 1396. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p68.6
- 1397. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p19.1
- 1398. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p56.1
- 1399. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p58.1
- 1400. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p118.30
- 1401. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p21.11
- 1402. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p22.2
- 1403. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p135.17
- 1404. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p20.1
- 1405. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p116.2
- 1406. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p27.2
- 1407. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p74.4
- 1408. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p44.4
- 1409. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p29.8

- 1410. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p80.8
- 1411. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p111.1
- 1412. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p96.13
- 1413. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p84.1
- 1414. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p45.1
- 1415. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p44.2
- 1416. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p88.8
- 1417. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p87.3
- 1418. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p81.10
- 1419. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p81.11
- 1420. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p85.14
- 1421. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p20.5
- 1422. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p20.9
- 1423. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p20.7
- 1424. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p22.4
- 1425. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p21.2
- 1426. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p22.1
- 1427. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p22.2
- 1428. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p24.7
- 1429. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p52.3
- 1430. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p35.6
- 1431. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p35.5
- 1432. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p48.2
- 1433. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p86.1
- 1434. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p88.5
- 1435. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p27.12
- 1436. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p42.5
- 1437. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p86.2
- 1438. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p23.1

1439. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p23.8
1440. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p23.2
1441. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p52.1
1442. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p104.1
1443. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p72.2
1444. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p73.3
1445. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p68.7
1446. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p88.6
1447. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p88.3
1448. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p44.3
1449. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p86.7
1450. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p86.6
1451. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p26.1
1452. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p133.7
1453. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p29.2
1454. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p29.9
1455. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p29.3
1456. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p24.6
1457. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p24.9
1458. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p77.7
1459. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p77.5
1460. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p57.11
1461. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p70.12
1462. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-p2.1
1463. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p67.7
1464. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p67.9
1465. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p20.9
1466. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p118.2
1467. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p30.7

- 1468. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p81.14
- 1469. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p55.1
- 1470. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p20.4
- 1471. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p45.1
- 1472. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p32.3
- 1473. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p32.2
- 1474. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p67.5
- 1475. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p126.1
- 1476. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p24.2
- 1477. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p71.19
- 1478. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p72.1
- 1479. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p71.8
- 1480. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p48.3
- 1481. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p74.1
- 1482. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p71.18
- 1483. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p71.10
- 1484. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p38.6
- 1485. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p45.2
- 1486. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p36.1
- 1487. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p73.5
- 1488. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p73.7
- 1489. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p53.1
- 1490. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p54.4
- 1491. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p85.1
- 1492. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p102.7
- 1493. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p98.1
- 1494. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p102.1
- 1495. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p50.10
- 1496. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p49.8

- 1497. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p49.6
- 1498. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p45.5
- 1499. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p50.3
- 1500. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p121.4
- 1501. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p30.6
- 1502. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p35.1
- 1503. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p22.6
- 1504. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p82.10
- 1505. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p42.1
- 1506. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p23.1
- 1507. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p20.3
- 1508. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p20.12
- 1509. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p37.3
- 1510. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p23.10
- 1511. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p24.1
- 1512. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p25.2
- 1513. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p69.1
- 1514. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p70.5
- 1515. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p37.2
- 1516. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p72.1
- 1517. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p76.6
- 1518. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p78.2
- 1519. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p78.8
- 1520. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p79.3
- 1521. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p80.1
- 1522. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p18.1
- 1523. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p111.2
- 1524. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p113.2
- 1525. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p23.3

1526. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p76.8
1527. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p118.9
1528. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p63.2
1529. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p63.11
1530. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p40.3
1531. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p37.1
1532. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p37.6
1533. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p89.7
1534. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p75.5
1535. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p70.4
1536. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p65.2
1537. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p19.2
1538. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p57.3
1539. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p70.15
1540. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p70.2
1541. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p63.4
1542. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p70.1
1543. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p68.3
1544. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p85.1
1545. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p19.2
1546. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p105.1
1547. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p46.5
1548. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p85.6
1549. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p85.3
1550. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p68.5
1551. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p36.14
1552. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p36.15
1553. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p22.3
1554. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p75.1

- 1555. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p22.4
- 1556. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p70.6
- 1557. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p70.10
- 1558. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p75.1
- 1559. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p22.1
- 1560. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p34.1
- 1561. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p46.6
- 1562. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p81.16
- 1563. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p82.1
- 1564. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p82.3
- 1565. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p57.5
- 1566. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p94.2
- 1567. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p62.1
- 1568. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p73.8
- 1569. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p25.1
- 1570. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p49.1
- 1571. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p70.11
- 1572. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p70.9
- 1573. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p72.4
- 1574. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p65.5
- 1575. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p68.1
- 1576. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p75.3
- 1577. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p106.3
- 1578. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p107.1
- 1579. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p69.1
- 1580. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p85.2
- 1581. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p85.4
- 1582. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p65.1
- 1583. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p82.9

- 1584. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p81.1
- 1585. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p115.1
- 1586. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p76.9
- 1587. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p47.9
- 1588. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p46.4
- 1589. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p73.1
- 1590. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p75.2
- 1591. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p103.1
- 1592. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p45.1
- 1593. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p31.1
- 1594. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p57.1
- 1595. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p57.2
- 1596. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p27.1
- 1597. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p46.1
- 1598. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p46.2
- 1599. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p52.1
- 1600. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p82.2
- 1601. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p70.1
- 1602. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p36.16
- 1603. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p77.1
- 1604. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p23.7
- 1605. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p23.6
- 1606. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p26.7
- 1607. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p97.1
- 1608. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p96.2
- 1609. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p57.9
- 1610. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p57.12
- 1611. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p57.16
- 1612. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p29.1

1613. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p26.8
1614. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p71.16
1615. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p71.11
1616. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p73.2
1617. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p26.1
1618. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p25.1
1619. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p116.4
1620. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p116.2
1621. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p62.3
1622. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p62.5
1623. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p19.1
1624. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p89.2
1625. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p97.7
1626. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p54.5
1627. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p136.6
1628. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p75.10
1629. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p69.1
1630. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p54.2
1631. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p136.2
1632. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p34.2
1633. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p86.3
1634. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p86.4
1635. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p86.5
1636. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p54.8
1637. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-p19.4
1638. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p36.1
1639. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p88.10
1640. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p34.4
1641. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p31.1

1642. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p31.1
1643. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p49.9
1644. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p46.3
1645. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p49.7
1646. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p28.2
1647. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p23.7
1648. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p23.9
1649. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p26.1
1650. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p39.4
1651. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p55.2
1652. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p57.5
1653. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p60.1
1654. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p69.2
1655. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p74.1
1656. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p39.3
1657. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p39.1
1658. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p39.2
1659. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p69.4
1660. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p62.5
1661. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p53.4
1662. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p83.3
1663. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p60.8
1664. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p57.6
1665. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p136.7
1666. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p78.1
1667. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p86.8
1668. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p57.4
1669. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p66.1
1670. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p100.1

1671. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p134.4
1672. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p136.10
1673. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p62.2
1674. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p62.4
1675. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p62.6
1676. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p40.2
1677. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p40.14
1678. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p53.3
1679. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p53.4
1680. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p40.12
1681. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p116.8
1682. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p116.7
1683. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p84.1
1684. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p118.14
1685. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p44.1
1686. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p48.1
1687. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p53.2
1688. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p53.1
1689. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p60.9
1690. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p62.3
1691. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p29.6
1692. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p53.2
1693. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p133.3
1694. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p133.6
1695. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p135.8
1696. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p24.2
1697. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p24.5
1698. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p24.7
1699. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p64.1

1700. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p72.2
1701. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p72.5
1702. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p70.2
1703. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p70.1
1704. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p45.1
1705. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p55.7
1706. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p10.1
1707. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p20.2
1708. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p39.2
1709. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p57.10
1710. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p27.2
1711. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p101.2
1712. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p73.2
1713. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p102.1
1714. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p4.6
1715. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p17.2
1716. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p77.1
1717. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p87.4
1718. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p81.2
1719. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p80.3
1720. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p81.1
1721. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p81.12
1722. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p81.14
1723. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p81.15
1724. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p85.11
1725. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p85.12
1726. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p27.1
1727. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p109.2
1728. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p109.1

1729. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p118.1
1730. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p51.2
1731. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p30.1
1732. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p75.1
1733. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p48.1
1734. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p27.11
1735. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p115.6
1736. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p118.8
1737. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p118.2
1738. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p118.6
1739. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p118.10
1740. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p118.14
1741. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p118.15
1742. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p118.21
1743. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p118.22
1744. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p42.3
1745. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p42.10
1746. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p42.11
1747. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p42.17
1748. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p23.3
1749. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p120.5
1750. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p23.6
1751. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p23.8
1752. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p98.2
1753. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p102.10
1754. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p102.14
1755. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p21.2
1756. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p72.4
1757. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p21.1

1758. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p107.4
1759. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p107.5
1760. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p107.7
1761. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p107.11
1762. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p107.1
1763. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p64.1
1764. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p116.1
1765. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p37.1
1766. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p106.1
1767. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p53.1
1768. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p40.1
1769. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p114.1
1770. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p34.1
1771. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p23.1
1772. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p77.6
1773. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p57.3
1774. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p82.4
1775. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p82.8
1776. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p80.5
1777. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p78.3
1778. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p80.3
1779. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p81.3
1780. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p78.12
1781. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p52.4
1782. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p52.1
1783. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p59.2
1784. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p71.1
1785. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p106.3
1786. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p106.2

1787. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p27.1
1788. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p118.6
1789. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p56.2
1790. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p43.6
1791. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p101.1
1792. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p63.1
1793. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p63.7
1794. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p63.8
1795. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p64.8
1796. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p44.2
1797. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p55.6
1798. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p55.10
1799. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p70.1
1800. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p65.7
1801. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p65.4
1802. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p71.3
1803. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p80.4
1804. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p78.9
1805. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p81.2
1806. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p78.13
1807. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p47.1
1808. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p76.4
1809. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p70.5
1810. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p80.2
1811. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p80.2
1812. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p135.18
1813. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p20.10
1814. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p21.1
1815. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p107.1

1816. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p97.2
1817. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p100.2
1818. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p57.1
1819. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p65.11
1820. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p65.9
1821. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p78.5
1822. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p78.10
1823. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p79.1
1824. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p65.12
1825. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p21.3
1826. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p25.1
1827. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p27.4
1828. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p22.1
1829. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p50.7
1830. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p53.3
1831. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p34.1
1832. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p135.13
1833. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p20.11
1834. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p24.2
1835. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p26.2
1836. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p27.3
1837. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p51.2
1838. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p114.3
1839. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p23.5
1840. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p118.9
1841. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p118.1
1842. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p118.12
1843. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p118.20
1844. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p118.23

1845. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p60.11
1846. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p31.9
1847. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p78.4
1848. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p78.7
1849. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p78.11
1850. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p70.4
1851. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p100.1
1852. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p100.3
1853. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p102.13
1854. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p120.3
1855. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p120.4
1856. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p120.11
1857. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p19.6
1858. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p19.5
1859. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p95.1
1860. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p105.1
1861. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p105.2
1862. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p105.3
1863. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p73.4
1864. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p19.2
1865. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p20.1
1866. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p94.1
1867. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p26.2
1868. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p25.1
1869. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p41.5
1870. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p40.8
1871. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p26.3
1872. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p121.5
1873. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p38.5

1874. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-p13.12
1875. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p49.1
1876. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p42.9
1877. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p96.1
1878. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p118.4
1879. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p51.7
1880. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p51.9
1881. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p51.6
1882. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p31.7
1883. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p41.1
1884. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p95.4
1885. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p131.4
1886. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p55.3
1887. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p55.4
1888. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p21.6
1889. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p22.1
1890. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p22.3
1891. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p22.5
1892. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p44.3
1893. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p72.3
1894. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p23.10
1895. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p89.1
1896. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p118.15
1897. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p90.4
1898. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p57.15
1899. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p57.8
1900. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p70.14
1901. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p102.1
1902. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p65.4

- 1903. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p65.5
- 1904. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p104.3
- 1905. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p41.3
- 1906. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p54.2
- 1907. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p118.8
- 1908. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p32.1
- 1909. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p95.3
- 1910. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p56.8
- 1911. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p136.3
- 1912. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p136.5
- 1913. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p73.13
- 1914. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p136.1
- 1915. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p88.2
- 1916. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p125.1
- 1917. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p65.1
- 1918. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p65.6
- 1919. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p63.13
- 1920. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p104.10
- 1921. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p31.2
- 1922. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p76.1
- 1923. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p48.2
- 1924. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p99.1
- 1925. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p107.16
- 1926. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p107.15
- 1927. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p133.1
- 1928. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p7.1
- 1929. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p70.3
- 1930. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p107.3
- 1931. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p80.1

- 1932. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p22.3
- 1933. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p106.5
- 1934. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p115.1
- 1935. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p122.3
- 1936. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p123.1
- 1937. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p124.1
- 1938. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p122.1
- 1939. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p122.2
- 1940. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p45.2
- 1941. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p68.3
- 1942. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p124.3
- 1943. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p125.2
- 1944. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p18.2
- 1945. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p62.2
- 1946. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p68.1
- 1947. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p38.2
- 1948. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p30.2
- 1949. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p26.8
- 1950. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p94.3
- 1951. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p70.2
- 1952. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p70.11
- 1953. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p120.7
- 1954. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p96.1
- 1955. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p120.2
- 1956. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p26.2
- 1957. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p26.4
- 1958. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p26.6
- 1959. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p56.2
- 1960. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p56.7

- 1961. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p83.9
- 1962. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p50.11
- 1963. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p23.11
- 1964. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p74.3
- 1965. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p74.5
- 1966. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p56.5
- 1967. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p35.8
- 1968. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p65.8
- 1969. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p121.9
- 1970. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p54.7
- 1971. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p54.1
- 1972. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p20.7
- 1973. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-p13.10
- 1974. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p71.2
- 1975. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p35.1
- 1976. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p26.1
- 1977. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p51.1
- 1978. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p49.3
- 1979. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p36.17
- 1980. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p91.1
- 1981. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p67.6
- 1982. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p67.8
- 1983. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p67.4
- 1984. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p136.4
- 1985. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p135.7
- 1986. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p135.6
- 1987. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p98.1
- 1988. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p17.2
- 1989. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p17.1

1990. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p32.1
1991. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p104.12
1992. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p104.2
1993. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p104.4
1994. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p65.2
1995. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p29.2
1996. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p70.3
1997. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p70.6
1998. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p102.8
1999. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p103.7
2000. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p50.3
2001. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p84.2
2002. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p131.2
2003. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p48.7
2004. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p24.2
2005. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p55.13
2006. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p41.9
2007. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p22.5
2008. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p86.9
2009. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p33.1
2010. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p72.4
2011. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p73.1
2012. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p72.5
2013. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p35.7
2014. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p95.2
2015. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p103.1
2016. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p41.5
2017. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p19.3
2018. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p43.8

2019. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p106.1
2020. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p56.11
2021. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p43.2
2022. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p69.4
2023. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p77.4
2024. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p60.7
2025. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p56.10
2026. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p23.4
2027. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p51.1
2028. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p48.6
2029. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p60.6
2030. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p118.10
2031. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p114.2
2032. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p43.12
2033. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p21.7
2034. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p85.5
2035. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p102.4
2036. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p102.6
2037. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p81.15
2038. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p26.4
2039. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p85.8
2040. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p85.13
2041. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p119.1
2042. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p69.7
2043. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p82.1
2044. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p27.3
2045. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p57.2
2046. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p73.2
2047. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p37.3

2048. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p57.1
2049. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p126.2
2050. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p126.1
2051. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p56.9
2052. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p56.1
2053. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p56.6
2054. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p20.5
2055. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p48.2
2056. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p20.3
2057. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p60.10
2058. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p104.11
2059. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p46.1
2060. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p27.7
2061. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p90.1
2062. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p50.1
2063. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p73.3
2064. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p105.1
2065. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p120.8
2066. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p71.15
2067. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p107.2
2068. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p23.5
2069. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p41.1
2070. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p21.1
2071. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p63.2
2072. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p121.2
2073. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p50.9
2074. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p50.8
2075. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p54.6
2076. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p7.2

2077. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p89.3
2078. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p19.4
2079. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-p18.1
2080. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-p17.1
2081. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p20.1
2082. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p85.9
2083. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p64.4
2084. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p55.12
2085. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p65.1
2086. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p26.1
2087. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p63.6
2088. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p55.11
2089. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p86.1
2090. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p50.1
2091. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p83.1
2092. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p66.3
2093. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p64.1
2094. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p18.1
2095. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p113.3
2096. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p89.8
2097. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p75.4
2098. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p116.5
2099. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p76.1
2100. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p135.5
2101. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p40.11
2102. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p20.2
2103. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p82.2
2104. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p37.5
2105. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p36.7

2106. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p29.4
2107. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p57.7
2108. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p31.8
2109. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p37.7
2110. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p37.9
2111. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p37.8
2112. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p37.10
2113. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p38.1
2114. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p115.1
2115. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p116.10
2116. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p50.9
2117. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p50.10
2118. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p50.11
2119. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p49.4
2120. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p50.2
2121. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p50.12
2122. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p49.2
2123. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p79.2
2124. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p50.5
2125. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p33.2
2126. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p33.5
2127. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p35.1
2128. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p31.1
2129. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p33.4
2130. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p33.6
2131. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p34.1
2132. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p34.3
2133. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p34.4
2134. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p43.1

2135. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p46.4
2136. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p43.5
2137. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p30.3
2138. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p43.3
2139. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p43.5
2140. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p21.9
2141. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p22.4
2142. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p22.6
2143. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p37.4
2144. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p75.8
2145. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p43.8
2146. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p131.5
2147. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p74.2
2148. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p42.3
2149. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p134.6
2150. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p43.7
2151. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p71.12
2152. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p42.4
2153. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p42.12
2154. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p134.2
2155. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p46.4
2156. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p134.1
2157. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p48.3
2158. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p46.8
2159. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p46.2
2160. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p46.1
2161. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p70.7
2162. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p120.6
2163. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p23.5

2164. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p23.9
2165. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p72.2
2166. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p89.2
2167. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p72.6
2168. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p30.1
2169. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p72.1
2170. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p22.7
2171. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p7.3
2172. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p50.2
2173. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p22.6
2174. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p103.1
2175. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p63.6
2176. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p48.3
2177. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p45.6
2178. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p20.3
2179. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p101.1
2180. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p58.4
2181. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p128.2
2182. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p75.1
2183. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p45.3
2184. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p93.3
2185. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p65.3
2186. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-p29.2
2187. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p27.9
2188. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p35.10
2189. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p107.2
2190. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p58.3
2191. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p50.5
2192. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p22.9

2193. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p70.8
2194. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p94.4
2195. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p48.4
2196. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p98.4
2197. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p49.3
2198. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p26.2
2199. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p76.7
2200. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p44.9
2201. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p83.2
2202. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p47.2
2203. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p106.3
2204. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p73.4
2205. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p63.4
2206. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p63.3
2207. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p119.3
2208. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p119.2
2209. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p104.2
2210. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p33.1
2211. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-p21.2
2212. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p102.1
2213. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p79.2
2214. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p43.4
2215. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p23.2
2216. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p85.6
2217. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p60.3
2218. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p21.5
2219. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p118.7
2220. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p47.2
2221. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p31.8

2222. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p31.10
2223. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p31.9
2224. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p22.7
2225. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p59.3
2226. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p36.3
2227. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p43.7
2228. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p79.2
2229. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-p79.1
2230. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p23.4
2231. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p28.4
2232. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p30.1
2233. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p30.2
2234. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p43.13
2235. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p43.14
2236. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p34.3
2237. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p38.4
2238. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p56.10
2239. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p44.2
2240. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p114.11
2241. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p69.2
2242. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p28.3
2243. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p72.2
2244. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p56.11
2245. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p76.2
2246. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-p70.7
2247. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p102.2
2248. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p115.2
2249. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p34.2
2250. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p114.5

2251. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p114.7
2252. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p114.9
2253. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p114.4
2254. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p45.2
2255. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p82.2
2256. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p56.3
2257. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p56.5
2258. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p63.1
2259. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p124.4
2260. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p50.1
2261. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p40.13
2262. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p39.4
2263. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p112.2
2264. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p98.2
2265. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p85.5
2266. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p57.14
2267. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p57.13
2268. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p126.2
2269. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p103.3
2270. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p65.6
2271. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p118.11
2272. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p118.12
2273. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-p103.5
2274. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-p36.1
2275. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-p71.13
2276. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-p36.6
2277. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#i-Page_i
2278. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#i-Page_ii
2279. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#i-Page_iii

2280. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.i-Page_iv
2281. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.i-Page_v
2282. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-Page_vi
2283. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-Page_vii
2284. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-Page_viii
2285. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-Page_ix
2286. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-Page_x
2287. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-Page_xi
2288. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-Page_xii
2289. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-Page_xiii
2290. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-Page_xiv
2291. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-Page_xv
2292. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-Page_xvi
2293. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-Page_xvii
2294. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-Page_xviii
2295. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-Page_xix
2296. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-Page_xx
2297. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#ii.ii-Page_21
2298. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_22
2299. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_23
2300. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_24
2301. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_25
2302. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_26
2303. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_27
2304. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_28
2305. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_29
2306. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_30
2307. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_31
2308. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_32

2309. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_33
2310. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_34
2311. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_35
2312. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_36
2313. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_37
2314. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_38
2315. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_39
2316. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_40
2317. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_41
2318. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_42
2319. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_43
2320. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_44
2321. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_45
2322. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_46
2323. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_47
2324. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_48
2325. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_49
2326. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_50
2327. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_51
2328. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_52
2329. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_53
2330. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_54
2331. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_55
2332. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_56
2333. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_57
2334. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_58
2335. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_59
2336. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_60
2337. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_61

2338. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_62
2339. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_63
2340. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_64
2341. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_65
2342. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_66
2343. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_67
2344. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_68
2345. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_69
2346. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_70
2347. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_71
2348. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_72
2349. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_73
2350. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_74
2351. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_75
2352. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_76
2353. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_77
2354. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_78
2355. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_79
2356. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_80
2357. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_81
2358. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_82
2359. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_83
2360. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_84
2361. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_85
2362. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_86
2363. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_87
2364. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_88
2365. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_89
2366. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_90

2367. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_91
2368. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_92
2369. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.i-Page_93
2370. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_94
2371. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_95
2372. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_96
2373. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_97
2374. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_98
2375. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_99
2376. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_100
2377. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_101
2378. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_102
2379. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_103
2380. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_104
2381. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_105
2382. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_106
2383. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_107
2384. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_108
2385. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_109
2386. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_110
2387. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_111
2388. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_112
2389. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_113
2390. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_114
2391. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_115
2392. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_116
2393. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_117
2394. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_118
2395. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_119

2396. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_120
2397. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_121
2398. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_122
2399. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_123
2400. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_124
2401. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_125
2402. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_126
2403. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_127
2404. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_128
2405. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_129
2406. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_130
2407. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_131
2408. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_132
2409. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_133
2410. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_134
2411. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_135
2412. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_136
2413. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_137
2414. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_138
2415. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_139
2416. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_140
2417. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_141
2418. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_142
2419. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_143
2420. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_144
2421. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_145
2422. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_146
2423. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_147
2424. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_148

2425. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_149
2426. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_150
2427. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_151
2428. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_152
2429. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_153
2430. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_154
2431. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.ii-Page_155
2432. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_156
2433. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_157
2434. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_158
2435. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_159
2436. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_160
2437. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_161
2438. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_162
2439. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_163
2440. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_164
2441. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_165
2442. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_166
2443. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_167
2444. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_168
2445. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_169
2446. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_170
2447. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_171
2448. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_172
2449. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_173
2450. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_174
2451. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_175
2452. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_176
2453. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_177

2454. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_178
2455. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_179
2456. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_180
2457. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_181
2458. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_182
2459. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_183
2460. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_184
2461. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_185
2462. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_186
2463. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_187
2464. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_188
2465. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_189
2466. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_190
2467. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_191
2468. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_192
2469. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_193
2470. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_194
2471. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_195
2472. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iii-Page_196
2473. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_197
2474. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_198
2475. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_199
2476. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_200
2477. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_201
2478. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_202
2479. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_203
2480. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_204
2481. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_205
2482. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_206

2483. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_207
2484. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_208
2485. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_209
2486. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_210
2487. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_211
2488. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_212
2489. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_213
2490. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_214
2491. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_215
2492. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_216
2493. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_217
2494. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_218
2495. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_219
2496. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_220
2497. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_221
2498. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_222
2499. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_223
2500. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_224
2501. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_225
2502. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_226
2503. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_227
2504. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_228
2505. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_229
2506. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_230
2507. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_231
2508. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_232
2509. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_233
2510. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_234
2511. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_235

2512. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_236
2513. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_237
2514. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_238
2515. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_239
2516. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_240
2517. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_241
2518. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_242
2519. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_243
2520. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_244
2521. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_245
2522. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_246
2523. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_247
2524. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_248
2525. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_249
2526. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_250
2527. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_251
2528. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_252
2529. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_253
2530. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_254
2531. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_255
2532. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_256
2533. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_257
2534. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_258
2535. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_259
2536. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_260
2537. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_261
2538. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_262
2539. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_263
2540. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_264

2541. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_265
2542. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_266
2543. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_267
2544. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_268
2545. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_269
2546. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_270
2547. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_271
2548. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_272
2549. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_273
2550. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_274
2551. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_275
2552. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_276
2553. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_277
2554. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_278
2555. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_279
2556. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_280
2557. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.iv-Page_281
2558. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_282
2559. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_283
2560. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_284
2561. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_285
2562. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_286
2563. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_287
2564. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_288
2565. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_289
2566. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_290
2567. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_291
2568. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_292
2569. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_293

2570. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_294
2571. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_295
2572. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_296
2573. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_297
2574. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_298
2575. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_299
2576. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_300
2577. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_301
2578. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_302
2579. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_303
2580. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_304
2581. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_305
2582. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_306
2583. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_307
2584. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_308
2585. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_309
2586. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_310
2587. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_311
2588. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_312
2589. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_313
2590. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_314
2591. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_315
2592. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_316
2593. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_317
2594. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_318
2595. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_319
2596. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_320
2597. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_321
2598. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_322

2599. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_323
2600. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_324
2601. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_325
2602. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_326
2603. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_327
2604. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_328
2605. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_329
2606. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_330
2607. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_331
2608. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_332
2609. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_333
2610. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_334
2611. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_335
2612. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_336
2613. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_337
2614. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_338
2615. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_339
2616. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_340
2617. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_341
2618. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_342
2619. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_343
2620. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_344
2621. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_345
2622. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_346
2623. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_347
2624. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_348
2625. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_349
2626. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_350
2627. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_351

2628. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_352
2629. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_353
2630. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_354
2631. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.v-Page_355
2632. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_356
2633. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_357
2634. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_358
2635. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_359
2636. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_360
2637. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_361
2638. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_362
2639. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_363
2640. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_364
2641. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_365
2642. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_366
2643. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_367
2644. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_368
2645. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_369
2646. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_370
2647. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_371
2648. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_372
2649. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_373
2650. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_374
2651. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_375
2652. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_376
2653. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_377
2654. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_378
2655. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_379
2656. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_380

2657. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_381
2658. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_382
2659. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_383
2660. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_384
2661. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_385
2662. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_386
2663. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_387
2664. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_388
2665. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_389
2666. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_390
2667. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_391
2668. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_392
2669. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_393
2670. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_394
2671. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_395
2672. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_396
2673. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_397
2674. file:///ccel/h/hodge/ephesians/cache/ephesians.html3#iii.vi-Page_398

Grow in Your Walk with Christ

Listen and read messages that will stir your heart for Christ and point you to deeper repentance and devotion.

- 50,000+ Sermons from speakers past and present
- 3,900+ Classic Christian Books freely readable online
 - 1,200+ Bible Translations and Commentaries
- Over 450k forum posts — Join our vibrant online Christian forum

www.sermonindex.net